Dumb as a Cod Part II: The Case of IUU in the Baltic Sea

by Dirk Zeller, Peter Rossing and Sarah Harper

n a previous issue, we reported on fisheries in the Baltic Sea (Rossing and Zeller, 2008) and on our collaboration with the Baltic Sea 2020 Foundation in undertaking catch reconstructions for the nine coastal countries surrounding the Baltic Sea: Denmark, Estonia, Finland, Germany, Latvia, Lithuania, Poland, Russia and Sweden. The purpose was to obtain time series of total catch estimates, including socalled Illegal, Unreported and Unregulated fisheries catches (IUU), and compare these to officially reported statistics. The aim of the Baltic Sea 2020 Foundation is to stimulate concrete measures to improve the environmental quality of the Baltic Sea. In order to derive such policy measures for fisheries, one has to have an understanding of the scale and magnitude of the IUU problem. Our work, now completed (Rossing et al., in press) and will soon be freely available at www.fisheries.ubc.ca/ publications/reports/ fcrr.php, provides a baseline of total fisheries catches, compared to officially

reported statistics from 1950 to the present. The basic approach to, and philosophy behind, catch reconstructions is described in Zeller *et al.* (2006; 2007). In essence, we utilize all data- and informationsources available (including grey literature, media sources and expert knowledge) to derive timeseries data on reported and IUU catches (including discarded and recreational).

The Baltic Sea is often referred to as one of the most studied seas in the world (Kononen et al., 2001). Ironically, relatively little seems to be known about the magnitude of IUU catches, and even less of that information is readily available to the interested public. While the International Council for the Exploration of the Sea (ICES), with responsibility for advising the European Commission on Total Allowable Catches (TACs) for the main commercial species caught in the Baltic Sea, does include its own estimates for unreported landings and discards in its annual stock assessment

working group reports, these data are not transparent to the public, and therefore the reports avoid identification of countries and individual magnitudes involved.

Our study clearly illustrated this practice(for 1950-2007), as our total reconstructed catches for the Baltic Sea were around 30% larger than the official statistics reported publically by ICES on behalf of its member countries (plus Russia). When the total reconstructed catches peaked in 1997, total catches were likely 43% higher than the reported statistics, while for the most recent period (2000-2007), total catches were around 35% higher than the reported data. All coastal Baltic Sea countries were implicated, but our analysis suggests that the top three offenders were those with the largest share of the TAC: Poland, Sweden and Denmark.Respectively, these countries represented 36%, 13% and 14% of our total reconstructed IUU (unreported landings,

Continued on page 2 - Baltic

The Sea Around Us Project Newsletter Issue 56 – November/December 2009

Sea Around Us – November/December 2009

Baltic - Continued from page 1 discards and recreational catches). Poland's large share of the IUU was driven by unreported cod catches estimated to be up to 300% higher than reported landings for some years, making it the main culprit during this period.

magnitude and preponderance of unreported landings by all countries strongly suggests considerable management and enforcement failures.

Overall, the

Without exception, unreported landings were the most serious IUU fishing activity, followed by discarding. For example, our estimates of unreported cod catches for 2000-2007 were 5 times higher than the unreported landings data used by ICES stock assessment working groups. It was not possible to determine which country data were driving this discrepancy, as ICES unreported landings data are not reported in a transparent manner. This seems to illustrate the problem of nontransparent data use by these

The **Sea Around Us** project newsletter is published by the Fisheries Centre at the University of British Columbia. Included with the Fisheries Centre's newsletter *FishBytes*, six issues of this newsletter 🍐 are published annually. Subscriptions are free of charge, and will be available only electronically from

the January/February 2010 issue onwards.

Our mailing address is: UBC Fisheries Centre, Aquatic Ecosystems Research Laboratory, 2202 Main Mall, Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada, V6T 1Z4. Our fax number is (604) 822-8934, and our email address is SeaNotes@fisheries.ubc.ca. All queries (including reprint requests), subscription requests, and address changes should be addressed to Megan Bailey, Sea Around Us Newsletter Editor.

The Sea Around Us website may be found at www.seaaroundus.org and contains upto-date information on the project.

assessments, which is bound to influence management advice and policy decisions. Overall, the magnitude and preponderance of unreported landings by all countries strongly suggests considerable management and enforcement failures.

Discarding was also substantial, and is an entirely wasteful practice. Given the move to ecosystem-based management, such practices need to be phased out. The EU should seriously consider a discard ban on all fisheries, an approach successfully attempted by Norway. Such a move would require comprehensive observer coverage (ideally 100% and utilizing video system approaches) to ensure compliance and fairness.

Until recently, IUU issues have often been considered primarily a problem for developing countries (but see Coleman et al., 2004). However, as the present study illustrates, IUU fisheries happen even in the most developed and richest countries, confirming that these highly developed countries with their substantial resources and well-established scientific, administrative, legal and management institutions have so-far failed to address IUU issues in a transparent and comprehensive manner.

References:

- Coleman, F.C., Figueira, W.F., Ueland, J.S. and Crowder, R.B. 2004. The impact of United States recreational fisheries on marine fish populations. Science, 305: 1958-1960.
- Kononen, K., Bonsdorff, E. and Kessler, E. 2001. Third Environment Symposium of the Maj and Tor Nessling Symposium, Man and the Baltic Sea. Special Issue. Ambio, 30: 171.
- Rossing, P., Booth, S. and Zeller, D., editors. In press. Total marine fisheries extractions by country in the Baltic Sea: 1950-present. Fisheries Centre Research Report 18(1), Fisheries Centre, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, 263 p.
- Rossing, P. and Zeller, D. 2008. Dumb as a cod: Fisheries in the Baltic Sea. The Sea Around Us Project Newsletter Issue 50 - November/December 2008, Vancouver, p. 6-7.
- Zeller, D., Booth, S., Craig, P. and Pauly, D. 2006. Reconstruction of coral reef fisheries catches in American Samoa, 1950-2002. Coral Reefs 25: 144-152.
- Zeller, D., Booth, S., Davis, G. and Pauly, D. (2007) Re-estimation of small-scale fisheries catches for U.S. flag island areas in the Western Pacific: The last 50 years. Fisheries Bulletin 105:266-277.

The Sea Around Us project is a scientific collaboration between the University of British Columbia and the Pew **Environmental Group.** The Group supports nonprofit activities in the areas of culture, education, the environment, health and human services, public policy and religion. Based in Philadelphia, Pew makes strategic investments to help organizations and citizens develop practical solutions to difficult problems. In 2000, with approximately \$4.8 billion in assets, the Group committed over \$235 million to 302 nonprofit organizations.

Page 2



Sea Around Us – November/December 2009

The Sea Around Us is ten years old

he Sea Around Us is a collaboration between the University of British Columbia in Vancouver, Canada, and the Pew Environment Group, Washington, DC, devoted to assessing the impact of fisheries on the world's marine ecosystems, and proposing policies to mitigate these impacts.

The project started in mid 1999, and thus celebrated its tenth anniversary in July 2009. We are now preparing a retrospective for our years of activity (available at http://www.seaaroundus.org/ about/index.php/5-10-yearretrospectives/).Through the years, our scope has increased, with more emphasis on fisheries economics and public policy, and our productivity has increased more that threefold - at least as measured by the number of peerreviewed contributions authored and co-authored by our members. The reason for this massive increase is obvious: it took us several years to create the complex of layered databases that allow inferences on the

by Daniel Pauly

global ocean (Figure 1). Now that this complex is in place, it has become more straightforward to detect regional or global trends that were previously not visible, to assess them, and to develop policies to deal with them.

Thus for example, we can now deal with global catches not only in term of the 'official' global landings assembled and disseminated by the Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, but in terms of their Illegal, Unreported and Unregulated (IUU) components, which add to the global catch (see p. 1-2, this issue), and with the fishing effort, the gears and the costs (including subsidies) required to generate that catch, along with its economic value and its disposition through international trade. Also, we can infer long-term trends, because most of our databases start in 1950, and thus span over half a century. Moreover, in some cases where the science allows this, we project these trends into the future, as we are now beginning

to do in our studies of global change impacts on biodiversity and fisheries potentials (see, e.g., Pauly, D. and W.W.L. Cheung. 2009. *Sea Around Us* Newsletter No. 55, Sept./Oct..)

The availability of the Sea Around Us databases not only allows for more, deeper work by project members, including a host of productive graduate students, but has also generated a flurry of offers of collaboration, resulting in a spectrum ranging from the very fruitful (e.g., with National Geographic) to the sensitive, requiring diplomacy ("No, you can't have ALL our data, but we can talk about what you actually need, and which you can use given that you give proper credit"). They establish that the Sea Around Us has become an internationally respected player in both the scientific and policy arenas of global fisheries. Not too bad for a ten-year old!

Note: From 2010 on, the *Sea Around Us* Newsletter will be available only online. Also, we can infer longterm trends, because most of our databases start in 1950, and thus span over half a century.



- 6) Synthesis, policy and outreach layer
- 5) Fisheries economics layer
- 4) Fisheries catch and fishing effort layer
- 3) Marine biodiversity layer
- 2) Primary production and plankton layer
- 1) Physical/jurisdictional boundaries layer

Figure 1. Illustrating the scope of the Sea Around Us through global 'layers', each representing types of data used and/or contributed to, and which, when jointly analyzed, represent the entire range of ocean issues.



Page 3

2009 Sea Around Us Project Publications

- Cheung, W.L., Lam, V., Sarmiento, J., Kearney, K., Watson, R., Zeller, D. and Pauly, D. (2009a) Large-scale redistribution of maximum fisheries catch potential in the global ocean under climate change. Global Change Biology. [http://dx.doi:10.1111/j.1365-2486.2009.01995.x]
- Cheung, W.L.W., Close, C., Lam, V.W.Y., Sarmiento, J., Kearney, K., Watson, R. and Pauly, D. (2009b) Projections of global marine biodiversity impacts under climate change scenarios. Fish and Fisheries 10: 235-251.
- Christensen, V., Walters, C., Ahrens, R., Alder, J., Buszowski, J., Christensen, L., Cheung, W.L., Dunne, J., Froese, R., Karpouzi, V., Kastner, K., Kearney, K., Lai, S., Lam, V., Palomares, D., Peters-Mason, A., Piroddi, C., Sarmiento, J.L., Steenbeek, J., Sumaila, R.U., Watson, R., Zeller, D. and Pauly, D. (2009a) Database-driven models of the world's large marine ecosystems. Ecological Modelling 220: 1984-1996.
- Christensen, V., Z. Ferdaña, J. Steenbeek. (2009b). Spatial optimization of protected area placement incorporating ecological, social and economical criteria. Ecological Modelling 220:2583-2593.
- Courteney, W.R., Collette, B., Essington, T., Hilborn, R., Orr, J., Pauly, D., Randall, J.E. and Smith-Vaniz, W. (2009) Risk of introductions of marine fishes: reply to Briggs. Fisheries 34: 181-186.
- Gerber, L.R., Morissette, L., Kaschner, K. and Pauly, D. (2009) Should whales be culled to increase fishery yields? Science 323: 880-881.
- Heymans, J.J., Sumaila, U.R. and Christensen, V. (2009) Policy options for the northern Benguela ecosystem using a multi-species, multi-fleet model. Progress in Oceanography 83:417-425.
- Jacquet, J. (2009a) What can conservationists learn from investor behavior? Conservation Biology 23: 518-519.
- Jacquet, J., Hocevar, J., Lai, S., Majluf, P., Pelletier, N., Pitcher, T., Sala, E., Sumaila, U.R. and Pauly, D. (2009) Conserving wild fish in a sea of market based efforts. Oryx doi:10.1017/S0030605309990470.
- Jacquet, J.L. (2009b) Silent water: A brief examination of the marine fisheries crisis. Environment, Development and Sustainability 11: 255-263.
- Jenkins, D.J.A., Sievenpiper, J.L., Pauly, D., Sumaila, U.R. and Kendall, C.W.C. (2009) Are dietary recommendations for the use of fish oils sustainable? Canadian Medical Association Journal 180: 633-637.
- Martell, S.J., Walters, C. and Sumaila, U.R. (2009) Industry-funded fishing licence reduction good for profits and conservation. Fish and Fisheries 10: 1-12.
- Mora, C., Myers, R.A., Coll, M., Libralato, S., Pitcher, T.J., Sumaila, R.U., Zeller, D., Watson, R., Gaston, K.J. and Worm, B. (2009) Management effectiveness of the world's marine fisheries. PLoS Biology 7:1-11.
- Nunoo, F.K.E., Boateng, J.O., Ahulu, A.M., Agyekum, K.A. and Sumaila, U.R. (2009) When trash fish is treasure: The case of Ghana in West Africa. Fisheries Research 96: 167-172.
- Palomares, M.L.D. and Pauly, D. (2009) The growth of jellyfishes. Hydrobiologia 616: 11-21.
- Pauly, D. (2009) Beyond Duplicity and Ignorance in Global Fisheries. Scientia Marina 73:2.
- Pauly, D., Graham, W., Libralato, S., Morissette, L. and Palomares, M.L.D. (2009) Jellyfish in ecosystems, online databases and ecosystem models. Hydrobiologia 616: 67-85.
- Sharp, R. and Sumaila, U.R. (2009) Quantification of U.S. marine fisheries subsidies. The North American Journal of Fisheries Management. 29: 18-32.
- Stergiou, K.I., Tsikliras, A.C. and Pauly, D. (2009) Farming up the Mediterranean food webs. Conservation Biology 23: 230-232.
- Varkey, D.A., Ainsworth, C.H., Pitcher, T.J., Goram, J. and Sumaila, R. (2009) Illegal, Unreported and Unregulated fisheries catch in Raja Ampat Regency, Eastern Indonesia. Marine Policy 34:228-236.
- Wabnitz, C., Andréfouët, S. and Muller-Karger, F.E. (2009) Measuring progress toward global marine conservation targets. Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment doi:10.1890/080109.
- Wilson, R.W., Millero, F.J., Taylor, J.R., Walsh, P.J., Christensen, V., Jennings, S. and Grosell, M. (2009) Contribution of fish to the marine inorganic carbon cycle. Science 323: 359-362.
- Worm, B., Hilborn, R., Baum, J.K., Branch, T., Collie, J.S., Costello, C., Fogarty, M.J., Fulton, E.A., Hutchings, J.A., Jennings, S., Jensen, O.P., Lotze, H.K., Mace, P.M., McClanahan, T.R., Minto, C., Palumbi, S.R., Parma, A.M., Ricard, D., Rosenberg, A.A., Watson, R. and Zeller, D. (2009) Rebuilding global fisheries. Science 325: 578-585.