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#### Abstract

The marine catch of China in its Exclusive Economic Zone (EEZ) has been officially overestimated for years, while the catches of China's distant-water fleets are officially underestimated. Given a recent reduction of the domestic catch figure published by the FAO, and a recent independent estimate of the catch of China's distant-water fleet, the overall, global marine catch of China from 2000 to 2010 appear to be in the 12-13 million $t \cdot y e a r{ }^{-1}$ range. Of this total, around 8.6 million $t \cdot y e a{ }^{-1}$ are caught in the Chinese EEZ, and around 4 million $t$ in the EEZs of other countries (especially in West Africa) and in the High Seas. Of the current domestic marine catch, slightly less than two-thirds is caught by large-scale, industrial vessels, and one third by artisanal gears. Estimates of recreational catches (around 50,000 t.year ${ }^{1}$ in recent years), subsistence catches ( $1 \%$ of the artisanal catch) and discards ( $0.5 \%$ of the artisanal and industrial catch) are also presented, but these are even more tentative than our artisanal and industrial landing figures.


## Introduction

The People's Republic of China (here: China, excluding Hong Kong and Taiwan, but including Macau) ${ }^{1}$ is rapidly growing to regain its historic role as one of the leading economies of the world. Its enormous consumption of resources of all kinds and output of a huge variety of manufactured and other products have huge impacts on the economies of other countries.

However, China, which is leading the world in a number of scientific and technological fields, remains saddled with an opaque statistical system which not only does not keep up with the country's development, but actively distorts production figures. Indeed, not a week passes without international news outlets picking up on this. For example, China is thought to massage its population statistics (Zhao and Zhang 2010) and its economic indicators (Worstall 2012; Oqvist 2013). With regards to marine fisheries, this statistical issue manifests itself in (i) an inflated 'domestic' catch (i.e., from the Exclusive Economic Zone [EEZ] claimed by China (see Figure 1), as demonstrated by Watson and Pauly (2001), and (ii) a huge unreported catch by

[^0]China's distant-water fleet (Pauly et al. 2013). It is further suggested that China may also overreport its aquaculture production (FAO 2012b; Godfrey 2013).

Therefore, this contribution has three goals:

1. To update the contribution of Watson and Pauly (2001) in order to adjust the officially reported marine catches of China to a level where they become compatible with reconstructed (rather than official) catches from waters similar to those in China's EEZ;
2. To integrate, to the extent possible, the estimated distant-water fisheries catch of China into a coherent framework, harmonized to the extent possible with the landings database maintained by the Food and Agricultural Organization of the United Nations (FAO); and
3. To provide a basis for discussion with Chinese colleagues who might be interested in the medium- or long-term in a reform of China's fisheries statistical system.


Figure 1. Map showing the extent of China's Exclusive Economic Zone (grey area), as well as the other areas it claims (stripped areas; either High Seas or claimed by other countries). The southern part is known as the 'Cow's Tongue’.

## Materials and Methods

China's domestic catches
Watson and Pauly (2001) quantified the extent of over-reporting of China's reported domestic catch by comparing catch densities in China's EEZ with the catch density in the rest of the world, based mainly on FAO landings data spatialized over a cell grid of 0.5 degree latitude and longitude (Watson et al. 2004) and a general linear model (GAM) with dependent variables, one of which was a dummy variable stating whether a cell belonged to China's EEZ or not. The GAM's positive (and significant) partial slope associated with this dummy variable both confirmed that catch densities in the Chinese EEZ were significantly higher than in the rest of the world, and allowed estimation of what China's catches would be with this dummy variable set at zero (i.e., if Chinese catches were comparable to those of the rest of the world; see Figure 2 in Watson and Pauly 2001).

However, the Sea Around Us 'reconstructed' the catch of numerous countries in the last decade (Zeller et al. 2007; Zeller and Pauly 2007; Zeller and Harper 2009; Harper and Zeller 2012; Harper et al. 2012), and concluded that while China over-reports its domestic catch, other countries under-report the marine fisheries catch they report to the FAO by about 30-50\% in developed countries, and often $100 \%$ in developing countries. Since it was such under-reported catch data that served as the baseline with which the official Chinese catches were compared, it is therefore likely that Watson and Pauly (2001) overestimated the extent to which China overreported the catch of its domestic marine fisheries.

Extrapolating the trends in Watson and Pauly (2001; Figure 2A) into the 2000s would thus suggest that the EEZ (over-)corrected catch of China is about 6 million $t \cdot y e a r{ }^{-1}$ while the uncorrected catch would be about 10 million t•year ${ }^{1}$, i.e., 1.67 times the uncorrected catch. As $67 \%$ is about halfway between the $30-50 \%$ underreporting for developed countries (see e.g., Zeller et al. 2011) and the $100 \%$ underreporting of many large developing countries (see e.g., Cisneros-Montemayor et al. 2013; Ulman et al. 2013), we can conclude that a catch of 10 million $\mathrm{t} \cdot \mathrm{year}{ }^{1}$ would be possible as a first estimate of the marine domestic catch of China that would have been obtained after comparison with globally reconstructed and spatialized catches (Figure $3)$.

Another way that China's catch could be re-evaluated is to spatialize the reconstructed catches of all countries and predict catch densities for the Chinese EEZ, by year, using the variables identified by Watson and Pauly (2001) as predictors for the Chinese catch by cell and year (primary production, depth, temperature, etc.). However, this cannot be done at present as reconstructions are lacking for numerous countries' EEZ and their catch have currently not been spatialized (as of September 2013).

A third approach was adopted here. Based on the data published by Watson and Pauly (2001; Figure 2A), we plotted the percentage of 'Total reported to FAO' included in the 'corrected EEZ catch', from 1970 to 2000 (Figure 2B). We then applied a linear regression to this time-series and extrapolated its trend to cover the entire 1950-2010 period (from 1950 to 1956, this ratio was higher than $100 \%$ and was therefore set to $100 \%$; Figure 2B). Finally, we applied this time series, representing the estimated percentage of the total catch caught within China's EEZ, to
the updated set of total catch extracted from FAO's FishStat (FAO 2012a), which resulted in an updated estimate of China’s catch within its EEZ for the period 1970-2010 (Figure 2C; see final update from 1950 to 2010 in Figure 4). Note that three species not occurring in the Chinese EEZ (i.e., Alaska pollock, J apanese jack mackerel, and Pacific sandlance; www.fishbase.org), as well as seven taxa of large pelagics (i.e., Thunnus alalunga, Thunnus obesus, Katsuwonus pelamis, Xiphias gladius, Thunnus albacares, and various Istiophoridae) were automatically assigned to catches outside the Chinese EEZ. The rest of the taxa (i.e., those occurring in the Chinese EEZ) were reallocated proportionately to catches either within or outside the Chinese EEZ in order to match Figure 2C.


Figure 2. Time series data used to estimate China's current domestic marine fisheries catches: A) time series in Watson and Pauly (2001) showing the total catch reported to FAO at that time (dotted line), as well the estimated corrected (thick line) and overcorrected (thin line) EEZ catch (both time-series carried forward from 2000 to 2010); B) fraction of the total catch caught within the EEZ (from panel A), with the linear regression carried forward to 2010; and C) new total catch reported to FAO (thin line) and updated EEZ catch estimated by applying the trend in $B$ to the new total catch reported to FAO. Catch from 1950 to 1970 are not shown (but see Figures 4 and 5).

## China's distant-water catches

Pauly et al. (2013), based on a variety of conventional and unconventional sources, estimated the distant-water catch of China (i.e., its High Seas catch and the catches from EEZs other than its own) as 4.6 million $t$-year ${ }^{-1}$ in the period 2000 to 2010. Distant-water fishing was initiated by China in the mid-1980s (Pang and Pauly 2001) and hence the catches from such fishing can be viewed as increasing linearly from 1985 to 2000, after which they settled at the average of 4.6 million $t$ •year ${ }^{-1}$, as mentioned above. This figure is higher than the 1.15 million $t$ •year ${ }^{-1}$ recently proposed by Chinese officials ${ }^{2}$ and much higher than the 360,000 $t \cdot$ year $^{-1}$ on average that are submitted by China to the FAO for all areas except Area 61 (Pauly and Froese 2012). It is, however, consistent with the suggestion by Pauly et al. (2013) that about one third of the catch of Chinese distant-water fisheries is being landed in China, because one third of 4.6 million $t$ is equal to about 1.5 million $t$, which is near the 1.15 million $t$ in question.

This estimate by Pauly et al. (2013) is close to the catch from Area 61 that was allocated to outside the EEZ (see above) added to the 300,000 t•year ${ }^{-1}$ reported to be caught in the other FAO areas, i.e., around 4 million t•year ${ }^{-1}$ in the late 2010s. For consistency reasons, we used the latter estimate in this report, rather than the one from Pauly et al. (2013). ${ }^{3}$

## Taxonomic composition of China's marine catch

The marine catch in the Northwest Pacific (FAO Statistical Area 61; allocated to catches within and outside the EEZ, as explained above in the 'China's domestic catches' section) reported by China to the FAO comprised (for the years 2000 to 2010) an average of 40 identified taxa (species, genera, and families; excluding large pelagic species), with the remainder consisting of larger categories such as 'marine fishes nei' (i.e., 'not elsewhere included'; around $19.5 \%$ of reported catches for the years 2000 to 2010). As such a broad category cannot be properly spatialized, we used, following Watson (2001), the taxa reported by Taiwan and South Korea (but not reported by China) ${ }^{4}$ to disaggregate these miscellaneous fish catches from the south and southern East China Seas, and the northern East China and Yellow Seas, respectively (each accounting for $50 \%$ of the 'marine fishes nei' catch). In addition, we assumed given reports of huge quantities of jellyfish being caught but always reported as 'others'5, that the 'marine fishes nei' category also consisted of $10 \%$ and $50 \%$ of jellyfish in 1950 and 2010, respectively (percentages linearly interpolated in between).

This approximate taxonomic disaggregation applies only to China's domestic catches, i.e., the proportion we estimated to be caught within its EEZ. Its distant-water fleet will likely have a catch composition similar to that of other fleets operating in the EEZ of the countries where China's fleets operate, or in the adjacent High Seas areas, and thus need not be dealt with here.

[^1]Figure 3 illustrates the transition from small-scale fishing (predominant in 1950) to industrial fisheries (currently dominating) in South Korea (from data in Shon et al. in press). As China's economic development lagged behind that of South Korea, we assumed that a similar trend occurred in China as well, but with a 10 year lag.


Figure 3. Relative catches by the small-scale and industrial sectors in South Korea (dotted line; data from Shon et al. in press), and the same time-series (smoothed with 5 -year moving average), but shifted 10 years forward in order to estimate this proportion for China, which developed its industrial fisheries later (see text).

To disaggregate the updated EEZ catch (the non-EEZ catch being de facto labelled as 'industrial'), we assigned each taxon present in the catch to either 'artisanal', 'industrial', ${ }^{6}$ or 'mixed' fisheries. We further considered that an 'artisanal species' was predominantly caught by the artisanal, small-scale fleet in 1950 (100\%) and that this proportion linearly decreased to only $50 \%$ in 2010 (i.e., the industrial fleet also catches species targeted by the small-scale fleet). Similarly, we considered that only $5 \%$ of the 'industrial species' were caught by the industrial fleet in 1950 (this fleet was very small), and that this figure linearly increased to $90 \%$ by 2010. The remaining catch of 'mixed species' was then allocated to either the 'small-scale' or 'industrial' sector in order to match the overall ratio estimated in Figure 3.

## Discarded catches

At least in their domestic fisheries, China does not seem to practice the widespread discarding of edible fish that occurs in other countries, notably because non-target and spoilt fish is used as feed in aquaculture. Thus, Kelleher (2005) gives a discarding rate of $0.5 \%$ in the spreadsheet that accompanies his review, communicated to him by "a member of the China delegation to COFI", i.e., FAO's Committee on Fisheries, its highest technical body. We applied this discarding rate throughout the time-period to catches in the EEZ (for both artisanal and industrial sectors).

[^2]In terms of their taxonomic composition, the estimated discards were assumed to consist of 'marine fishes nei.'

Recreational and subsistence fisheries
Shen (2008) writes that, in the marine waters of China, "popular target species include large yellow croaker (Pseudoscianea crocea), small yellow croaker (P. polyactis), yellow drum (Nibea albiflora), genuine porgy (Pagrosmus major), red porgy (Pagrus pagrus), black porgy (Sparus macrocephalus), J apanese sea perch (Lateolabrax japonicas), rockfish (Sebastes schlegeli) and grouper (e.g., Epinephelus maculatus)". He also writes that "[w]ith rapid development of recreational fishing, many new fishing gear manufacturing plants have been built, and now China is the largest producer and exporter of fishing gear in the world. Furthermore, it is widely recognized that recreational fisheries development drives service businesses such as hotels, inns, cafés, restaurants etc. - but the latter point might more heavily apply to freshwater fisheries, which are indeed very developed in China (Gao 2001; Lin and Hong 2005; Shen 2008).

However, reliable numbers are not available, and thus the catch of marine recreational fishers was estimated as the average of two Fermi solutions (von Baeyer 1993; Pauly 2010). The first method was based on data published by Cisneros-Montemayor and Sumaila (2010), who estimated that the contribution of marine recreational fisheries to global GDP suggested a participation rate of $0.3067 \%$ for 'Eastern Asia'. Given the current population of China, the participation rate generates (1,338 million*0.003067 $\Rightarrow 4.1$ million recreational fishers. Assuming that each recreational fisher catches 1 kg once a month gives (12*0.001*(4.1*106) approximately 49,000 t as a first estimate of the recreational marine catch of China in 2010.

The second method was based on other reconstructions currently available. We collected estimates of recreational catches for 11 continental countries in 2010, and weighted these catches by each country's Inshore Fishing Area (www.seaaroundus.org; Table 1). ${ }^{7}$ We then applied the average catch per IFA to China's IFA (i.e., $358,500 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$ ), which resulted in a catch of around 52,000 t by recreational fishers in 2010.

Table 1. Data and sources used to estimate China's recreational catch in 2010.

| Country | IFA ( $\mathbf{k m}^{2}$ ) | Catch (t) | IFA catch (t/km ${ }^{2}$ ) | Source |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :---: | :--- |
| South Korea | 97,000 | 52,800 | 0.54 | Shon et al. (in press) |
| Lithuania | 3,000 | 650 | 0.22 | (Rossing et al. 2010; Zeller et al. 2011) |
| Finland | 58,000 | 9,850 | 0.17 | (Rossing et al. 2010; Zeller et al. 2011) |
| Denmark | 58,000 | 6,100 | 0.11 | (Rossing et al. 2010; Zeller et al. 2011) |
| Costa Rica | 15,500 | 1,100 | 0.07 | (Trujillo et al. 2012) |
| Sweden | 101,000 | 6,600 | 0.07 | (Rossing et al. 2010; Zeller et al. 2011) |
| Poland | 19,500 | 1,050 | 0.05 | (Rossing et al. 2010; Zeller et al. 2011) |
| Latvia | 14,000 | 350 | 0.03 | (Rossing et al. 2010; Zeller et al. 2011) |
| Russia (Baltic Sea) | 18,500 | 450 | 0.02 | (Rossing et al. 2010; Zeller et al. 2011) |
| China | 358,500 | 50,850 | Mean $=0.14$ | This study |

[^3]Given that these two estimates are very close, ${ }^{8}$ we accepted their average as the correct value; we also assumed that the recreational fishery only started in 1985 (i.e., we set recreational catch to zero in 1984), which corresponds to the time when the effects of economic reforms initiated by Deng Xiaoping started to be felt. We then fitted a logarithmic regression between the 1984 and 2010 anchor points in order to interpolate data for missing years.

In terms of their taxonomic composition, $50 \%$ of the recreational catch is assumed to consist in equal proportion of the 9 species listed above as "popular target species" by Shen (2008) plus a group of 'other groupers', while the other $50 \%$ was assigned to 'marine fishes nei'.

For the subsistence sector, which is very difficult to separate from the artisanal sector (Krumme et al. 2013), we simply considered than $1 \%$ of the artisanal domestic catch by China consisted of catches retained for subsistence purposes, in contrast with the remaining $99 \%$ that we deemed to be marketed. We also assume the same taxonomic composition.

## Results and Discussion

Adding our estimate of the Chinese distant-water catch (outside the EEZ in Area 61, as well as catches in other FAO areas) to the Chinese domestic catch gives, for the years 2000 to 2010, an average total catch of 12.6 million $t \cdot$ year $^{-1}$. This is close to the total officially reported catch from China in those years, i.e., 12.5 million $t \cdot$ year $^{-1}$ (Figure 4, Appendix Table 1).


Figure 4. Total reconstructed catch for China, compared to the total catch reported to FAO (dashed line). The artisanal catch is shown in light grey, the industrial catch is shown in dark grey (both sectors operate within the EEZ), and the industrial catch outside the EEZ (distant-water fleets) is shown in medium grey. Subsistence and recreational catches, as well as industrial discards correspond to the thin, black area at the top.

[^4]The relationship between artisanal and industrial catch (Figure 3) that we forced onto the estimated domestic catch of China, and which applied to South Korea (see Figure 3), yielded, for the period since 2000, an industrial catch of about 6 million $t \cdot y e a r{ }^{-1}$, versus an artisanal catch of about 3 million $t$ •year ${ }^{-1}$ (Figure 4). While this was not based on any official Chinese definition of artisanal fisheries (but rather on the definitions in South Korea), it appears more reasonable than the figure of 10.4 million $t \cdot y e a r^{-1}$ (against 1.1 million for industrial fishing) suggested by FAO and World Fish Center (2008). That China's artisanal catch should be lower is confirmed by Govender (2013), who, on the basis of estimates of artisanal catches for over 100 other countries, established an empirical model for predicting countries' artisanal catch, given their inshore fishing area (Chuenpagdee et al. 2006) and other variables, and predicted, for China, an artisanal catch of 0.7 million $t \cdot y e a r{ }^{11}$.


Figure 5. Taxonomic breakdown of the EEZ catch for China, 1950-2010, based primarily on the species (groups) reported by China to FAO, but with 'marine fish nei' disaggregated using catch composition data from South Korea and Taiwan (including a varying proportion of jellyfish; see text).

Figure 5 shows the species breakdown of China's domestic catches. Jellyfish (Medusozoa), Largehead hairtail (Trichiurus lepturus), various molluscs and crustaceans, J apanese anchovy (Engraulis japonicus), Akiami paste shrimp (Acetes japonicus), Japanese flying squid (Todarodes pacificus), scads (Alepes spp.), chub mackerel (Scomber japonicus), and seerfishes (Scomberomorus spp.) are the main taxa, and jointly represent $60 \%$ of the catch. For Figure 5 to remain understandable, all other taxa, each representing less than $2.5 \%$ of the catch, were pooled into 'others' (see Appendix Table 2 for more detailed breakdown).

However, the approximate correspondence between our estimate and official catches is not a confirmation that Chinese statistics are roughly correct after all, but a fortuitous coincidence. It is a coincidence because the overall catch levels in the years since 1998 are the result of a political decision taken in 1998, when China's central government decided that the ever increasing reported catch of the mid to late 1990s had become an embarrassment, and decreed that catches would not be allowed to grow beyond its 1998 value (see details in Pang and Pauly 2001; Chapter 3 in Pauly 2010). Had this decision been taken, say 2 years later, the official catch of China would have been around 18 million $t \cdot y e a r^{-1}$, given the rate at which reported catches were growing at the time.

While the first official corrections of the Chinese catch data that were made - after a face-saving interval of several years - were minimal (as noted in Pauly and Froese 2012), the last correction, presented in the 2012 FAO statistics (FAO 2012a) are substantial. It indeed reduced China's marine catch to little more than 13 million t.year ${ }^{-1}$; about the same value that we obtain by adding the re-estimated domestic catch (as presented above) to the distant-water catch of Chinese fleet in Pauly et al. (2013). It is not clear whether the various corrections applied to FAO data come from FAO itself or from member countries. Some FAO officials argue that FAO never modifies the data contributed by member countries (Garibaldi 2012), while other senior officials publicly recognize that they commonly modify data if deemed necessary (Anon. 2013). Whatever the cause, the fact that the total catch now published by FAO and our estimate are similar is a coincidence, as we believe the Chinese domestic catch is still overestimated (by about 3.5 million $t \cdot y e a r^{-1},{ }^{9}$ while the distant-water catch of 4 million $t \cdot y e a r{ }^{-1}$ estimated by Pauly et al. (2013) is 3.5 times higher than the 1.15 million $t \cdot y e a r^{-1}$ that Chinese officials admit to.

However, this coincidence is fortunate, because, as a result, we will not be required to use for China, in forthcoming global analyses, a total catch much different from the official statistics. Rather, it is the origin of these catches that will differ from what FAO presents.
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Appendix 1. FAO landings vs. reconstructed total catch (in tonnes), and catch by sector, for China, 1950-2010.

| Year | Reported | Own EEZ Artisanal | Own EEZ Industrial | Own EEZ Recreational | Own EEZ Subsistence | Not in own EEZ Industrial |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1950 | 716,000 | 658,000 | 61,900 | 0 | 6,550 | 0 |
| 1951 | 1,016,000 | 929,000 | 93,000 | 0 | 9,240 | 0 |
| 1952 | 1,264,000 | 1,148,000 | 122,000 | 0 | 11,430 | 0 |
| 1953 | 1,275,000 | 1,152,000 | 129,500 | 0 | 11,470 | 0 |
| 1954 | 1,550,000 | 1,393,000 | 165,100 | 0 | 13,860 | 0 |
| 1955 | 1,685,000 | 1,504,000 | 189,600 | 0 | 14,960 | 0 |
| 1956 | 2,018,000 | 1,789,000 | 239,300 | 0 | 17,800 | 0 |
| 1957 | 1,907,000 | 1,671,000 | 236,500 | 0 | 16,630 | 8,600 |
| 1958 | 1,945,000 | 1,681,000 | 253,400 | 0 | 16,730 | 19,800 |
| 1959 | 2,031,000 | 1,731,000 | 277,100 | 0 | 17,230 | 32,300 |
| 1960 | 2,015,000 | 1,694,000 | 287,300 | 0 | 16,850 | 43,500 |
| 1961 | 2,045,000 | 1,693,000 | 305,900 | 0 | 16,850 | 55,800 |
| 1962 | 2,154,000 | 1,754,000 | 339,100 | 0 | 17,460 | 71,100 |
| 1963 | 2,151,000 | 1,729,000 | 349,100 | 0 | 17,200 | 83,200 |
| 1964 | 2,166,000 | 1,710,000 | 370,300 | 0 | 17,020 | 96,200 |
| 1965 | 2,245,000 | 1,740,000 | 402,900 | 0 | 17,320 | 112,500 |
| 1966 | 2,229,000 | 1,694,000 | 421,000 | 0 | 16,860 | 124,400 |
| 1967 | 2,209,000 | 1,646,000 | 437,600 | 0 | 16,380 | 135,900 |
| 1968 | 2,311,000 | 1,697,000 | 470,200 | 0 | 16,880 | 155,300 |
| 1969 | 2,300,000 | 1,663,000 | 480,000 | 0 | 16,550 | 167,700 |
| 1970 | 2,168,000 | 1,548,000 | 459,800 | 0 | 15,400 | 170,400 |
| 1971 | 2,348,000 | 1,662,000 | 513,400 | 0 | 16,540 | 183,600 |
| 1972 | 2,662,000 | 1,735,000 | 572,000 | 0 | 17,260 | 367,400 |
| 1973 | 2,708,000 | 1,713,000 | 617,700 | 0 | 17,050 | 389,100 |
| 1974 | 3,037,000 | 1,858,000 | 708,400 | 0 | 18,490 | 482,900 |
| 1975 | 3,158,000 | 1,952,000 | 805,000 | 0 | 19,420 | 414,500 |
| 1976 | 3,257,000 | 1,887,000 | 847,600 | 0 | 18,770 | 536,600 |
| 1977 | 3,288,000 | 1,779,000 | 864,300 | 0 | 17,700 | 657,900 |
| 1978 | 3,093,000 | 1,708,000 | 907,500 | 0 | 16,990 | 490,300 |
| 1979 | 2,717,000 | 1,531,000 | 922,500 | 0 | 15,230 | 276,200 |
| 1980 | 2,772,000 | 1,465,000 | 968,800 | 0 | 14,580 | 350,400 |
| 1981 | 2,740,000 | 1,434,000 | 1,042,700 | 0 | 14,270 | 275,200 |
| 1982 | 3,105,000 | 1,651,000 | 1,302,600 | 0 | 16,430 | 165,600 |
| 1983 | 3,203,000 | 1,639,000 | 1,384,700 | 0 | 16,310 | 194,700 |
| 1984 | 3,445,000 | 1,739,000 | 1,511,100 | 0 | 17,300 | 211,900 |
| 1985 | 3,665,000 | 1,764,000 | 1,735,700 | 10,600 | 17,550 | 183,100 |
| 1986 | 4,165,000 | 1,744,000 | 1,943,300 | 16,900 | 17,360 | 496,400 |
| 1987 | 4,731,000 | 1,784,000 | 2,261,700 | 21,300 | 17,750 | 705,200 |
| 1988 | 5,028,000 | 1,793,000 | 2,580,900 | 24,700 | 17,850 | 675,400 |
| 1989 | 5,335,000 | 1,530,000 | 2,561,200 | 27,500 | 15,230 | 1,264,400 |
| 1990 | 5,790,000 | 1,683,000 | 2,979,300 | 29,900 | 16,750 | 1,151,100 |
| 1991 | 6,373,000 | 1,732,000 | 3,174,500 | 31,900 | 17,230 | 1,491,100 |
| 1992 | 7,325,000 | 1,958,000 | 3,751,100 | 33,700 | 19,490 | 1,643,500 |
| 1993 | 8,169,000 | 2,018,000 | 3,882,800 | 35,400 | 20,080 | 2,297,500 |
| 1994 | 9,539,000 | 2,408,000 | 4,779,800 | 36,800 | 23,960 | 2,387,200 |
| 1995 | 10,955,000 | 2,793,000 | 5,421,900 | 38,200 | 27,790 | 2,781,300 |
| 1996 | 12,419,000 | 3,261,000 | 6,191,000 | 39,400 | 32,450 | 3,014,300 |
| 1997 | 12,789,000 | 3,634,000 | 6,690,000 | 40,500 | 36,160 | 2,516,100 |
| 1998 | 13,270,000 | 3,514,000 | 6,701,900 | 41,600 | 34,970 | 3,104,500 |
| 1999 | 13,003,000 | 3,065,000 | 5,642,400 | 42,600 | 30,500 | 4,339,300 |
| 2000 | 12,695,000 | 2,898,000 | 5,453,500 | 43,500 | 28,840 | 4,385,600 |
| 2001 | 12,305,000 | 3,105,000 | 5,921,600 | 44,400 | 30,900 | 3,322,900 |
| 2002 | 12,222,000 | 3,025,000 | 5,846,900 | 45,200 | 30,100 | 3,393,700 |
| 2003 | 12,212,000 | 2,950,000 | 5,576,800 | 46,000 | 29,360 | 3,727,300 |
| 2004 | 12,368,000 | 2,891,000 | 5,688,300 | 46,700 | 28,770 | 3,830,800 |
| 2005 | 12,379,000 | 2,862,000 | 5,579,900 | 47,500 | 28,470 | 3,979,300 |
| 2006 | 12,428,000 | 2,873,000 | 5,528,500 | 48,100 | 28,590 | 4,067,500 |
| 2007 | 12,403,000 | 2,873,000 | 5,382,000 | 48,800 | 28,580 | 4,189,100 |
| 2008 | 12,543,000 | 3,011,000 | 5,352,300 | 49,400 | 29,960 | 4,221,700 |
| 2009 | 12,736,000 | 3,090,000 | 5,468,700 | 50,000 | 30,740 | 4,220,100 |
| 2010 | 13,128,000 | 3,222,000 | 5,557,200 | 50,600 | 32,060 | 4,392,300 |

Appendix 2. Reconstructed total catch (in tonnes) by major taxa for China, 1950-2010 as presented in Figure 5. Taxonomic categories composing less than 2.5\% of total catch were grouped into "others".

| Year | Medusozoa | Trichiurus lepturus | Miscellaneous marine molluscs | Miscellaneous marine crustaceans | Engraulis japonicus | Acetes japonicus | Todarodes pacificus | Alepes | Scomber japonicus | Scomberomorus | Others |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1950 | 33,000 | 130,000 | 78,100 | 68,600 | 0 | 27,500 | 47,400 | 0 | 27,500 | 0 | 314,000 |
| 1951 | 50,700 | 188,000 | 96,600 | 98,900 | 0 | 39,600 | 73,500 | 0 | 39,600 | 0 | 444,000 |
| 1952 | 68,100 | 238,000 | 100,000 | 125,200 | 0 | 50,100 | 88,100 | 0 | 50,100 | 1 | 563,000 |
| 1953 | 73,300 | 242,000 | 92,300 | 127,200 | 0 | 50,900 | 71,200 | 0 | 50,900 | 0 | 586,000 |
| 1954 | 94,100 | 294,000 | 109,700 | 154,800 | 0 | 62,000 | 49,100 | 3 | 62,000 | 0 | 746,000 |
| 1955 | 108,400 | 322,000 | 109,100 | 169,400 | 0 | 67,700 | 89,000 | 0 | 67,700 | 0 | 775,000 |
| 1956 | 132,600 | 374,000 | 187,500 | 196,800 | 0 | 78,700 | 120,100 | 46 | 78,700 | 0 | 877,000 |
| 1957 | 134,400 | 363,000 | 121,800 | 190,900 | 0 | 76,400 | 134,100 | 0 | 76,400 | 0 | 827,000 |
| 1958 | 139,700 | 361,000 | 159,400 | 189,800 | 0 | 76,000 | 122,800 | 0 | 75,900 | 0 | 827,000 |
| 1959 | 152,800 | 377,000 | 150,300 | 198,600 | 0 | 79,500 | 159,700 | 0 | 79,500 | 0 | 828,000 |
| 1960 | 158,000 | 375,000 | 133,700 | 197,500 | 0 | 79,000 | 154,500 | 0 | 79,000 | 0 | 821,000 |
| 1961 | 163,400 | 373,000 | 162,700 | 196,300 | 0 | 78,500 | 213,100 | 0 | 78,500 | 0 | 750,000 |
| 1962 | 177,100 | 389,000 | 176,600 | 204,900 | 87 | 82,000 | 166,300 | 0 | 82,000 | 0 | 833,000 |
| 1963 | 182,500 | 387,000 | 172,500 | 203,800 | 0 | 81,500 | 274,100 | 0 | 81,500 | 0 | 712,000 |
| 1964 | 187,900 | 385,000 | 186,300 | 202,500 | 107 | 81,000 | 188,600 | 0 | 81,000 | 9 | 785,000 |
| 1965 | 202,400 | 401,000 | 170,300 | 210,800 | 0 | 84,300 | 168,100 | 0 | 84,400 | 5 | 839,000 |
| 1966 | 208,500 | 398,000 | 154,300 | 209,500 | 102 | 83,800 | 169,900 | 15 | 83,800 | 0 | 824,000 |
| 1967 | 213,400 | 396,000 | 134,600 | 208,300 | 0 | 83,300 | 102,500 | 0 | 83,300 | 0 | 879,000 |
| 1968 | 230,400 | 403,000 | 141,400 | 216,400 | 105 | 86,600 | 150,000 | 0 | 86,600 | 15 | 870,000 |
| 1969 | 236,200 | 400,000 | 129,800 | 215,000 | 0 | 86,000 | 127,700 | 20 | 86,100 | 4 | 878,000 |
| 1970 | 222,600 | 364,000 | 142,800 | 185,900 | 0 | 86,200 | 98,200 | 1 | 160,900 | 24,700 | 738,000 |
| 1971 | 272,600 | 399,000 | 103,400 | 201,200 | 0 | 71,700 | 81,600 | 0 | 34,000 | 0 | 1,028,000 |
| 1972 | 276,000 | 431,000 | 97,400 | 170,300 | 0 | 78,400 | 103,900 | 0 | 68,100 | 28,500 | 1,070,000 |
| 1973 | 305,700 | 487,000 | 101,600 | 301,900 | 0 | 4 | 97,000 | 31 | 80,400 | 31,500 | 942,000 |
| 1974 | 337,400 | 490,000 | 120,000 | 331,000 | 0 | 10 | 81,200 | 4 | 96,300 | 33,200 | 1,096,000 |
| 1975 | 407,500 | 424,000 | 227,000 | 328,500 | 0 | 5 | 102,400 | 0 | 74,000 | 29,400 | 1,184,000 |
| 1976 | 416,500 | 365,000 | 262,000 | 229,200 | 0 | 97,000 | 110,900 | 0 | 66,500 | 23,800 | 1,181,000 |
| 1977 | 369,300 | 317,000 | 242,400 | 199,800 | 0 | 138,600 | 51,500 | 0 | 108,800 | 30,900 | 1,203,000 |
| 1978 | 322,700 | 328,000 | 30,300 | 219,400 | 2 | 165,800 | 46,000 | 0 | 239,500 | 13,200 | 1,267,000 |
| 1979 | 331,100 | 396,000 | 6,200 | 221,700 | 0 | 88,800 | 67,400 | 82,700 | 101,700 | 38,400 | 1,135,000 |
| 1980 | 314,400 | 364,000 | 22,900 | 207,300 | 0 | 117,300 | 71,900 | 141,400 | 73,800 | 45,300 | 1,090,000 |
| 1981 | 319,700 | 452,000 | 18,700 | 208,200 | 0 | 140,600 | 91,600 | 125,400 | 66,600 | 43,700 | 1,024,000 |
| 1982 | 381,300 | 471,000 | 75,300 | 266,300 | 0 | 152,600 | 104,900 | 168,900 | 102,100 | 58,100 | 1,190,000 |
| 1983 | 378,400 | 428,000 | 273,600 | 254,800 | 4 | 158,900 | 62,800 | 201,100 | 145,700 | 58,800 | 1,078,000 |
| 1984 | 405,100 | 426,000 | 254,700 | 323,000 | 357 | 178,200 | 147,600 | 189,100 | 117,200 | 70,900 | 1,155,000 |
| 1985 | 457,000 | 439,000 | 331,200 | 323,500 | 0 | 200,200 | 82,100 | 223,900 | 88,600 | 86,700 | 1,295,000 |
| 1986 | 461,500 | 362,000 | 461,000 | 289,400 | 27 | 155,600 | 52,600 | 211,500 | 118,200 | 84,100 | 1,526,000 |
| 1987 | 540,500 | 339,000 | 575,200 | 261,100 | 995 | 140,000 | 95,400 | 297,100 | 143,600 | 85,300 | 1,606,000 |
| 1988 | 625,500 | 322,000 | 664,800 | 304,800 | 0 | 167,000 | 101,100 | 220,100 | 210,900 | 109,400 | 1,692,000 |
| 1989 | 647,700 | 322,000 | 366,800 | 335,300 | 0 | 167,800 | 162,500 | 231,700 | 178,800 | 114,300 | 1,607,000 |
| 1990 | 709,800 | 404,000 | 424,900 | 323,300 | 43,900 | 171,400 | 156,900 | 314,500 | 159,600 | 169,100 | 1,831,000 |
| 1991 | 782,000 | 441,000 | 422,800 | 373,500 | 89,000 | 170,800 | 259,100 | 330,400 | 190,900 | 157,900 | 1,738,000 |
| 1992 | 1,075,100 | 499,000 | 614,300 | 398,900 | 154,200 | 183,100 | 268,700 | 313,800 | 194,600 | 117,500 | 1,943,000 |
| 1993 | 984,700 | 471,000 | 700,600 | 558,600 | 414,100 | 194,500 | 307,400 | 193,200 | 202,000 | 107,800 | 1,823,000 |
| 1994 | 1,069,000 | 676,000 | 851,600 | 581,700 | 337,900 | 251,200 | 357,100 | 331,700 | 258,700 | 156,100 | 2,377,000 |
| 1995 | 1,158,500 | 801,000 | 1,001,000 | 657,000 | 377,100 | 300,700 | 423,600 | 397,200 | 286,800 | 174,900 | 2,703,000 |
| 1996 | 1,598,900 | 834,000 | 727,400 | 711,800 | 522,400 | 344,300 | 650,500 | 472,900 | 291,400 | 220,800 | 3,149,000 |
| 1997 | 1,628,500 | 779,000 | 1,150,500 | 834,100 | 922,800 | 368,600 | 481,400 | 388,500 | 313,900 | 261,300 | 3,272,000 |
| 1998 | 1,555,200 | 851,000 | 1,031,000 | 856,300 | 955,000 | 397,900 | 534,600 | 370,700 | 267,900 | 359,900 | 3,114,000 |
| 1999 | 1,344,900 | 720,000 | 853,600 | 666,900 | 646,400 | 341,400 | 442,900 | 296,200 | 237,300 | 334,700 | 2,896,000 |
| 2000 | 1,185,700 | 738,000 | 807,200 | 697,000 | 656,300 | 359,000 | 341,400 | 288,400 | 201,400 | 285,200 | 2,864,000 |
| 2001 | 1,192,900 | 820,000 | 897,000 | 809,000 | 806,200 | 361,900 | 334,500 | 348,400 | 244,000 | 305,700 | 2,982,000 |
| 2002 | 1,146,100 | 815,000 | 872,300 | 768,800 | 742,500 | 366,200 | 322,100 | 381,600 | 262,900 | 320,400 | 2,950,000 |
| 2003 | 858,100 | 795,000 | 506,500 | 0 | 816,800 | 391,300 | 189,000 | 421,500 | 273,700 | 247,000 | 4,104,000 |
| 2004 | 802,700 | 873,000 | 527,500 | 0 | 685,200 | 418,800 | 179,500 | 384,100 | 280,400 | 236,700 | 4,267,000 |
| 2005 | 853,300 | 793,000 | 546,800 | 7 | 641,300 | 405,700 | 157,800 | 386,000 | 304,200 | 259,000 | 4,171,000 |
| 2006 | 770,900 | 869,000 | 553,600 | 0 | 597,400 | 441,100 | 151,600 | 383,800 | 290,900 | 241,100 | 4,179,000 |
| 2007 | 773,000 | 824,000 | 532,500 | 2 | 576,800 | 434,900 | 124,300 | 408,200 | 245,900 | 325,500 | 4,086,000 |
| 2008 | 832,800 | 847,000 | 457,200 | 0 | 467,900 | 385,400 | 134,000 | 422,400 | 420,500 | 308,900 | 4,166,000 |
| 2009 | 1,060,700 | 825,000 | 471,800 | 222 | 367,800 | 414,300 | 175,800 | 380,000 | 279,400 | 302,000 | 4,362,000 |
| 2010 | 1,079,700 | 829,000 | 434,600 | 1,105 | 417,500 | 387,300 | 163,000 | 393,100 | 343,500 | 332,800 | 4,480,000 |


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Separate catch reconstructions exist for Hong Kong (Sumaila et al. 2007) and Taiwan (Kuo and Booth 2011), which are being updated.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ Liu Xiaobing, Director of the Division of Inter-national Cooperation, Bureau of Fisheries, Ministry of Agriculture, China. Available at: http://webcast.ec.europa.eu/eutv/portal/archive.html?viewConference=15690.
    ${ }^{3}$ However, Pauly et al. (2013) should be used as the reference study with regards to the areas in which these catches occur.
    ${ }^{4}$ Excluding indian scad, Japanese scad, king crab, okhotsk atka mackerel, pacific cupped oyster, pacific ocean perch, and red-eye round herring, which are not occurring in the Chinese EEZ.
    ${ }^{5}$ This is reported by a colleague to remain anonymous.

[^2]:    ${ }^{6}$ Only one species was included in this category, alfonsinos, given that it is a deepwater species (www.fishbase.org). Small molluscs and crustaceans were considered as 'artisanal', and other taxa were considered to be 'mixed'.

[^3]:    ${ }^{7}$ The Inshore Fishing Area is defined as the waters from coast to either 50 km offshore or 200 m depth, whichever comes first (Chuenpagdee et al. 2006).

[^4]:    8 The match between our two estimates of recreational catch is a coincidence.

[^5]:    ${ }^{9}$ Sampling surveys conducted in China from 2009-2012 suggest that its domestic marine catch is $8.5-10$ million $t \cdot y e a r{ }^{-1}$ (pers. comm. from a Chinese expert who prefers to remain anonymous) and hence confirm our numbers.

