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## Executive summary:

The two contributions in this Working Paper presents an attempt to combine official marine fisheries catch data from Western, Central and Eastern Indonesia with published and/or anecdotal knowledge on extensive foreign illegal and undocumented fishing (particularly in Eastern Indonesia) into coherent time series. These catch time series represent the reconstructed catch of Indonesia as a whole, and run from 1950, following Indonesian independence, and at a time when fisheries data collection was very sporadic, to 2010. Indonesia experienced decades of frenetic development subsidized by the national government and external entities (e.g., the Manila-based Asian Development Bank). Indonesia experienced illegal fishing, mainly by neighboring countries, particularly Thailand, Taiwan, China, Malaysia, and the Philippines, which we have considered in the present paper. Reconstructed catches have reached 5.1 million $t \cdot y e a r^{-1}$ in the last decade. Overall, the reconstructed catch for all of Indonesia of 1950-2010 is 38\% larger than reported by FAO on behalf of Indonesia. Domestic commercial fisheries (both reported and unreported components) made up about $78 \%$ of the total estimated catch, and their discards contributed and additional $20 \%$ to total estimated catch, mainly the result of shrimp trawler operations. The remaining amount of catch is from the subsistence fisheries, widely scattered throughout the Indonesian Archipelago; the catches of recreational fisheries are negligibly small. The catch is extremely diverse, with each family or other higher taxon in the reconstructed catch representing dozens of species, and each species representing hundreds and perhaps thousands of stocks scattered over $5,000 \mathrm{~km}$ of complex geography. Thus, a stock-by-stock, or even species-by-species study of these catch data will be largely in vain, except obviously for a few species of tuna, whose individuals may range over large swaths of SouthEast Asian seas.
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#### Abstract

This contribution presents an attempt to combine official catch data from the Java and southern South China Seas ('Central Indonesia') and from the eastern Indonesian provinces ('Eastern Indonesia) with anecdotal knowledge on extensive unreported fishing, particularly in Eastern Indonesia, into a coherent time series. These catch time series, when added to similar, previously estimated catch data from Western Indonesia, represent the reconstructed catch of Indonesia as a whole, and run from 1950, following Indonesian independence, and at a time when fisheries data collection was very sporadic, to 2010. Indonesia experienced decades of frenetic development subsidized by the national government and external entities (e.g., the Manila-based Asian Development Bank), and illegal fishing, mainly by neighboring countries, particularly Thailand, Taiwan, China, Malaysia, and the Philippines, which has been considered in the present paper. Reconstructed catches grew exponentially from 221,000 t in 1950 to $3,450,000 \mathrm{t}$ in 1988 , declined by $30 \%$, and then continued growing to reach over 4 million t in 2010. Overall, the reconstructed catch for Central and Eastern Indonesia of 117 million $t$ for 1950-2010 is 39\% larger than the 84.2 million $t$ reported by FAO on behalf of Indonesia. Industrial fisheries - both reported and unreported catch - made up $58.6 \%$ of the total estimated catch, along with an additional $18 \%$ of catch in the form of discards, mainly by shrimp trawlers. The remaining catch belongs to the artisanal sector ( $31 \%$ of catch), its discards (less than $1 \%$ of catch), subsistence fisheries ( $3 \%$ of catch), and recreational fisheries (less than $1 \%$ of reconstructed catch). The catch is extremely diverse, with each family or other higher taxon in the reconstructed catch representing dozens of species, and each species representing hundreds and perhaps thousands of stocks scattered over $5,000 \mathrm{~km}$ of complex geography. Thus, a stock-by-stock, or even species-by-species study of these catch data will be largely in vain, except obviously for a few species of tuna, whose individuals may range over large swaths of South-East Asian seas.


## INTRODUCTION

Indonesia, as an archipelagic country, has a long tradition of fishing (Butcher 1996, 2004) and fish is an important component of the food of Indonesians (Pauly 1996a). However, the pressure on Indonesian marine fishery resources has increased strongly in recent decades (Priyono and Sumiono 1997; Wever et al. 2012), due to a multiplicity of factors, among them increased demand from a much increased population, particularly in the western half of the country (Pauly 1989), and the development of industrial fisheries, especially trawling, which started in the early 1960 and grew rapidly, leading to a series of conflicts with the hundred thousand of small-scale fishers (Sudjastani 1982). These conflicts, which intensified through the mid-1970s, caused the Government of Indonesia to ban trawl fishing around Java and Sumatra in 1980 (Sarjono 1980; Buchary 1999). In 1981, this ban was extended to Kalimantan and Sulawesi, and in 1983 to the rest of the country, except for shrimp fisheries in its far eastern reaches (Butcher 2004).

This trawl ban and other measures taken to reduce overt conflicts between industrial and small-scale fisheries were the results of open-entry policies, which allowed for fishing effort, notably by trawlers, to grow without control (Bailey et al. 1987). Re-establishing control over Indonesian fisheries, and subjecting them to some form of pre-emptive management requires that basic information be available in reliable form, including catch data which, given the country's size and complexity, are difficult to collect.

Indeed, Indonesia has one of the most diverse fish fauna of the world (see Froese et al. (1996); updates in FishBase, www.fishbase.org), and even scientific resource surveys, notably trawl surveys have been traditionally plagued by taxonomic problems (Pauly 1986) Moreover, even after these problems were overcome - as they were, due in large part to the FAO publishing excellent identification guides ${ }^{1}$ - the fact remains that the catch composition of survey vessels, and by extension also in commercial catches, is extremely diverse. This may be illustrated by the contribution of Pauly and Martosubroto (1980), who studied the growth, mortality and yield-per-recruit of the red filament threadfin bream Nemipterus marginatus, based on catch per effort and length-frequency data collected during a trawl survey conducted in 1975 in the southern tip of the South China Sea.

During that survey, the Nemipteridae, or threadfin breams, with about 12 species then believed to occur in the area surveyed (Fischer and Whitehead 1974), were the most abundant taxon in the catch, contributing about $20 \%$. Of this, Nemipterus marginatus was the most abundant threadfin bream, with about $6 \%$ of the family contribution; this, however, represented only $1.2 \%$ of the total catch. Thus, population dynamics studies aimed at identifying optimal mesh sizes and appropriate levels of fishing mortality for single species - e.g., the study of Pauly and Martosubroto (1980) - were essentially useless, as was the compilation of fish and shrimp growth and related parameters by Dwiponggo et al. (1986). Rather, it is now understood that the assessment of multispecies fish stocks such as occur in Indonesia should be focused on changes in catch levels and composition, with rapid changes of these indicators being strong evidence of lack of sustainability (Pauly 1979; Pauly et al. 1998; Kleisner et al. 2012).

Tracking catch levels and compositions, however, requires accurate catch data, including all fisheries, i.e., included catches from illegal fisheries, and discards, which are usually not included in catch statistics. This report is a small contribution toward this aim, i.e., an attempt to estimate the actual catches and thus correct - to the extent possible - various deficiencies in the official Indonesian statistics.

As implied by its title, the reconstruction presented here does not treat Indonesia as a single entity. Rather, because of its enormous longitudinal extent and different environmental (Longhurst and Pauly 1987) and socio-economic conditions in the West and East of the country, we decided to split Indonesia into three zones (Fig. 1):

1. Western Zone (I): largely overlapping with Indian Ocean waters (FAO Area 57), and with the eastern part of the Bay of Bengal (Budimartono et al. in press) and hence with the area covered by the Bay of Bengal Large Marine Ecosystem Project (BOBPLME; Harper et al. (2011); Kleisner and Pauly (2011));
2. Central Zone (II): consisting of the Java Sea and the southern top of the South China Sea; and
3. Eastern Zone (III): consisting of the waters of all Indonesian provinces east of the Java Sea (including Bali) and the corresponding EEZ. This Zone, which includes in its southwest some of the Indian Ocean not covered in Zone I, corresponds to the Indonesian part of the "Coral Triangle" as defined by Fidelman et al. (2012) and Veron et al. (2009) in Figure 1.
[^0]Because this contribution, which emphasizes Zone II and III, was assembled after that of Budimartono et al. (in press), covering Zone I, the descriptions of methods is adapted, sometimes literally, from this previous work, as were parts of this introduction.

## Methods

## Data pre-processing

Figure 1 defines the areas emphasized here, which includes most of the Indonesian provinces listed in Table 1. Note that a few of these provinces have only one coast included within FAO area 71 (Western Central Pacific), with the other included in FAO Area 57 (Eastern Indian Ocean). For such cases, we have estimated the approximate fraction of their waters in each FAO Area (Table 1), and have allocated their reported catch proportionately. The next step consisted of first-order processing of the nominal (official) catch time series at the province level (obtained from Ministry of Marine Affairs and Fisheries).

This consisted of:

1) Transposing the available data, by province, from PDF format to Microsoft Excel format and correcting obvious errors detectable at this stage (notably, order-of-magnitude jumps in catches due to misplaced dots or zeroes);
2) Interpolating the catches, by province, for a few missing years on the assumption that fisheries do not disappear from one year to the next, and then re-appear a year later;
3) Translating the Indonesian common names used in provincial (and national statistics) into their nearest scientific equivalent using resources such as the book by Schuster and Djajadiredja (1952), Directorate General of Fisheries (1975) and FishBase (www.fishbase.org);
4) Applying the average proportion by provinces of each taxon from 1973 to 1975 backward into the total FAO catch (Area 57 and 71); and
5) Applying, once (1) to (4) were completed, a between-year taxonomic harmonization such as to avoid a higher taxonomic category, e.g., 'tuna' abruptly disintegrating into its component species, or vice-versa.

Steps (1) to (5) generated time series of nominal catch by Zone, accounting for the fact that the catch of a few provinces straddling FAO areas 57 and 71 had to be divided up between the Indian Ocean and Central Zones (see Table 1).

Table 1. Special Regions and Provinces of Indonesia, with \% of their coastal areas in FAO Area 57 and/or 71.

| Province | Zone 1 - Indian Ocean | Zone 2 - Central Indonesia | Zone 3 - Eastern Indonesia |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Special Region of Yogyakarta | 100 | 0 | 0 |
| Aceh | 100 | 0 | 0 |
| North Sumatra | 100 | 0 | 0 |
| West Sumatra | 100 | 0 | 0 |
| Bengkulu | 100 | 0 | 0 |
| Riau | 80 | 20 | 0 |
| Lampung | 30 | 70 | 0 |
| Banten | 50 | 50 | 0 |
| West Java | 60 | 40 | 0 |
| Central Java | 40 | 60 | 0 |
| East Java | 40 | 60 | 0 |
| Bali | 50 | 50 | 0 |
| West Nusa Tenggara | 0 | 0 | 100 |
| East Nusa Tenggara | 0 | 0 | 100 |
| Jambi | 0 | 100 | 0 |
| Riau Islands | 0 | 100 | 0 |
| South Sumatra | 0 | 100 | 0 |
| Bangka-Belitung | 0 | 100 | 0 |
| Special Capital Region of Jakarta | 0 | 100 | 0 |
| West Kalimantan | 100 | 0 |  |
| Central Kalimantan | 100 | 0 |  |
| East Kalimantan | 0 | 0 | 100 |
| South Kalimantan | 0 | 0 | 100 |
| North Sulawesi | 0 | 0 | 100 |
| Gorontalo | 0 | 0 | 100 |
| Central Sulawesi | 0 | 0 | 100 |
| West Sulawesi | 0 | 0 | 100 |
| South Sulawesi | 0 | 0 | 100 |
| Southeast Sulawesi | 0 | 0 | 100 |
| North Maluku | 0 | 0 | 100 |
| Maluku | 0 | 0 | 100 |
| Papua | 0 | 0 | 100 |
| West Papua | 0 | 0 | 100 |

## Small-scale fisheries (artisanal, subsistence, and recreational)

In Indonesia, small-scale fisheries are defined by their use of vessels relying on sail or outboard engine for propulsion, as well as fishers operating gear without a boat, irrespective the size of their gear (Priyono and Sumiono 1997; Chuenpagdee et al. 2006). Thus, the relatively large 'bagans' or fixed lift net (Pauly 1977) are considered artisanal, although they are likely to require a large capital investment to build.

To allocate the catches obtained in Step 5 to either industrial and artisanal fisheries, we created a list of species (or higher taxa) by province (or part thereof) and assigned to each the likely percentage of their catch presumed to be taken in 1970-2010 by industrial vessels (mainly purse seiners in the case of pelagic fishes and trawlers in the case of demersal fishes) (Appendix 1). These percentages (the mean of independent estimates by the three co-authors) were then used to compute the catch by species groups of industrial fisheries, and province, and by subtraction, the corresponding small-scale fisheries catch. For the years before 1970, a phase-in period of 5 years was assumed, starting in 1966 in Central Indonesia and 1975 in Eastern Indonesia, and thus reflecting the earlier industrialization of fisheries in Central Indonesia (Bailey et al. 1987; Butcher 2004; Morgan and Staples 2006).

Unreported catches in the artisanal sector were mostly in the region of Eastern Indonesia, yet were caught by artisanal migratory fishers from Western or Central Indonesia, who moved east due to declining catch
rates in their own respective regions (Bailey et al. 2007). Wagey et al. (2009) details the level of unreported catch by these migratory fishers, which we utilized in the present analysis.

To estimate the contribution of subsistence fisheries (wherein fishers keep fish for their own consumption and that of their families and friends), the total number of fishers from 1976 to 2010 in 10 years intervals (with interpolations for the intervening years) and total number of fishers as a fraction of the total Indonesian population (1950 to 1975) were used, jointly with figures of $0.2 \mathrm{~kg} \cdot \mathrm{fisher}{ }^{-1} \cdot{ }^{-1 a y}{ }^{-1}$ for catches generated in Central Indonesia, and o.4 kg.fisher ${ }^{-1} \cdot$ day $^{-1}$ for catches generated in Eastern Indonesia, to estimate the take of subsistence fisheries (Willoughby et al. 1999). As we treated both full time fishers and part time fishers as equivalent (in the context of subsistence), and thus used their total number, we assumed that the frequency of fishing for each fisher would be 4 days per week and 40 weeks a year.

Marine recreational fishing was reported to generate a catch of 5,000 to $10,000 \mathrm{t} \cdot \mathrm{year}^{-1}$ in the mid-1990s (unpublished update to Willoughby et al. 1999). Given that recreational fishing, in Indonesia, appears to be an urban-based phenomenon, we derived, from the relative population of the 10 largest cities in Indonesia, a key to allocate the midrange ( $7,500 \mathrm{t}$ ) of fish caught recreationally to the three parts of Indonesia identified above, i.e., Central Indonesia ( $80 \%$; high because of the cumulative populations of Jakarta, Semarang, Bekasi, Tangerang, Depok, Palembang, and Surabaya), Western Indonesia (15\%; e.g., Bandung and Medan), and Eastern Indonesia ( $5 \%$; Makassar). The year 1994 catch of $7,500 \mathrm{t}$ was decreased for previous years and increased to 2010 by making it proportional to the size of the Indonesian population.

Sudirman et al. (2006) suggest that the average discard rate for lift nets in Makassar Strait is about 2.2\%, and that most of the discarded species have low values and/or are small sized. The artisanal fisheries in Indonesia have low discard rate; hence this rate will be used to estimate artisanal discards for both Central and Eastern Indonesia.

## Industrial fisheries (legal and illegal) and their discards

The industrial reported catch was adjusted only lightly to account for the effect of the 1980 trawl ban. The ban was effective, in the short run and in some areas such as along the coast of Java, in reducing the visibility of trawlers to artisanal fishers, but they largely continued to operate further offshore, out of their sight (D. Pauly, 1983; pers. obs. on Javanese trawlers with recently used - and hence shiny - drums on their main winches), or were converted to purse seiners (Butcher 2004), another industrial gear. (The latter can be assumed to have led to an increase of the relative contribution of small pelagic fishes in the reported catch, and is thus accounted for). To account for the effects of the trawl ban, we shall assume that the catch of trawlers (and the corresponding discards) in Central Indonesia became, in 1980, only 30\% of what it would have been had there been no ban, this fraction declining to $20 \%$ in 1981, and $10 \%$ in 1982 (after which we return to business as usual), with the artisanal fisheries and the industrial pelagic fisheries being attributed this additional (formerly trawl) catch.

Central Indonesian trawlers, and contrary to a widespread perception of the opposite, do engage in discarding their by-catch of less valuable fish, and keep mainly the shrimp and valuable fish (D. Pauly, pers. obs. July 1976 in the northern Java Sea, off Kalimantan), while discarding by trawlers in Eastern Indonesia is well documented (Wagey et al. 2009). Therefore, we have added an assumed discarded bycatch to the nominal industrial catch of demersal taxa, i.e., from $20 \%$ in 1965 to 1989, then declining linearly to $2 \%$ in 2000 (M. Badrudin, unpublished data). We consider this estimate conservative, as it is much less than the discarding rate estimated for the North Sumatra trawler fleet where it is suggested that "two thirds of the catch by the trawler fleet operating in North Sumatra is discarded over the side, lost to the marine ecosystem and the local fishermen" (JALA 2009).

There is, in all parts of Indonesia, a substantial amount of unreported industrial catch by both domestic fleets (unregulated catch) and foreign fleets (illegal catch), with an increasing gradient from West to East, although this seems to have abated in recent years (Ganapathiraju et al. 2008). Since Eastern Indonesia is very far from Indonesia's population centers and from the center of political power, both on Java Island and the West, the east is also where most foreign illegal fishing occurs, either as brazen incursions into the Indonesian EEZ by Thai, Taiwanese, Chinese or Philippine vessels, or based on agreements whose terms are not respected (Ganapathiraju et al. 2008). For the purposes of the present reconstruction, it was estimated that the most common countries to illegally fish in Indonesian waters were Thailand, Taiwan, China, Malaysia, and the Philippines.

For Eastern Indonesia, unregulated and illegal activities by industrial trawlers are documented by Wagey et al. (2009), and his estimates were used in the present analysis. We assumed that $10 \%$ of the unreported catch he cited was taken by domestic, Indonesian trawlers, and the remaining $90 \%$ of catch was illegal and was divided equally among the five countries listed above.

For Central Indonesia, we conservatively assumed unreported industrial fishing by trawlers (both foreign and domestic) corresponded, in the 198os and 1990s, to $30 \%$ of the domestic reported catch that we assigned to industrial fisheries. For the period preceding this, we assume that this rate grew linearly from zero in 1961 to $30 \%$ in 1980. Also, we assumed, given the decline in illegal fishing alluded to above, that the rate of non-reporting declined from $30 \%$ to $10 \%$ in the period from 1995 to 2005, then remained stable at $10 \%$. Due to lack of data on the likely proportion of catch taken by domestic and foreign trawlers (except the knowledge that there was more illegal catch in the east than in the west), we assumed an equal proportion of catch taken by trawlers from Indonesia, Thailand, Taiwan, China, Malaysia, and the Philippines.

In addition to unreported catch by trawlers, we assumed that unreported catch by purse-seiners (both domestic and foreign) was half of the unreported trawler catch in both Central and Eastern Indonesia (in tonnage). Furthermore, Kelleher (2005) suggests that the discard rate for purse seine in Java Sea is around $1 \%$, while Bailey et al. (1996) suggest that the tuna discard rate for Eastern Indonesia is around $0.39 \%$. Their discards consist of target catches, as well as other small pelagics (Nurhakim et al. 1998).

The discards of unreported catch were assumed to be the same as for the reported trawl and purse-seine fishery (see above). For inferences of unregulated and illegal fishing and discarding, we use the sources in Table 2.

Table 2. Sources used to infer the extent of illegal, unreported fishing, and discarding in Central and Eastern Indonesia

| Zone (IUU and/or discards) | Source | Remarks |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Eastern (discards) | Sudirman et al. (2006) | $2.18 \%$ for artisanal by using bagan bambo (liftnet) |
| Eastern (discards) | Bailey et al. (1996) | $0.39 \%$; only for targeted catch (purse-seine) |
| Eastern (discards) | Clucas and Teutscher (1998) | $88.4 \%$ In Arafura Sea |
| Eastern (discards) | Gillett (2008) | $>80 \%$ in Arafura Sea |
| Eastern (IUU) | Varkey et al. (2009) | IUU in Raja Ampat Regency |
| Eastern (IUU) | Badrudin et al. (2008) | Unreported catch in Arafura Sea |
| Eastern (IUU) | Bailey et al. (2007) | Unreported catch in Kabui Bay, Raja Ampat |
| Eastern (IUU and discards) | Wagey et al. (2009) | IUU and discard rates for shrimp trawler catch (Table |
| Central (discards) | JALA (2009) |  |
| Central (discards) | M. Badrudin (unpubl. data) | $2 / 3$ of the total catch in North Sumatra is discarded |
| Central and Eastern (discards) | Kelleher (2005) | $>80 \%$ in Arafura Sea; 8\% for the rest of Indonesia |
| Central and Eastern (IUU and discards) | Willoughby et al. (1999) | Unreported catch in Indonesia |
| Central and Eastern (IUU and discards) | Ganapathiraju et al. (2008) | IUU and discards in Indonesia |
| Central and Eastern (IUU and discards) | Funge-Smith et al. (2005) | "Discards in Indonesia are considered insignificant |
|  |  | [...] except for Arafura Sea shrimp fishery" |

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The catch reconstructions and related information that were obtained here are presented separately for Central and Eastern Indonesia and, jointly with data from Budimartono et al. (in press) on Western Indonesia, for Indonesia as a whole.

Issues related to the nominal catch statistics
Our partitioning of provincial catch statistics within FAO Area 71 resulted in slight discrepancies with the catches submitted by Indonesia to FAO and disseminated through the FishStat database (Figure 2). These discrepancies were evidential almost throughout the time series, and they were presumably due to inadequate data transfer from Indonesia to FAO (see also Yamamoto 1980). FAO's data usually remain markedly above national data, suggesting that the data transfer between the Indonesian statistical service and FAO could still be improved (Zeller et al. 2007). Note that in more recent years, there was a decrease in the discrepancies, i.e., our partitioning of provincial catch statistics closely resembled FAO's data, which might indicate an improvement in data transfer.

Moreover, in the mid-1970s, there was a significant decrease in the reported catch for FAO Area 71, and this was thought to be an anomaly in the data, possibly due to changes FAO made to the boundaries between the FAO Areas 57 and 71. The anomaly was reduced by applying backward the average proportion by provinces of each taxon into the total reported FAO catch (Area 57 and 71 ) assigned to each taxon (see Material \& Methods section).

## Central Indonesia

This Zone consists exclusively of the southern tip of the South China Sea and the Java Sea, and covers an area of 1.8 million $\mathrm{km}^{2}$, i.e., the shallow waters of the Sunda Shelf, where trawl fisheries were initiated in the 1960 s, aided by loans from the Asian Development Bank (Mannan 1997). These fisheries rapidly grew and were soon involved in widespread (and often violent conflicts) with the hundreds thousands of artisanal fishers operating along the coast of Java (Buzeta et al. 1979; Bailey et al. 1987). This led, in 1980, to a trawl ban (see above) whose effect was strongest along the coast of Java. One of these effects was the explosive development of an industrial fishery for small pelagics (predominantly scads, Decapterus spp.; Figure 3), which were mostly caught by large and medium purse-seine vessels (Widodo 1995; Nurhakim et al. 1998) The Decapterus spp. catch from the northern part of Java shows high fluctuations, with a number of peaks - notably in 1985 - and an overall upward trend in the early time period (Nurhakim et al. 1998). The development of purse-seines first started around northwestern Java, then since 1982, it spread to the entire Java Sea, the southern part of the South China Sea, and the southern part of the Makassar Strait (Nurhakim et al. 1987; Potier and Petit 1995). Illegal fishing by foreign fleets occurs, in Central Indonesia, mainly in the southern tip of the South China Seas, in the vast space between the West Coast of Kalimantan, Singapore and the Southeast coast of Sumatra (see Figure 1). Detailed reviews of the demersal and pelagic fisheries resources and their biology in Central Indonesia are included in Pauly and Martosubroto (1996).

The reconstructed total catch for Central Indonesian marine fisheries from 1950 to 2010 was estimated to be 40.3 million $t$ (Figure 4), and increased from around $145,000 \mathrm{t} \cdot \mathrm{year}^{-1}$ in the 1950 to around 1.34 million $t \cdot$ year $^{-1}$ in the 2000s. The total catches are estimated to be around $14.4 \%$ higher than the catch reported by FAO and Indonesia (adjusted to Central Indonesia). Industrial domestic fisheries - both reported and unreported - made up $55.6 \%$ of the total estimated catch. Discards from both artisanal and industrial fisheries were estimated to be $8 \%$ (from artisanal catch, shrimp trawlers catch, and purseseiners catch) of total catches, while artisanal (34\%), subsistence ( $2 \%$ ), and recreational fisheries ( $<1 \%$ ) made up the rest.

Discarding rates are widely assumed to be insignificant in Central Indonesia, as fishers are thought to retain by-catch for sale or family consumption (Funge-Smith et al. 2005; Ganapathiraju et al. 2008). Following the introduction of industrial gears, discard rates were relatively high. However, in recent years, discard rates are thought to have declined as only the least valuable part of the catch is discarded ('trash' fishes, damaged, and/or small sized fishes; M. Badrudin, unpublished data).

Major contributing taxa in the reconstructed catch were Carangidae (jacks and pompanos), Scombridae (mackerels, tunas, and bonitos), Clupeidae (herrings, shads, and sardines), Engraulidae (anchovies), Ariidae (sea catfishes), Penaeidae (penaeid shrimps), Leiognathidae (slipmouths, or ponyfishes), and Polynemidae (threadfins; Figure 5). As might be seen, the fish catch consisted of a wide variety of families - notably percoids - almost all of which are consumed, even small fishes such as the abundant pony fishes, of Leiognathidae (Pauly 1977; Pauly and Wade-Pauly 1981).

## Eastern Indonesia

Contrary to Central Indonesia, which consists of massive islands separated by shallow shelf waters, Eastern Indonesia is characterized by scattered, smaller islands (except for Eastern Papua, of course), separated by deep basins (Dalzell and Pauly 1989). Successive eustatic changes of sea levels have repeatedly isolated then reunited these basins, thus causing an extraordinary rate of speciation (Froese and Pauly 2013). This is the reason why Eastern Indonesia is part of the global center of marine diversity (Hoeksema 2007), and hence part of the Coral Triangle (Veron et al. 2009; Fidelman et al. 2012).

The total reconstructed catch for Eastern Indonesian marine fisheries and increased from around 147,000 $t \cdot$ year $^{-1}$ in the 1950s to around 2.42 million $t \cdot y e^{-1}$ in the 2000 (Figure 6). The total catches of 76.8 million t from 1950 - 2010 are estimated to be around $57 \%$ higher than the catch reported by FAO and Indonesia (adjusted to Eastern Indonesia). Industrial and artisanal fisheries catch - both reported and unreported amounts - contributed $43 \%$ and $29 \%$, respectively, to total estimated catch. Discards from both artisanal and industrial fisheries were estimated to be $25 \%$ (from artisanal catch, shrimp trawlers catch, and purse-seiners catch) of total catches, while subsistence ( $3 \%$ ) and recreational fisheries ( $<1 \%$ ) made up the rest.

Due to the its exemption from the 1980 trawl ban and remote geographical location, Eastern Indonesia is known to have the highest industrial discard rates in the region (Kelleher 2005; Gillett 2008). The trend is apparent in the early 1980 os to mid-1990s, where discard rates were highest, and the fishery expanded its capacity and fishing grounds (Wagey et al. 2009). In recent years, the discard rates and amounts varied, with a general downward trend (Ganapathiraju et al. 2008; Wagey et al. 2009). A similar trend can also be seen with the illegal and misreported components of industrial fishery in the region. As there are numerous remote and small landing sites around the region, a large proportion of the catch are not included in the official statistics (Varkey et al. 2009). Moreover, misreporting could also be contributed to artisanal migratory fishers who fish in Eastern Indonesia yet do not report their catch (Bailey et al. 2007). The decline in discard, misreported, and illegal components of industrial fishery in Eastern Indonesia presumably impacts on the increase of reported industrial catch, itself due to improvements in the surveillance and enforcement in the region (Wagey et al. 2009).

Figure 7 presents the taxonomic composition of the catch from Eastern Indonesia, highlighting the importance of large pelagic fishes, notably tuna, in this part of the world. Major contributing taxa in the reconstructed catch were Carangidae (jacks and pompanos), Scombridae (mackerels, tunas, and bonitos), Clupeidae (herrings, shads, and sardines), Hemiramphidae (halfbeaks), Engraulidae (anchovies), Leiognathidae (slipmouths, or ponyfishes), Latidae (lates perches), and Ariidae (sea catfishes). We believe that the estimates presented in these two figures still underestimate catches, but the scarcity of comprehensive datasets on the extent of unregulated and illegal fishing forced us to be conservative.

## Indonesia - Central and Eastern

Figure 8 shows a time series of total reconstructed marine catch from 1950 to 2010 for Central and Eastern Indonesia, by fishery sectors and compares it with the catch that the FAO reports on behalf of Indonesia. Overall, reconstructed catch, of 117 million $t$ is 1.39 times larger than the FAO reported catch. The ratio of reconstructed to reported catch peaks in the 1980s, where the reconstructed catch is around 1.72 times the FAO reported catch, although this has improved to around 1.09 times since 2000. Industrial fisheries - both reported and unreported catch - made up $48 \%$ of the total estimated catch, along with an additional $18 \%$ of catch in the form of discards, mainly by shrimp trawlers. The remaining catch belongs to the artisanal sector ( $31 \%$ of catch), its discards (less than $1 \%$ of catch), subsistence fisheries ( $3 \%$ of catch), and recreational fisheries (less than $1 \%$ of reconstructed catch).

The estimated discarded industrial catch peaked in the early 1980 to early 1990 , due to rapid development of industrial fisheries in most of Indonesia (Figure 8). With low enforcement and surveillance levels, illegal fishing activities, as well as misreporting in Indonesia were high, especially in Eastern Indonesia (Buchary et al. 2006; Wagey et al. 2009).

Small-scale activities in Indonesia are considered to be stable, with low tonnage generated by recreational and subsistence fisheries (Figure 8), and a low discarding rate for artisanal fisheries. Figure 9 presents the taxonomic composition of the reconstructed catch, Major contributing taxa in the reconstructed catch were Carangidae (jacks and pompanos), Scombridae (mackerels, tunas, and bonitos), Clupeidae (herrings, shads, and sardines), Engraulidae (anchovies), Leiognathidae (slipmouths, or ponyfishes), Ariidae (sea catfishes), Hemiramphidae (halfbeaks), and Penaeidae (peneid shrimps). It must be realized, however, that this figure was derived through inter- and extrapolations, and in any case, can give only a rough approximation of an extremely diverse catch of Indonesian fishes. Notably, each family or other higher taxon in this figure represent dozens of species, and each species may represent hundreds of stocks scattered over $5,000 \mathrm{~km}$ of complex geography. Thus, a stock-by-stock, or even species-by-species study of these catch data will be largely in vain, except obviously for a few species of tuna, whose individuals may range over large swaths of South-East Asian seas.
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Figure 1. The EEZ of Indonesia, showing the extent of the three zones into which we have split this huge country. Note that the westernmost part, the "Western Zone", was covered in Budimartono et al. (in press) and that its north-western part corresponds to Indonesia's part of the Bay of Bengal (Harper et al. 2011; Kleisner and Pauly 2011). The Eastern Zone represents Indonesia's part of the Coral Triangle (Veron et al. 2009). Please note that there are a few disputed areas within the Indonesian EEZ claims; with China in the southern tip of South China Sea, and with Australia around the Timor Sea. Moreover, a part of the Timor Sea belongs to East Timor.


Figure 2. Nominal catch statistics available from the Ministry of Marine Affairs and Fisheries for what we define as Central and Eastern Indonesia compared with data from FAO Area 71. See text for discrepancies between these two lines.


Figure 3. Nominal fisheries catch of scads (Decapterus spp.), 1950-2010, for "West Java" (catches from West Java [adjusted to FAO Area 71], Jakarta, and Banten), Central Java, and East Java Provinces (both adjusted to FAO Area 71), exhibiting the effect of trawl ban on the development of purse-seine fishery in northern Java (see text).


Figure 4. Reconstructed total catches for Central Indonesia (as defined in Figure 1), by sectors, 1950-2010 (See Appendix A3 for the corresponding tabular data). The catch of recreational fisheries is too small to be shown on this graph.


Figure 5. Reconstructed total catches for Central Indonesia (as defined in Figure 1), by major taxa, 1950-2010. All other taxa ( $\mathrm{n}=26$ ) were grouped into 'Others' (see Appendix A4 for the corresponding tabular data).


Figure 6. Reconstructed total catches for Eastern Indonesia (as defined in Figure 1), by sectors, 1950-2010 (See Appendix A5 for the corresponding tabular data). The catch of recreational fisheries is too small to be shown on this graph.


Figure 7. Reconstructed total catches for Eastern Indonesia (as defined in Figure 1), by major taxa, 1950-2010. All other taxa ( $\mathrm{n}=26$ ) were grouped into 'Others' (see Appendix A6 for the corresponding tabular data).


Figure 8. Reconstructed total catches for Indonesia (Central and Eastern), by sectors, 1950-2010 (See Appendix A7 for the corresponding tabular data). The catch of recreational fisheries is too small to be shown on this graph.


Figure 9. Reconstructed total catches for Indonesia (Central and Eastern), by major taxa, 1950-2010. All other taxa ( $\mathrm{n}=32$ ) were grouped into 'Others' (see Appendix A8 for the corresponding tabular data).

Appendix Table A1. Percentage (in 2000-2010) of the catch of taxa or other groups (in Indonesian statistics) that are caught by industrial gears (trawlers for demersal taxa, seiners or longliners for pelagic taxa), with the rest being caught being small-scale fisheries. The \% values are the mean of estimates by the 3 authors, based on the characteristics of each taxon or group. Area A = Central Indonesia; Area B = Eastern Indonesia. Names and maximum lengths are from FishBase (www. fishbase.org), SeaLifeBase (www.sealifebase.org), and the Sea Around Us database (www.seaaroundus.org).

| Common name Bahasa Indonesia (English) | Scientific name | Maximum length | Area A | Area B |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Invertebrates |  |  |  |  |
| Cephalopods |  |  |  |  |
| Cumi-cumi (Common squids) | Loligo spp. | 25 | 70 | 70 |
| Crustaceans |  |  |  |  |
| Udang putih/Jerbung (Shrimps/prawns) | Fenneropenaeus spp. | 17 | 60 | 80 |
| Udang dogol (Metapenaeus shrimps) | Metapenaeus spp. | 17 | 60 | 80 |
| Binatang berkulit keras lainnya (Miscellaneous crustaceans) | Miscellaneous crustaceans | - | 40 | 40 |
| Udang lainnya (Miscellaneous shrimps) | Miscellaneous shrimps | - | 60 | 80 |
| Udang windu (Penaeus shrimps) | Penaeus spp. | 34 | 60 | 80 |
| Udang rebon (Akiami paste shrimp) | Acetes spp. | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| Molluscs |  |  |  |  |
| Kerang darah (Granular ark) | Tegillarca granosa | 4 | 0 | 0 |
| Binatang lunak lainnya (Miscellaneous molluscs) | Miscellaneous molluscs | - | 0 | 0 |
| Jellyfishes |  |  |  |  |
| Ubur-ubur (Jellyfishes) | Rhopilema spp. | 31 | 0 | 0 |
| Sea cucumbers |  |  |  |  |
| Teripang (Sea cucumber) | Stichopus spp. | 40 | 80 | 80 |
| Teleostei (Bony fishes) |  |  |  |  |
| Manyung (Giant catfish) | Netuma thalassina | 145 | 75 | 75 |
| Tongkol krai (Frigate tuna) | Auxis thazard thazard | 54 | 75 | 75 |
| Ekor kuning/Pisang-pisang (Redbelly yellowtail fusilier) | Caesio cuning | 54 | 75 | 75 |
| Kuwe (Jacks) | Caranx spp. | 102 | 75 | 75 |
| Selar (Scads) | Selaroides spp. | 10 | 75 | 75 |
| Golok-golok/Parang-parang (Dorab wolf-herring) | Chirocentrus dorab | 100 | 75 | 75 |
| Ikan terbang (Flyingfishes) | Cypse/urus spp. | 18 | 60 | 40 |
| Ikan laying (Scads) | Decapterus spp. | 37 | 60 | 60 |
| Japuh (Rainbow sardines) | Dussumieria acuta | 20 | 80 | 80 |
| Tongkol (Kawakawa) | Euthynnus affinis | 93 | 80 | 80 |
| Julung-julung (Halfbeaks) | Hemiramphus spp. | 45 | 80 | 80 |
| Cakalang (Skipjack tuna) | Katsuwonus pelamis | 108 | 30 | 100 |
| Kakap putih (Barramundi) | Lates calcarifer | 162 | 90 | 50 |
| Peperek (Slipmouths or ponyfishes) | Leiognathidae | 12 | 80 | 80 |
| Lencam (Emperors) | Lethrinus spp. | 70 | 80 | 80 |
| Kakap merah/Bambangan (Snappers) | Lutjanus spp. | 98 | 80 | 80 |
| Tetengkek (Torpedo scad) | Megalaspis cordyla | 69 | 80 | 80 |
| Ikan lainnya (Miscellaneous fishes) | Miscellaneous fishes | - | 50 | 50 |
| Belanak (Mullets) | Mugilidae | 120 | 75 | 80 |
| Kurisi (Ornate threadfin bream) | Nemipterus hexodon | 21 | 75 | 80 |
| Bawal putih (Silver pomfret) | Pampus argenteus | 60 | 80 | 80 |
| Bawal hitam (Black pomfret) | Parastromateus niger | 62 | 80 | 80 |
| Kuro/Senangin (Threadfins) | Polynemus spp. | 20 | 90 | 80 |
| Kembung (Short mackerel) | Rastrelliger brachysoma | 32 | 95 | 95 |
| Lemuru (Bali sardinella) | Sardinella lemuru | 23 | 80 | 90 |
| Tembang (Sardinella) | Sardinella spp. (Not Sardinella lemuru) | 21 | 60 | 60 |
| Gulamah/Tigawaja (Yellow drum) | Nibea albiflora | 44 | 60 | 60 |
| Tenggiri (Narrow-barred Spanish mackerel) | Scomberomorus commerson | 205 | 80 | 80 |
| Tenggiri papan (Indo-pacific king mackerel) | Scomberomorus guttatus | 71 | 80 | 80 |
| Kerapu karang (Chocolate hind) | Cephalopholis boenak | 21 | 60 | 80 |
| Ikan beronang (Goldlined spinefoot) | Siganus guttatus | 38 | 60 | 80 |
| Teri (Anchovies) | Stolephorus spp. | 10 | 60 | 70 |
| Albakora (Albacore) | Thunnus alalunga | 136 | 100 | 95 |
| Madidihang (Yellowfin tuna) | Thunnus albacares | 231 | 95 | 95 |
| Tuna mata besar (Bigeye tuna) | Thunnus obesus | 219 | 95 | 95 |
| Tongkol abu-abu (Longtail tuna) | Thunnus tonggol | 126 | 95 | 95 |
| Layur (Hairtails) | Trichiurus spp. | 150 | 80 | 80 |
| Elasmobranchii (Sharks and rays) |  |  |  |  |
| Pari kembang/Pari macan (Stingrays) | Dasyatis spp. | 150 | 75 | 80 |
| Cucut lanyam (Sharks) | Carcharhinus spp. | 241 | 80 | 70 |

Appendix Table 2. Organisms usually discarded, irrespective of their size and age, and included in the 'miscellaneous fishes group'. Names are from FishBase (www.fishbase.org).

| Family name | Common name (English) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Anacanthidae | Leatherjackets |
| Antennaridae | Frogfishes |
| Apogonidae | Cardinalfishes |
| Balistidae | Triggerfishes (except for Abalistes stellaris) |
| Blenniidae | Combtooth blennies |
| Callionymidae | Dragonets |
| Centriscidae | Snipefishes and shrimpfishes |
| Chaetodontidae | Butterflyfishes |
| Dactylopteridae | Flying gurnards |
| Diodontidae | Porcupinefishes (burrfishes) |
| Echeneidae | Remoras |
| Fistulariidae | Cornetfishes |
| Gobiidae | Gobies |
| Labridae | Wrasses (except for Napoleon wrasse) |
| Monocanthidae | Filefishes |
| Ostraciidae | Boxfishes |
| Parapercidae | Grub fish |
| Platycephalidae | Flatheads |
| Pomacanthidae | Angelfishes |
| Pomacentridae | Damselfishes |
| Scaridae | Parrotfishes (<25 g) |
| Scorpaeonidae | Scorpionfishes |
| Syngnathidae | Pipefishes |
| Tetraodontidae | Puffers |
| Triacanthidae | Triplespines |
| Triglidae | Searobins |
| Uranoscopidae | Stargazers |

Appendix Table A3. FAO landings vs reconstructed total catch (in tonnes), and catch by sector with discards shown separately for Central Indonesia, 1950-2010.

| Year | FAO landings | Reconstructed total catch | Artisanal | Recreational | Subsistence | Industrial | Discards |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1950 | 99,600 | 108,000 | 99,600 | 2,370 | 4,280 | 0 | 2,220 |
| 1951 | 115,000 | 124,000 | 115,000 | 2,410 | 4,360 | 0 | 2,550 |
| 1952 | 130,000 | 139,000 | 130,000 | 2,450 | 4,430 | 0 | 2,890 |
| 1953 | 133,000 | 143,000 | 133,000 | 2,500 | 4,520 | 0 | 2,970 |
| 1954 | 142,000 | 152,000 | 142,000 | 2,580 | 4,660 | 0 | 3,160 |
| 1955 | 146,000 | 157,000 | 146,000 | 2,600 | 4,700 | 0 | 3,260 |
| 1956 | 148,000 | 159,000 | 148,000 | 2,660 | 4,800 | 0 | 3,310 |
| 1957 | 145,000 | 156,000 | 145,000 | 2,710 | 4,910 | 0 | 3,230 |
| 1958 | 149,000 | 161,000 | 149,000 | 2,780 | 5,020 | 0 | 3,330 |
| 1959 | 143,000 | 154,000 | 143,000 | 2,840 | 5,140 | 0 | 3,180 |
| 1960 | 146,000 | 157,000 | 146,000 | 2,780 | 5,260 | 0 | 3,250 |
| 1961 | 186,000 | 203,000 | 167,000 | 2,850 | 5,390 | 19,400 | 8,350 |
| 1962 | 192,000 | 214,000 | 152,000 | 2,920 | 5,530 | 40,300 | 13,000 |
| 1963 | 198,000 | 226,000 | 136,000 | 3,000 | 5,670 | 62,700 | 17,900 |
| 1964 | 210,000 | 243,000 | 122,000 | 3,070 | 5,810 | 88,600 | 23,700 |
| 1965 | 236,000 | 279,000 | 113,000 | 3,150 | 5,970 | 125,000 | 32,100 |
| 1966 | 255,000 | 309,000 | 95,600 | 3,230 | 6,120 | 164,000 | 40,600 |
| 1967 | 241,000 | 293,000 | 90,000 | 3,320 | 6,280 | 155,000 | 38,300 |
| 1968 | 256,000 | 311,000 | 95,500 | 3,400 | 6,440 | 165,000 | 40,700 |
| 1969 | 278,000 | 339,000 | 104,000 | 3,490 | 6,610 | 180,000 | 44,300 |
| 1970 | 291,000 | 356,000 | 112,000 | 3,580 | 6,780 | 187,000 | 46,700 |
| 1971 | 296,000 | 363,000 | 114,000 | 3,670 | 6,960 | 191,000 | 47,500 |
| 1972 | 292,000 | 358,000 | 110,000 | 3,770 | 7,130 | 191,000 | 46,200 |
| 1973 | 322,000 | 398,000 | 113,000 | 3,860 | 7,310 | 221,000 | 53,500 |
| 1974 | 337,000 | 417,000 | 119,000 | 3,960 | 7,490 | 231,000 | 55,100 |
| 1975 | 344,000 | 423,000 | 116,000 | 4,060 | 7,680 | 241,000 | 54,400 |
| 1976 | 364,000 | 448,000 | 130,000 | 4,160 | 7,860 | 249,000 | 56,800 |
| 1977 | 392,000 | 481,000 | 141,000 | 4,260 | 8,170 | 267,000 | 60,000 |
| 1978 | 435,000 | 531,000 | 161,000 | 4,360 | 8,480 | 292,000 | 65,300 |
| 1979 | 452,000 | 555,000 | 167,000 | 4,460 | 8,790 | 306,000 | 68,900 |
| 1980 | 486,000 | 575,000 | 231,000 | 4,570 | 9,100 | 271,000 | 58,800 |
| 1981 | 491,000 | 589,000 | 211,000 | 4,670 | 9,420 | 300,000 | 64,600 |
| 1982 | 497,000 | 603,000 | 191,000 | 4,780 | 9,730 | 327,000 | 70,400 |
| 1983 | 551,000 | 675,000 | 197,000 | 4,890 | 10,000 | 380,000 | 83,200 |
| 1984 | 546,000 | 668,000 | 192,000 | 5,000 | 10,300 | 379,000 | 81,300 |
| 1985 | 567,000 | 692,000 | 203,000 | 5,100 | 10,700 | 390,000 | 83,600 |
| 1986 | 597,000 | 730,000 | 213,000 | 5,210 | 11,000 | 412,000 | 89,200 |
| 1987 | 611,000 | 747,000 | 221,000 | 5,310 | 11,300 | 418,000 | 91,200 |
| 1988 | 652,000 | 799,000 | 235,000 | 5,410 | 11,600 | 448,000 | 98,900 |
| 1989 | 666,000 | 816,000 | 230,000 | 5,510 | 12,000 | 468,000 | 101,000 |
| 1990 | 733,000 | 886,000 | 261,000 | 5,610 | 12,300 | 506,000 | 101,000 |
| 1991 | 743,000 | 888,000 | 262,000 | 5,710 | 12,600 | 515,000 | 93,000 |
| 1992 | 786,000 | 930,000 | 279,000 | 5,810 | 13,000 | 542,000 | 89,900 |
| 1993 | 818,000 | 959,000 | 290,000 | 5,900 | 13,300 | 564,000 | 85,100 |
| 1994 | 895,000 | 1,040,000 | 313,000 | 6,000 | 13,600 | 620,000 | 84,500 |
| 1995 | 915,000 | 1,060,000 | 320,000 | 6,100 | 14,000 | 637,000 | 78,400 |
| 1996 | 955,000 | 1,090,000 | 335,000 | 6,190 | 14,600 | 661,000 | 72,800 |
| 1997 | 1,060,000 | 1,190,000 | 363,000 | 6,280 | 15,200 | 738,000 | 71,800 |
| 1998 | 1,030,000 | 1,150,000 | 353,000 | 6,370 | 15,800 | 716,000 | 61,100 |
| 1999 | 1,060,000 | 1,170,000 | 376,000 | 6,470 | 16,400 | 723,000 | 51,500 |
| 2000 | 1,120,000 | 1,230,000 | 406,000 | 6,560 | 17,000 | 749,000 | 53,400 |
| 2001 | 1,210,000 | 1,330,000 | 445,000 | 6,660 | 17,600 | 801,000 | 56,400 |
| 2002 | 1,260,000 | 1,380,000 | 469,000 | 6,750 | 18,200 | 828,000 | 58,800 |
| 2003 | 1,270,000 | 1,380,000 | 485,000 | 6,850 | 18,900 | 814,000 | 56,200 |
| 2004 | 1,240,000 | 1,350,000 | 421,000 | 6,950 | 19,500 | 838,000 | 61,200 |
| 2005 | 1,140,000 | 1,240,000 | 377,000 | 7,050 | 20,100 | 781,000 | 57,500 |
| 2006 | 1,160,000 | 1,260,000 | 406,000 | 7,150 | 20,100 | 769,000 | 55,000 |
| 2007 | 1,200,000 | 1,300,000 | 416,000 | 7,250 | 20,100 | 801,000 | 55,800 |
| 2008 | 1,290,000 | 1,400,000 | 439,000 | 7,360 | 20,100 | 871,000 | 59,400 |
| 2009 | 1,320,000 | 1,430,000 | 453,000 | 7,460 | 20,100 | 891,000 | 62,400 |
| 2010 | 1,310,000 | 1,420,000 | 446,000 | 7,560 | 20,100 | 881,000 | 61,400 |

Appendix Table A4. Reconstructed total catch (in tonnes) by major taxa for Central Indonesia, 1950-2010. 'Others' contain 26 additional taxa.

| Year | Carangidae | Scombridae | Clupeidae | Engraulidae | Ariidae | Penaeidae | Leiognathidae | Polynemidae | Others |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1950 | 11,600 | 9,210 | 5,980 | 5,100 | 2,000 | 5,380 | 1,360 | 4,000 | 63,800 |
| 1951 | 13,200 | 10,500 | 6,840 | 5,820 | 2,260 | 6,110 | 1,520 | 4,610 | 73,100 |
| 1952 | 14,700 | 11,700 | 7,700 | 6,530 | 2,520 | 6,850 | 1,690 | 5,210 | 82,400 |
| 1953 | 15,100 | 12,100 | 7,880 | 6,730 | 2,630 | 7,160 | 1,720 | 5,330 | 84,700 |
| 1954 | 16,200 | 12,900 | 8,440 | 7,150 | 2,730 | 7,570 | 1,860 | 5,700 | 89,700 |
| 1955 | 16,600 | 13,200 | 8,650 | 7,340 | 2,840 | 7,880 | 1,920 | 5,820 | 92,500 |
| 1956 | 16,900 | 13,500 | 8,760 | 7,480 | 2,890 | 8,240 | 1,960 | 5,940 | 93,500 |
| 1957 | 16,400 | 13,100 | 8,560 | 7,270 | 2,790 | 8,210 | 1,890 | 5,770 | 91,600 |
| 1958 | 17,000 | 13,600 | 8,870 | 7,520 | 2,950 | 8,070 | 1,960 | 5,950 | 94,700 |
| 1959 | 16,200 | 13,000 | 8,460 | 7,170 | 2,740 | 8,310 | 1,860 | 5,720 | 90,500 |
| 1960 | 16,600 | 13,200 | 8,610 | 7,340 | 2,850 | 8,440 | 1,930 | 5,840 | 92,500 |
| 1961 | 21,600 | 16,800 | 11,000 | 9,260 | 4,010 | 12,400 | 2,800 | 7,610 | 119,200 |
| 1962 | 22,900 | 17,800 | 11,600 | 9,580 | 4,580 | 12,400 | 3,270 | 8,130 | 125,000 |
| 1963 | 24,300 | 18,600 | 12,100 | 9,880 | 5,230 | 12,400 | 3,800 | 8,680 | 132,000 |
| 1964 | 26,400 | 20,100 | 12,900 | 10,400 | 6,010 | 12,400 | 4,430 | 9,460 | 142,000 |
| 1965 | 30,500 | 23,000 | 14,800 | 11,800 | 7,290 | 12,400 | 5,460 | 11,000 | 163,000 |
| 1966 | 34,000 | 25,600 | 16,300 | 12,700 | 8,540 | 13,600 | 6,450 | 12,300 | 180,000 |
| 1967 | 32,200 | 24,400 | 15,500 | 12,100 | 8,080 | 13,000 | 6,070 | 11,600 | 170,000 |
| 1968 | 34,300 | 26,100 | 16,500 | 12,900 | 8,560 | 13,100 | 6,490 | 12,400 | 181,000 |
| 1969 | 37,500 | 28,600 | 18,100 | 14,000 | 9,300 | 13,900 | 7,040 | 13,500 | 197,000 |
| 1970 | 30,000 | 29,000 | 17,900 | 13,000 | 10,800 | 12,700 | 7,810 | 11,300 | 224,000 |
| 1971 | 30,600 | 29,800 | 18,300 | 13,100 | 10,900 | 12,400 | 7,950 | 11,400 | 229,000 |
| 1972 | 41,400 | 32,500 | 17,900 | 11,500 | 10,200 | 15,300 | 7,280 | 10,200 | 212,000 |
| 1973 | 51,600 | 32,100 | 20,300 | 18,800 | 11,800 | 13,500 | 7,880 | 33,500 | 209,000 |
| 1974 | 56,600 | 35,300 | 24,900 | 20,400 | 9,110 | 13,100 | 7,850 | 35,000 | 214,000 |
| 1975 | 63,100 | 38,400 | 43,000 | 19,400 | 9,620 | 17,400 | 11,500 | 28,000 | 193,000 |
| 1976 | 71,100 | 39,300 | 29,600 | 20,600 | 17,600 | 13,300 | 16,500 | 7,170 | 232,000 |
| 1977 | 68,100 | 39,600 | 44,800 | 23,900 | 18,500 | 15,200 | 18,800 | 10,000 | 242,000 |
| 1978 | 70,400 | 45,200 | 45,400 | 33,700 | 19,100 | 27,400 | 19,000 | 10,800 | 260,000 |
| 1979 | 81,500 | 49,500 | 45,300 | 28,200 | 19,200 | 23,600 | 21,600 | 9,900 | 277,000 |
| 1980 | 73,200 | 52,100 | 59,400 | 35,400 | 18,600 | 29,000 | 22,200 | 10,800 | 274,000 |
| 1981 | 84,300 | 58,700 | 57,500 | 33,000 | 22,400 | 26,100 | 21,200 | 11,600 | 274,000 |
| 1982 | 95,600 | 65,300 | 55,500 | 30,700 | 26,200 | 23,100 | 20,100 | 12,300 | 274,000 |
| 1983 | 114,000 | 70,900 | 62,100 | 28,300 | 27,100 | 26,000 | 18,300 | 12,400 | 316,000 |
| 1984 | 118,000 | 78,000 | 59,000 | 28,900 | 28,100 | 20,900 | 19,200 | 11,200 | 304,000 |
| 1985 | 140,000 | 74,100 | 56,300 | 28,900 | 27,100 | 21,300 | 20,300 | 12,000 | 312,000 |
| 1986 | 134,000 | 74,600 | 65,800 | 27,700 | 30,300 | 26,500 | 20,500 | 12,800 | 338,000 |
| 1987 | 129,000 | 74,100 | 67,400 | 33,300 | 31,000 | 25,700 | 18,800 | 14,900 | 353,000 |
| 1988 | 120,000 | 76,600 | 90,300 | 31,000 | 32,300 | 26,300 | 21,500 | 13,800 | 388,000 |
| 1989 | 140,000 | 84,600 | 97,700 | 33,100 | 33,000 | 23,000 | 22,200 | 14,000 | 369,000 |
| 1990 | 150,000 | 89,900 | 97,400 | 36,900 | 32,900 | 22,400 | 22,700 | 13,600 | 420,000 |
| 1991 | 167,000 | 85,800 | 108,000 | 37,900 | 33,200 | 23,700 | 21,500 | 13,100 | 398,000 |
| 1992 | 164,000 | 99,300 | 111,000 | 36,900 | 31,900 | 27,200 | 21,900 | 10,700 | 427,000 |
| 1993 | 168,000 | 110,000 | 110,000 | 38,200 | 33,000 | 25,500 | 24,900 | 10,200 | 439,000 |
| 1994 | 193,000 | 123,000 | 127,000 | 39,200 | 35,600 | 28,000 | 28,200 | 10,200 | 454,000 |
| 1995 | 196,000 | 128,000 | 107,000 | 39,100 | 37,600 | 28,200 | 31,600 | 18,400 | 470,000 |
| 1996 | 207,000 | 131,000 | 103,000 | 44,200 | 38,300 | 27,600 | 32,200 | 14,100 | 492,000 |
| 1997 | 229,000 | 146,000 | 115,000 | 54,400 | 44,800 | 34,200 | 43,000 | 14,800 | 513,000 |
| 1998 | 221,000 | 128,000 | 143,000 | 39,300 | 37,000 | 49,800 | 32,100 | 14,700 | 487,000 |
| 1999 | 222,000 | 137,000 | 104,000 | 36,400 | 36,900 | 41,700 | 37,100 | 15,300 | 543,000 |
| 2000 | 220,000 | 139,000 | 106,000 | 43,700 | 34,900 | 50,300 | 24,800 | 16,500 | 597,000 |
| 2001 | 243,000 | 142,000 | 117,000 | 40,600 | 38,100 | 38,700 | 31,400 | 15,800 | 661,000 |
| 2002 | 264,000 | 144,000 | 123,000 | 39,600 | 39,500 | 41,400 | 38,200 | 14,800 | 676,000 |
| 2003 | 252,000 | 134,000 | 107,000 | 29,800 | 33,800 | 43,000 | 36,100 | 21,000 | 724,000 |
| 2004 | 206,000 | 254,000 | 93,800 | 38,400 | 34,300 | 46,800 | 34,700 | 16,500 | 621,000 |
| 2005 | 165,000 | 278,000 | 96,100 | 35,100 | 27,300 | 36,900 | 33,000 | 8,490 | 563,000 |
| 2006 | 159,000 | 220,000 | 122,000 | 32,100 | 30,200 | 29,500 | 27,600 | 10,200 | 627,000 |
| 2007 | 167,000 | 212,000 | 118,000 | 40,900 | 30,000 | 35,500 | 24,900 | 11,900 | 660,000 |
| 2008 | 191,000 | 225,000 | 106,000 | 55,200 | 46,200 | 48,500 | 30,600 | 12,200 | 682,000 |
| 2009 | 181,000 | 268,000 | 117,000 | 47,800 | 38,900 | 43,400 | 28,100 | 11,900 | 698,000 |
| 2010 | 198,000 | 269,000 | 110,000 | 30,600 | 39,800 | 44,500 | 31,200 | 11,700 | 681,000 |

Appendix Table A5. FAO landings vs reconstructed total catch (in tonnes), and catch by sector with discards shown separately for Eastern Indonesia, 1950-

| Year | FAO landings | Reconstructed total catch | Artisanal | Recreational | Subsistence | Industrial | Discards |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1950 | 92,700 | 112,000 | 93,000 | 148 | 17,200 | 0 | 2,060 |
| 1951 | 106,000 | 126,000 | 106,000 | 151 | 17,500 | 0 | 2,370 |
| 1952 | 120,000 | 140,000 | 120,000 | 153 | 17,800 | 0 | 2,670 |
| 1953 | 123,000 | 144,000 | 123,000 | 156 | 18,200 | 0 | 2,750 |
| 1954 | 132,000 | 154,000 | 132,000 | 161 | 18,700 | 0 | 2,940 |
| 1955 | 136,000 | 158,000 | 136,000 | 162 | 18,900 | 0 | 3,020 |
| 1956 | 138,000 | 161,000 | 138,000 | 166 | 19,300 | 0 | 3,080 |
| 1957 | 134,000 | 157,000 | 134,000 | 170 | 19,700 | 0 | 3,000 |
| 1958 | 139,000 | 162,000 | 139,000 | 174 | 20,200 | 0 | 3,090 |
| 1959 | 133,000 | 157,000 | 133,000 | 178 | 20,700 | 0 | 2,960 |
| 1960 | 136,000 | 160,000 | 136,000 | 174 | 21,200 | 0 | 3,030 |
| 1961 | 172,000 | 197,000 | 172,000 | 178 | 21,700 | 0 | 3,820 |
| 1962 | 179,000 | 205,000 | 179,000 | 183 | 22,200 | 0 | 3,990 |
| 1963 | 184,000 | 211,000 | 184,000 | 187 | 22,800 | 0 | 4,110 |
| 1964 | 194,000 | 222,000 | 194,000 | 192 | 23,400 | 0 | 4,330 |
| 1965 | 219,000 | 248,000 | 219,000 | 197 | 24,000 | 0 | 4,870 |
| 1966 | 237,000 | 267,000 | 237,000 | 202 | 24,600 | 0 | 5,290 |
| 1967 | 224,000 | 254,000 | 224,000 | 207 | 25,200 | 0 | 4,990 |
| 1968 | 237,000 | 269,000 | 237,000 | 213 | 25,900 | 0 | 5,290 |
| 1969 | 257,000 | 290,000 | 257,000 | 218 | 26,600 | 0 | 5,730 |
| 1970 | 255,000 | 475,000 | 250,000 | 224 | 27,300 | 37,200 | 161,000 |
| 1971 | 258,000 | 506,000 | 226,000 | 230 | 28,000 | 68,800 | 183,000 |
| 1972 | 272,000 | 608,000 | 214,000 | 235 | 28,700 | 109,000 | 255,000 |
| 1973 | 292,000 | 644,000 | 195,000 | 241 | 29,400 | 151,000 | 268,000 |
| 1974 | 319,000 | 667,000 | 170,000 | 247 | 30,100 | 203,000 | 264,000 |
| 1975 | 318,000 | 737,000 | 142,000 | 254 | 30,900 | 242,000 | 322,000 |
| 1976 | 357,000 | 935,000 | 175,000 | 260 | 31,600 | 275,000 | 453,000 |
| 1977 | 379,000 | 1,120,000 | 201,000 | 266 | 32,500 | 302,000 | 584,000 |
| 1978 | 422,000 | 1,120,000 | 188,000 | 273 | 33,400 | 333,000 | 562,000 |
| 1979 | 466,000 | 1,110,000 | 200,000 | 279 | 34,300 | 361,000 | 516,000 |
| 1980 | 477,000 | 993,000 | 187,000 | 286 | 35,200 | 365,000 | 405,000 |
| 1981 | 517,000 | 1,080,000 | 233,000 | 292 | 36,100 | 392,000 | 422,000 |
| 1982 | 557,000 | 1,990,000 | 442,000 | 299 | 37,000 | 439,000 | 1,070,000 |
| 1983 | 647,000 | 2,090,000 | 464,000 | 306 | 37,900 | 519,000 | 1,070,000 |
| 1984 | 670,000 | 2,210,000 | 501,000 | 312 | 38,800 | 529,000 | 1,150,000 |
| 1985 | 680,000 | 2,450,000 | 568,000 | 319 | 39,700 | 549,000 | 1,290,000 |
| 1986 | 710,000 | 2,480,000 | 610,000 | 325 | 41,900 | 541,000 | 1,290,000 |
| 1987 | 740,000 | 2,660,000 | 663,000 | 332 | 44,100 | 582,000 | 1,370,000 |
| 1988 | 820,000 | 2,650,000 | 656,000 | 338 | 46,400 | 640,000 | 1,300,000 |
| 1989 | 850,000 | 2,590,000 | 644,000 | 344 | 48,600 | 666,000 | 1,230,000 |
| 1990 | 920,000 | 2,190,000 | 535,000 | 351 | 50,800 | 706,000 | 903,000 |
| 1991 | 1,000,000 | 1,720,000 | 419,000 | 357 | 53,100 | 763,000 | 488,000 |
| 1992 | 1,060,000 | 1,610,000 | 367,000 | 363 | 55,300 | 815,000 | 375,000 |
| 1993 | 1,140,000 | 1,420,000 | 352,000 | 369 | 57,500 | 818,000 | 193,000 |
| 1994 | 1,220,000 | 1,450,000 | 336,000 | 375 | 59,700 | 891,000 | 166,000 |
| 1995 | 1,370,000 | 1,580,000 | 467,000 | 381 | 62,000 | 912,000 | 135,000 |
| 1996 | 1,410,000 | 1,650,000 | 486,000 | 387 | 61,900 | 978,000 | 124,000 |
| 1997 | 1,450,000 | 1,630,000 | 423,000 | 393 | 61,900 | 1,040,000 | 100,000 |
| 1998 | 1,640,000 | 2,000,000 | 544,000 | 398 | 61,800 | 1,200,000 | 191,000 |
| 1999 | 1,600,000 | 1,960,000 | 534,000 | 404 | 61,800 | 1,180,000 | 190,000 |
| 2000 | 1,650,000 | 2,090,000 | 583,000 | 410 | 61,700 | 1,210,000 | 228,000 |
| 2001 | 1,670,000 | 2,180,000 | 650,000 | 416 | 61,700 | 1,210,000 | 258,000 |
| 2002 | 1,670,000 | 2,170,000 | 636,000 | 422 | 61,600 | 1,220,000 | 256,000 |
| 2003 | 1,920,000 | 2,410,000 | 761,000 | 428 | 61,600 | 1,330,000 | 253,000 |
| 2004 | 2,050,000 | 2,560,000 | 778,000 | 434 | 61,500 | 1,470,000 | 252,000 |
| 2005 | 2,230,000 | 2,610,000 | 765,000 | 441 | 61,500 | 1,590,000 | 191,000 |
| 2006 | 2,270,000 | 2,540,000 | 686,000 | 447 | 61,800 | 1,650,000 | 139,000 |
| 2007 | 2,360,000 | 2,540,000 | 630,000 | 453 | 62,100 | 1,750,000 | 93,700 |
| 2008 | 2,210,000 | 2,360,000 | 583,000 | 460 | 62,400 | 1,640,000 | 75,300 |
| 2009 | 2,280,000 | 2,440,000 | 588,000 | 466 | 62,800 | 1,710,000 | 79,500 |
| 2010 | 2,570,000 | 2,740,000 | 715,000 | 472 | 63,100 | 1,870,000 | 88,400 |

Appendix Table A6. Reconstructed total catch (in tonnes) by major taxa for Eastern Indonesia, 1950-2010. 'Others' contain 26 additional taxa.

| Year | Carangidae | Scombridae | Clupeidae | Hemiramphidae | Engraulidae | Leiognathidae | Latidae | Ariidae | Others |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1950 | 14,500 | 25,000 | 7,540 | 4,140 | 11,100 | 2,140 | 1,610 | 733 | 45,300 |
| 1951 | 16,200 | 27,900 | 8,520 | 4,780 | 12,500 | 2,360 | 1,780 | 818 | 51,200 |
| 1952 | 17,900 | 30,900 | 9,500 | 5,410 | 13,900 | 2,590 | 1,960 | 904 | 57,200 |
| 1953 | 18,400 | 31,600 | 9,710 | 5,610 | 14,300 | 2,640 | 2,040 | 940 | 59,000 |
| 1954 | 19,600 | 34,500 | 10,400 | 5,980 | 15,200 | 2,830 | 2,150 | 975 | 62,200 |
| 1955 | 20,000 | 35,100 | 10,600 | 6,070 | 15,600 | 2,930 | 2,220 | 1,010 | 64,100 |
| 1956 | 20,300 | 36,100 | 10,700 | 6,170 | 15,900 | 2,980 | 2,260 | 1,030 | 65,200 |
| 1957 | 19,900 | 35,100 | 10,500 | 6,000 | 15,500 | 2,890 | 2,240 | 998 | 64,200 |
| 1958 | 20,500 | 36,000 | 10,900 | 6,300 | 16,000 | 2,990 | 2,270 | 1,050 | 65,900 |
| 1959 | 19,800 | 35,000 | 10,500 | 6,050 | 15,400 | 2,860 | 2,170 | 987 | 64,000 |
| 1960 | 20,200 | 35,500 | 10,700 | 6,150 | 15,700 | 2,970 | 2,250 | 1,030 | 65,600 |
| 1961 | 25,200 | 42,800 | 13,300 | 7,570 | 19,500 | 3,660 | 2,790 | 1,260 | 81,200 |
| 1962 | 26,100 | 45,700 | 13,800 | 7,850 | 20,200 | 3,750 | 2,900 | 1,300 | 83,900 |
| 1963 | 26,800 | 47,000 | 14,200 | 8,140 | 20,800 | 3,900 | 2,980 | 1,360 | 86,200 |
| 1964 | 28,300 | 49,200 | 14,900 | 8,510 | 22,000 | 4,090 | 3,130 | 1,430 | 90,900 |
| 1965 | 31,600 | 54,700 | 16,700 | 9,490 | 24,500 | 4,550 | 3,480 | 1,580 | 101,000 |
| 1966 | 34,000 | 59,500 | 18,000 | 10,200 | 26,400 | 4,930 | 3,740 | 1,720 | 109,000 |
| 1967 | 32,400 | 56,400 | 17,000 | 9,720 | 25,100 | 4,670 | 3,570 | 1,640 | 104,000 |
| 1968 | 34,300 | 59,800 | 18,100 | 10,400 | 26,600 | 5,000 | 3,790 | 1,730 | 109,000 |
| 1969 | 37,200 | 64,000 | 19,600 | 11,200 | 28,800 | 5,370 | 4,120 | 1,870 | 118,000 |
| 1970 | 70,900 | 62,500 | 19,500 | 28,300 | 26,900 | 19,800 | 13,600 | 12,200 | 222,000 |
| 1971 | 77,200 | 63,500 | 19,900 | 30,600 | 27,200 | 21,800 | 15,100 | 13,700 | 237,000 |
| 1972 | 110,000 | 76,800 | 19,300 | 36,400 | 23,800 | 27,400 | 20,400 | 18,100 | 275,000 |
| 1973 | 122,000 | 73,100 | 24,900 | 37,000 | 35,900 | 27,900 | 23,100 | 18,000 | 282,000 |
| 1974 | 125,000 | 74,400 | 31,400 | 36,600 | 46,400 | 30,100 | 23,500 | 18,300 | 281,000 |
| 1975 | 144,000 | 69,700 | 38,200 | 40,100 | 38,700 | 36,400 | 26,200 | 23,200 | 321,000 |
| 1976 | 199,000 | 67,300 | 51,400 | 56,900 | 35,600 | 44,700 | 36,200 | 32,000 | 412,000 |
| 1977 | 241,000 | 80,800 | 57,000 | 69,500 | 38,900 | 61,400 | 43,800 | 39,700 | 488,000 |
| 1978 | 222,000 | 88,000 | 58,800 | 70,400 | 47,300 | 58,600 | 42,000 | 38,800 | 491,000 |
| 1979 | 225,000 | 98,900 | 62,000 | 67,500 | 44,700 | 56,000 | 39,100 | 37,400 | 481,000 |
| 1980 | 198,000 | 105,000 | 60,000 | 55,000 | 40,800 | 46,100 | 32,600 | 30,400 | 425,000 |
| 1981 | 219,000 | 115,000 | 69,000 | 59,600 | 44,600 | 49,800 | 35,600 | 33,000 | 459,000 |
| 1982 | 420,000 | 134,000 | 80,000 | 130,000 | 49,400 | 112,000 | 82,900 | 78,200 | 899,000 |
| 1983 | 426,000 | 153,000 | 114,000 | 131,000 | 54,100 | 115,000 | 84,100 | 80,100 | 933,000 |
| 1984 | 465,000 | 161,000 | 110,000 | 142,000 | 58,200 | 121,000 | 89,700 | 85,600 | 982,000 |
| 1985 | 520,000 | 183,000 | 91,500 | 160,000 | 63,000 | 137,000 | 100,000 | 96,600 | 1,097,000 |
| 1986 | 532,000 | 173,000 | 81,200 | 163,000 | 61,300 | 137,000 | 102,000 | 97,400 | 1,132,000 |
| 1987 | 565,000 | 194,000 | 89,000 | 177,000 | 61,500 | 147,000 | 110,000 | 104,000 | 1,210,000 |
| 1988 | 555,000 | 220,000 | 104,000 | 170,000 | 58,000 | 142,000 | 105,000 | 99,700 | 1,192,000 |
| 1989 | 539,000 | 233,000 | 105,000 | 160,000 | 56,900 | 134,000 | 102,000 | 94,600 | 1,164,000 |
| 1990 | 446,000 | 250,000 | 111,000 | 123,000 | 60,600 | 101,000 | 77,000 | 71,900 | 955,000 |
| 1991 | 350,000 | 259,000 | 126,000 | 83,100 | 60,500 | 63,200 | 47,700 | 44,200 | 689,000 |
| 1992 | 327,000 | 298,000 | 122,000 | 66,200 | 61,600 | 51,200 | 42,400 | 36,300 | 608,000 |
| 1993 | 273,000 | 257,000 | 113,000 | 39,800 | 60,700 | 32,900 | 31,900 | 26,000 | 586,000 |
| 1994 | 271,000 | 289,000 | 107,000 | 37,000 | 60,000 | 29,400 | 31,300 | 24,300 | 603,000 |
| 1995 | 276,000 | 294,000 | 105,000 | 37,600 | 62,500 | 30,000 | 32,200 | 21,400 | 716,000 |
| 1996 | 309,000 | 323,000 | 95,300 | 37,100 | 58,200 | 33,800 | 35,000 | 24,100 | 734,000 |
| 1997 | 317,000 | 323,000 | 130,000 | 34,600 | 60,800 | 31,300 | 40,200 | 27,900 | 665,000 |
| 1998 | 399,000 | 426,000 | 116,000 | 48,900 | 60,300 | 43,800 | 56,200 | 32,800 | 815,000 |
| 1999 | 378,000 | 414,000 | 101,000 | 48,400 | 66,700 | 47,700 | 53,800 | 34,300 | 817,000 |
| 2000 | 409,000 | 443,000 | 108,000 | 56,500 | 67,900 | 51,700 | 60,800 | 40,200 | 849,000 |
| 2001 | 420,000 | 409,000 | 111,000 | 54,400 | 83,400 | 55,200 | 57,500 | 41,900 | 952,000 |
| 2002 | 466,000 | 411,000 | 136,000 | 55,500 | 80,400 | 54,400 | 58,900 | 39,800 | 870,000 |
| 2003 | 468,000 | 403,000 | 127,000 | 48,300 | 79,100 | 53,700 | 62,600 | 42,900 | 1,130,000 |
| 2004 | 458,000 | 530,000 | 113,000 | 52,700 | 72,700 | 52,600 | 56,600 | 47,200 | 1,180,000 |
| 2005 | 433,000 | 616,000 | 136,000 | 45,400 | 79,100 | 44,700 | 20,300 | 42,700 | 1,190,000 |
| 2006 | 466,000 | 652,000 | 147,000 | 37,500 | 94,300 | 47,500 | 71,900 | 41,200 | 982,000 |
| 2007 | 463,000 | 719,000 | 152,000 | 25,400 | 85,300 | 45,200 | 68,300 | 34,200 | 944,000 |
| 2008 | 464,000 | 701,000 | 142,000 | 24,000 | 95,600 | 25,500 | 53,700 | 26,900 | 830,000 |
| 2009 | 457,000 | 729,000 | 162,000 | 29,100 | 92,900 | 32,600 | 61,400 | 25,000 | 850,000 |
| 2010 | 492,000 | 746,000 | 155,000 | 29,200 | 93,400 | 27,600 | 78,200 | 35,100 | 1,080,000 |

Appendix Table A7. FAO landings vs reconstructed total catch (in tonnes), and catch by sector with discards shown separately for Indonesia, 1950-

| Year | FAO landings | Reconstructed total catch | Artisanal | Recreational | Subsistence | Industrial | Discards |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1950 | 192,000 | 221,000 | 192,000 | 2,520 | 21,500 | 0 | 4,280 |
| 1951 | 221,000 | 250,000 | 221,000 | 2,560 | 21,900 | 0 | 4,920 |
| 1952 | 249,000 | 280,000 | 249,000 | 2,600 | 22,200 | 0 | 5,550 |
| 1953 | 257,000 | 288,000 | 257,000 | 2,650 | 22,700 | 0 | 5,720 |
| 1954 | 274,000 | 306,000 | 274,000 | 2,740 | 23,400 | 0 | 6,100 |
| 1955 | 282,000 | 314,000 | 282,000 | 2,760 | 23,600 | 0 | 6,280 |
| 1956 | 286,000 | 320,000 | 286,000 | 2,820 | 24,100 | 0 | 6,380 |
| 1957 | 279,000 | 313,000 | 279,000 | 2,880 | 24,600 | 0 | 6,220 |
| 1958 | 288,000 | 323,000 | 288,000 | 2,950 | 25,200 | 0 | 6,420 |
| 1959 | 276,000 | 311,000 | 276,000 | 3,020 | 25,800 | 0 | 6,140 |
| 1960 | 282,000 | 317,000 | 282,000 | 2,960 | 26,400 | 0 | 6,280 |
| 1961 | 358,000 | 400,000 | 338,000 | 3,030 | 27,100 | 19,400 | 12,200 |
| 1962 | 371,000 | 419,000 | 331,000 | 3,110 | 27,700 | 40,300 | 17,000 |
| 1963 | 383,000 | 437,000 | 321,000 | 3,180 | 28,500 | 62,700 | 22,000 |
| 1964 | 404,000 | 466,000 | 317,000 | 3,260 | 29,200 | 88,600 | 28,000 |
| 1965 | 454,000 | 527,000 | 331,000 | 3,350 | 29,900 | 125,000 | 37,000 |
| 1966 | 493,000 | 577,000 | 333,000 | 3,430 | 30,700 | 164,000 | 45,900 |
| 1967 | 464,000 | 547,000 | 314,000 | 3,520 | 31,500 | 155,000 | 43,300 |
| 1968 | 493,000 | 580,000 | 333,000 | 3,620 | 32,300 | 165,000 | 46,000 |
| 1969 | 535,000 | 628,000 | 361,000 | 3,710 | 33,200 | 180,000 | 50,100 |
| 1970 | 546,000 | 832,000 | 362,000 | 3,810 | 34,000 | 224,000 | 208,000 |
| 1971 | 554,000 | 869,000 | 340,000 | 3,900 | 34,900 | 260,000 | 230,000 |
| 1972 | 563,000 | 966,000 | 325,000 | 4,000 | 35,800 | 300,000 | 301,000 |
| 1973 | 613,000 | 1,040,000 | 308,000 | 4,100 | 36,700 | 372,000 | 322,000 |
| 1974 | 656,000 | 1,080,000 | 288,000 | 4,210 | 37,600 | 434,000 | 319,000 |
| 1975 | 662,000 | 1,160,000 | 258,000 | 4,310 | 38,500 | 483,000 | 377,000 |
| 1976 | 721,000 | 1,380,000 | 305,000 | 4,420 | 39,500 | 524,000 | 510,000 |
| 1977 | 771,000 | 1,600,000 | 343,000 | 4,520 | 40,700 | 569,000 | 644,000 |
| 1978 | 857,000 | 1,650,000 | 350,000 | 4,630 | 41,900 | 625,000 | 627,000 |
| 1979 | 920,000 | 1,670,000 | 366,000 | 4,740 | 43,100 | 668,000 | 585,000 |
| 1980 | 960,000 | 1,570,000 | 419,000 | 4,850 | 44,300 | 637,000 | 463,000 |
| 1981 | 1,010,000 | 1,670,000 | 444,000 | 4,970 | 45,500 | 692,000 | 487,000 |
| 1982 | 1,050,000 | 2,590,000 | 632,000 | 5,080 | 46,700 | 767,000 | 1,140,000 |
| 1983 | 1,200,000 | 2,760,000 | 661,000 | 5,190 | 47,900 | 899,000 | 1,150,000 |
| 1984 | 1,210,000 | 2,880,000 | 692,000 | 5,310 | 49,100 | 908,000 | 1,230,000 |
| 1985 | 1,240,000 | 3,140,000 | 771,000 | 5,420 | 50,300 | 939,000 | 1,370,000 |
| 1986 | 1,300,000 | 3,210,000 | 823,000 | 5,530 | 52,900 | 953,000 | 1,370,000 |
| 1987 | 1,350,000 | 3,410,000 | 884,000 | 5,640 | 55,500 | 1,000,000 | 1,460,000 |
| 1988 | 1,470,000 | 3,450,000 | 891,000 | 5,750 | 58,000 | 1,090,000 | 1,400,000 |
| 1989 | 1,520,000 | 3,400,000 | 874,000 | 5,850 | 60,600 | 1,130,000 | 1,330,000 |
| 1990 | 1,650,000 | 3,080,000 | 795,000 | 5,960 | 63,100 | 1,210,000 | 1,000,000 |
| 1991 | 1,740,000 | 2,610,000 | 680,000 | 6,060 | 65,700 | 1,280,000 | 581,000 |
| 1992 | 1,850,000 | 2,540,000 | 647,000 | 6,170 | 68,200 | 1,360,000 | 465,000 |
| 1993 | 1,960,000 | 2,380,000 | 642,000 | 6,270 | 70,800 | 1,380,000 | 278,000 |
| 1994 | 2,110,000 | 2,490,000 | 649,000 | 6,380 | 73,400 | 1,510,000 | 250,000 |
| 1995 | 2,280,000 | 2,630,000 | 786,000 | 6,480 | 75,900 | 1,550,000 | 213,000 |
| 1996 | 2,360,000 | 2,740,000 | 821,000 | 6,580 | 76,500 | 1,640,000 | 196,000 |
| 1997 | 2,510,000 | 2,820,000 | 786,000 | 6,670 | 77,000 | 1,780,000 | 172,000 |
| 1998 | 2,670,000 | 3,150,000 | 897,000 | 6,770 | 77,600 | 1,920,000 | 252,000 |
| 1999 | 2,660,000 | 3,140,000 | 910,000 | 6,870 | 78,200 | 1,900,000 | 242,000 |
| 2000 | 2,770,000 | 3,320,000 | 988,000 | 6,970 | 78,700 | 1,960,000 | 281,000 |
| 2001 | 2,880,000 | 3,510,000 | 1,100,000 | 7,070 | 79,300 | 2,010,000 | 314,000 |
| 2002 | 2,940,000 | 3,550,000 | 1,100,000 | 7,170 | 79,900 | 2,050,000 | 315,000 |
| 2003 | 3,190,000 | 3,790,000 | 1,250,000 | 7,280 | 80,400 | 2,150,000 | 309,000 |
| 2004 | 3,280,000 | 3,910,000 | 1,200,000 | 7,380 | 81,000 | 2,310,000 | 313,000 |
| 2005 | 3,370,000 | 3,850,000 | 1,140,000 | 7,490 | 81,500 | 2,370,000 | 248,000 |
| 2006 | 3,420,000 | 3,800,000 | 1,090,000 | 7,600 | 81,900 | 2,420,000 | 194,000 |
| 2007 | 3,560,000 | 3,840,000 | 1,050,000 | 7,710 | 82,200 | 2,550,000 | 150,000 |
| 2008 | 3,500,000 | 3,760,000 | 1,020,000 | 7,810 | 82,600 | 2,510,000 | 135,000 |
| 2009 | 3,600,000 | 3,870,000 | 1,040,000 | 7,920 | 82,900 | 2,600,000 | 142,000 |
| 2010 | 3,870,000 | 4,150,000 | 1,160,000 | 8,030 | 83,300 | 2,750,000 | 150,000 |

Appendix Table A6. Reconstructed total catch (in tonnes) by major taxa for Indonesia, 1950-2010. 'Others' contain 32 additional taxa.

| Year | Carangidae | Scombridae | Clupeidae | Engraulidae | Leiognathidae | Ariidae | Hemiramphidae | Penaeidae | Others |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1950 | 26,100 | 34,200 | 13,500 | 16,200 | 3,500 | 2,730 | 4,430 | 12,500 | 107,000 |
| 1951 | 29,400 | 38,400 | 15,400 | 18,400 | 3,890 | 3,080 | 5,120 | 14,100 | 122,000 |
| 1952 | 32,600 | 42,600 | 17,200 | 20,500 | 4,280 | 3,420 | 5,810 | 15,700 | 137,000 |
| 1953 | 33,500 | 43,700 | 17,600 | 21,100 | 4,360 | 3,570 | 6,010 | 16,500 | 141,000 |
| 1954 | 35,800 | 47,400 | 18,800 | 22,300 | 4,690 | 3,710 | 6,420 | 17,300 | 150,000 |
| 1955 | 36,600 | 48,400 | 19,200 | 22,900 | 4,850 | 3,850 | 6,510 | 18,000 | 154,000 |
| 1956 | 37,200 | 49,500 | 19,500 | 23,300 | 4,930 | 3,920 | 6,620 | 18,800 | 156,000 |
| 1957 | 36,300 | 48,200 | 19,100 | 22,700 | 4,790 | 3,790 | 6,440 | 18,800 | 153,000 |
| 1958 | 37,500 | 49,600 | 19,800 | 23,500 | 4,950 | 4,000 | 6,760 | 18,500 | 158,000 |
| 1959 | 36,000 | 47,900 | 18,900 | 22,500 | 4,730 | 3,730 | 6,490 | 19,100 | 151,000 |
| 1960 | 36,800 | 48,800 | 19,300 | 23,100 | 4,900 | 3,870 | 6,600 | 19,400 | 155,000 |
| 1961 | 46,700 | 59,600 | 24,300 | 28,800 | 6,450 | 5,270 | 8,160 | 23,400 | 197,000 |
| 1962 | 49,000 | 63,400 | 25,400 | 29,800 | 7,020 | 5,880 | 8,500 | 24,300 | 206,000 |
| 1963 | 51,200 | 65,700 | 26,300 | 30,700 | 7,700 | 6,590 | 8,860 | 24,600 | 216,000 |
| 1964 | 54,600 | 69,300 | 27,900 | 32,400 | 8,520 | 7,440 | 9,300 | 25,900 | 231,000 |
| 1965 | 62,100 | 77,700 | 31,500 | 36,300 | 10,000 | 8,870 | 10,430 | 28,100 | 262,000 |
| 1966 | 68,000 | 85,100 | 34,300 | 39,100 | 11,400 | 10,300 | 11,275 | 30,900 | 286,000 |
| 1967 | 64,500 | 80,800 | 32,500 | 37,200 | 10,700 | 9,720 | 10,718 | 29,700 | 271,000 |
| 1968 | 68,600 | 85,900 | 34,600 | 39,500 | 11,500 | 10,300 | 11,432 | 29,900 | 288,000 |
| 1969 | 74,600 | 92,600 | 37,700 | 42,900 | 12,400 | 11,200 | 12,337 | 31,600 | 313,000 |
| 1970 | 101,000 | 91,500 | 37,400 | 39,900 | 27,600 | 23,000 | 29,632 | 28,600 | 453,000 |
| 1971 | 108,000 | 93,300 | 38,200 | 40,400 | 29,800 | 24,600 | 32,009 | 27,800 | 475,000 |
| 1972 | 151,000 | 109,000 | 37,200 | 35,300 | 34,700 | 28,300 | 37,645 | 33,900 | 498,000 |
| 1973 | 174,000 | 105,000 | 45,200 | 54,700 | 35,800 | 29,800 | 38,401 | 31,000 | 529,000 |
| 1974 | 182,000 | 110,000 | 56,300 | 66,800 | 37,900 | 27,400 | 37,642 | 31,100 | 535,000 |
| 1975 | 207,000 | 108,000 | 81,200 | 58,100 | 47,900 | 32,800 | 41,343 | 34,300 | 550,000 |
| 1976 | 270,000 | 107,000 | 81,000 | 56,200 | 61,200 | 49,700 | 58,585 | 26,000 | 673,000 |
| 1977 | 309,000 | 120,000 | 102,000 | 62,800 | 80,200 | 58,200 | 70,588 | 29,200 | 769,000 |
| 1978 | 292,000 | 133,000 | 104,000 | 81,000 | 77,600 | 57,900 | 71,445 | 57,900 | 772,000 |
| 1979 | 307,000 | 148,000 | 107,000 | 72,800 | 77,600 | 56,600 | 68,560 | 43,800 | 785,000 |
| 1980 | 272,000 | 157,000 | 119,000 | 76,200 | 68,300 | 49,000 | 56,247 | 49,300 | 721,000 |
| 1981 | 303,000 | 173,000 | 127,000 | 77,700 | 70,900 | 55,400 | 61,376 | 44,300 | 760,000 |
| 1982 | 516,000 | 199,000 | 136,000 | 80,100 | 132,200 | 104,400 | 132,100 | 39,300 | 1,250,000 |
| 1983 | 540,000 | 224,000 | 176,000 | 82,500 | 133,000 | 107,100 | 131,900 | 45,700 | 1,320,000 |
| 1984 | 583,000 | 239,000 | 169,000 | 87,100 | 140,000 | 113,700 | 143,600 | 40,600 | 1,370,000 |
| 1985 | 661,000 | 257,000 | 148,000 | 91,900 | 157,200 | 123,700 | 160,900 | 41,500 | 1,500,000 |
| 1986 | 666,000 | 247,000 | 147,000 | 89,000 | 157,000 | 127,700 | 164,200 | 49,200 | 1,560,000 |
| 1987 | 694,000 | 268,000 | 156,000 | 94,800 | 166,000 | 135,300 | 178,900 | 47,800 | 1,660,000 |
| 1988 | 674,000 | 296,000 | 195,000 | 89,000 | 163,500 | 132,100 | 171,600 | 55,400 | 1,670,000 |
| 1989 | 678,000 | 317,000 | 203,000 | 90,000 | 155,900 | 127,600 | 161,600 | 51,300 | 1,620,000 |
| 1990 | 596,000 | 340,000 | 208,000 | 97,500 | 123,700 | 104,800 | 124,600 | 52,000 | 1,430,000 |
| 1991 | 517,000 | 345,000 | 234,000 | 98,400 | 84,700 | 77,400 | 84,800 | 57,400 | 1,110,000 |
| 1992 | 491,000 | 398,000 | 233,000 | 98,500 | 73,100 | 68,200 | 68,400 | 61,200 | 1,050,000 |
| 1993 | 441,000 | 367,000 | 223,000 | 98,900 | 57,800 | 59,000 | 41,500 | 57,400 | 1,030,000 |
| 1994 | 464,000 | 412,000 | 234,000 | 99,200 | 57,600 | 59,900 | 38,800 | 60,600 | 1,060,000 |
| 1995 | 472,000 | 422,000 | 212,000 | 102,000 | 61,700 | 59,000 | 40,000 | 66,900 | 1,200,000 |
| 1996 | 517,000 | 454,000 | 198,000 | 102,000 | 66,000 | 62,400 | 39,800 | 69,500 | 1,230,000 |
| 1997 | 545,000 | 468,000 | 244,000 | 115,000 | 74,300 | 72,700 | 37,500 | 80,800 | 1,180,000 |
| 1998 | 620,000 | 554,000 | 259,000 | 99,600 | 75,900 | 69,800 | 51,100 | 103,000 | 1,320,000 |
| 1999 | 600,000 | 551,000 | 205,000 | 103,000 | 84,800 | 71,200 | 50,800 | 100,000 | 1,370,000 |
| 2000 | 629,000 | 582,000 | 214,000 | 112,000 | 76,600 | 75,100 | 60,200 | 115,000 | 1,460,000 |
| 2001 | 662,000 | 550,000 | 228,000 | 124,000 | 86,600 | 80,000 | 59,000 | 112,000 | 1,610,000 |
| 2002 | 730,000 | 555,000 | 259,000 | 120,000 | 92,600 | 79,200 | 59,100 | 101,000 | 1,560,000 |
| 2003 | 720,000 | 537,000 | 233,000 | 109,000 | 89,800 | 76,700 | 52,600 | 97,000 | 1,870,000 |
| 2004 | 664,000 | 784,000 | 206,000 | 111,000 | 87,300 | 81,500 | 54,900 | 104,000 | 1,810,000 |
| 2005 | 597,000 | 895,000 | 232,000 | 114,000 | 77,700 | 70,000 | 48,000 | 85,300 | 1,730,000 |
| 2006 | 624,000 | 872,000 | 269,000 | 126,000 | 75,100 | 71,400 | 40,500 | 81,200 | 1,640,000 |
| 2007 | 630,000 | 931,000 | 269,000 | 126,000 | 70,100 | 64,200 | 30,200 | 107,000 | 1,610,000 |
| 2008 | 655,000 | 927,000 | 248,000 | 151,000 | 56,100 | 73,000 | 28,400 | 82,500 | 1,540,000 |
| 2009 | 638,000 | 997,000 | 278,000 | 141,000 | 60,600 | 63,900 | 33,000 | 91,200 | 1,570,000 |
| 2010 | 690,000 | 1,020,000 | 265,000 | 124,000 | 58,800 | 74,900 | 32,200 | 98,800 | 1,800,000 |
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#### Abstract

A detailed examination of the marine fisheries catches is presented for the westernmost area of Indonesia, from the province of Aceh down the Malacca Straits to Riau in the northwest, and down along the Indian Ocean coast to East Java in the southwest. This area, covering most of the Indonesian waters in the Indian Ocean (as defined by the limits of FAO area 57), also covers the Indonesian waters of the Bay of Bengal Project (BOBP). The catch statistics of the 11 provinces in that area (some of them in parts only) have deficiencies, which we here attempt to circumvent. The resulting 'reconstructed' catch is 45 million tonnes ( t ) from 1950 to 2010, notably with estimates averaging $131,500 \mathrm{t} \cdot \mathrm{year}{ }^{-1}$ in the 1950 s and 1.4 million $\mathrm{t} \cdot \mathrm{year}{ }^{-}$ ${ }^{1}$ in the 2000s. This is over $37.3 \%$ higher than the reported landings of 32.6 million $t$ over the same time period. In the 2000s, industrial fisheries (mainly trawling and trolling) made up 70\% of the catch (including discards estimated at $13 \%$ of the shrimp trawler catch), while artisanal and subsistence fisheries made up the rest.


## INTRODUCTION

Indonesia, as an archipelagic country has a long tradition of fishing (Butcher 1996, 2004) and fish is an important component of the food of Indonesians (Pauly 1996a; Jati et al. 2012).

The pressure on Indonesian marine fishery resources has increased strongly in recent decades (Priyono and Sumiono 1997; Wever et al. 2012), due to a multiplicity of factors, among them increased demand from a much increased population, and the development of industrial fisheries, especially trawling, which starting in the late 1960s, gradually intensified, and led to a series of conflicts with the hundred thousand of small-scale fishers. These conflicts, which intensified through the mid-1970s, caused the government of Indonesia to ban, in 1980, trawl fishing around Java and Sumatra (Sarjono 1980; Buchary 1999). In 1981, this ban was extended to Kalimantan and Sulawesi, and in 1983 to the rest of the country, except for shrimp fisheries in its far east (Butcher 2004).

This trawl ban and other measures taken to reduce overt conflicts between industrial and small-scale fisheries are the results of open-entry policies, which allowed for fishing effort, notably by trawlers, to grow without control. Re-establishing control over Indonesian fisheries, and subjecting them to some form of pre-emptive management requires that basic information on the fisheries be available in reliable form, including catch data which, given the country's size and complexity, are difficult to collect (Willoughby et al. 1999).

This report is a small contribution toward this aim, i.e., an attempt to estimate actual catches from the western part of Indonesia (Figure 1) and thus correct - to the extent possible, various deficiencies in the official statistics of that region. Because the northern half of western Indonesia is also a part of the area covered by the Bay of Bengal Large Marine Ecosystem project (BOBLME), this report also presents a
summary of Indonesian fisheries catches in the Bay of Bengal area, as required for a comprehensive review of the resources of the Bay of Bengal and their exploitation (Harper et al. 2011; Kleisner and Pauly 2011).

## Methods

Because of its huge longitudinal range, which reaches from $95^{\circ}$ to $140^{\circ}$ E, the Sea Around Us project has divided the Indonesian Exclusive Economic Zone (EEZ) into 'western', 'central' and 'eastern' parts. The western part, defined in Figure (1), is the only one covered here, while the central part, covering the Java Sea and the southern tip of the South China Sea, and the eastern part, covering the Arafura, Banda, Sula and Timor Seas, and fully congruent with the Indonesian part of the Coral Triangle (Veron et al. 2009) is dealt with in Budimartono et al. (2015). In terms of the large statistical areas to which the world catch is allocated by the United Nations Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO), Western Indonesia largely overlaps with FAO area 57 (Eastern Indian Ocean), Central Indonesia overlaps with FAO Area 71 in the north, and FAO Area 57 in the south, while Eastern Indonesia is entirely within FAO Area 71 (Western Central Pacific).

Table (1) lists the Indonesian provinces fully or partly included in the area covered here, and defined by Figure 1. Note that some of these provinces have only one coast (typically on the southeast) included within FAO area 57, with the other (northwest) included in FAO Area 71. For such cases, we have estimated the approximate fraction of their waters in each FAO Area (Table 1), and have allocated their reported catch, obtained from the Ministry of Marine Affairs and Fisheries, proportionately. The next step consisted of first-order processing of the nominal (official) catch time series at the province level, which consisted of:

1) Transposing the available data from PDF format to Microsoft Excel format and correcting obvious errors detectable at this stage (notably, order-of-magnitude jumps in catches due to misplaced dots or zeroes);
2) Interpolating the catches (in tonnes, or t) from a few missing years on the assumption that fisheries do not disappear from one year to the next, and then re-appear a year later, as well as extrapolating backward from 1951 to 1950, to correct for obvious encoding errors;
3) Translating the Indonesian common names used in provincial (and national statistics) into their nearest scientific equivalent using resources such as the book by Schuster and Djajadiredja (1952), Directorate General of Fisheries (1975) and FishBase (www.fishbase.org);
4) Then, between-year taxonomic harmonization was applied such as to avoid a higher taxonomic category such as, e.g., 'tuna' abruptly disintegrating into its component species, or vice-versa; and
5) Once (1) to (4) were done by province for 1973 to 2010 , a catch composition of each province was extrapolated backward into the proportion of the total catch (from FAO Area 57 and 71) assigned to each province as a function of their average proportion in the catch of 1973 to 1975.

Table 1. Indonesian provinces covered in this contribution with approximate ratio of the waters that are shared between FAO Area 57 and 71.

| Province | \% in FAO Area |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :---: |
|  | Area <br> $\mathbf{5 7}$ | Yrea <br> $\mathbf{7 1}$ | industrial fishing <br> $\mathbf{s t a r t e d ~}^{\mathrm{a}}$ |
| Aceh | 100 | 0 | 1967 |
| North Sumatra | 100 | 0 | 1967 |
| West Sumatra | 100 | 0 | 1968 |
| Riau | 80 | 20 | $1966^{\mathrm{b}}$ |
| Bengkulu | 100 | 0 | 1968 |
| Lampung | 30 | 70 | 1970 |
| Banten | 50 | 50 | $1971^{\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{d}}$ |
| West Java | 60 | 40 | $1971^{\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{d}}$ |
| Central Java | 40 | 60 | $1971^{\mathrm{e}}$ |
| S.R. Yogyakarta | 100 | 0 | $1971^{\mathrm{e}}$ |
| East Java | 40 | 60 | $1971^{\mathrm{e}}$ |

[^1]Steps (1) to (5) generated time series of nominal catch by province (or the parts of provinces included in FAO Area 57; see Table 1). Also, to examine frequent, but thus far undocumented claims that the annual increase of nominal catch are (or earlier have been) generated in various provincial offices by simply adding a set percentages to previous years' catches, annual time series of the natural logarithm of the nominal catch (by taxon) were regressed against year for two of the provinces covered here (Aceh and East Java) for the years 1975 to 2010. The frequency distribution of the slopes of these regressions was then used for assessing the extent to which estimates of the illegal and/or unreported industrial catch (see below) should be adjusted to offset this form of likely over-reporting.

## Small-scale fisheries (artisanal, subsistence, and recreational)

Artisanal fisheries (which deliver their catch to market) are defined, in Indonesia, by their use of vessels relying on sail or outboard engine for propulsion, while fishers operating gear without a boat are classified as small-scale, whatever the size or mobility of their gear (Priyono and Sumiono 1997; Chuenpagdee et al. 2006).

To allocate the catches obtained in Step (5) to industrial and artisanal fisheries, we created a list of species (or higher taxa) by province (or part thereof) and assigned to each the likely percentage of their catch presumed to be taken in 1970-2010 by industrial vessels (mainly purse seiners in the case of pelagic fishes and trawlers in the case of demersal fishes). These percentages (the mean of an independent estimate by each of the three authors; see Appendix Table A1, A2, A3) were then used to compute the catch by species groups of industrial fisheries, and province, and by subtraction, the corresponding artisanal catch. For the years before 1970, a phase-in period of 5 years was assumed, starting from the year the industrial fisheries could be assumed to have initiated their operation in a given province (Table 1 ).

To estimate the contribution of subsistence fisheries (wherein fishers keep fish for their own and family consumption or local distribution), the total number of fishers from 1976 to 2010 in 10 years intervals
(with interpolations for the intervening years) and total number of fishers as a fraction of the total Indonesian population (1950 to 1975) were used jointly with a catch rate of $0.20 \mathrm{~kg} \cdot \mathrm{fisher}^{-1} \cdot \mathrm{day}^{-1}$ (Willoughby et al. 1999). We treated both full-time fishers and part-time fishers as equivalent (in the context of subsistence), and thus used their total number; we also assumed that the frequency of fishing for each fisher would be 4 days per week and 40 weeks a year.

Marine recreational fishing was reported to generate a catch of 5,000 to $10,000 \mathrm{t} \cdot \mathrm{year}{ }^{-1}$ in the mid-1990s (pers. comm in Willoughby et al. (1999). Given that recreational fishing, in Indonesia, appears to be an urban-based phenomenon, we derived, from the relative population of the 10 largest cities in Indonesia, a key to allocate the midrange ( $7,500 \mathrm{t}$ ) of fish caught recreationally to the three parts of Indonesia identified above, i.e., Central Indonesia ( $80 \%$; high because of the cumulative populations of Jakarta, Semarang, Bekasi, Tangerang, Depok, Palembang, and Surabaya), Western Indonesia ( $15 \%$, e.g., Bandung and Medan), and Eastern Indonesia ( $5 \%$, Makassar), all assumed for the year 1994. This 1994 catch of $7,500 t$ was decreased for previous years and increased to 2010 by making it proportional to the size of the Indonesian population.

## Industrial fisheries (legal and illegal) and their discards

The industrial catch was only lightly adjusted to account for the effect of the 1980 trawl ban. The ban was effective, in the short run and in some areas such as along the coast of Java, in reducing the visibility of trawlers to artisanal fishers, but they largely continued to operate further offshore, out of their sight (D. Pauly, 1983; pers. obs. on Javanese trawlers with recently used - and hence shiny - drums on their main winches), or were converted to purse seiners (Butcher 2004), another industrial gear. The latter can be assumed to have led to an increase in the relative contribution of small pelagic fishes to the reported catch.

Thus, to account for the limited effects of the trawl ban, we assume that the catches of trawlers (and the corresponding discards) in the provinces of Java and Sumatra in Table (1) became, in 1980, only 30\% of what they would have been had there been no ban. This fraction was decreased to $20 \%$ in 1981 , and $10 \%$ in 1982 (after which things returned to business as usual), with the artisanal fisheries and the industrial pelagic fisheries being attributed this additional (formerly trawl) catch. Note that this procedure maintained the total nominal catch for the years and provinces in question. For the purpose of this report, trawler catches include the contribution of 'baby trawlers' as we agree with Martín (2012) that any gear that is dragged should be considered industrial.

As Western Indonesian trawlers, and contrary to a widespread perception of the opposite, do engage in discarding their by-catch of less valuable fish, and keep mainly the shrimp and valuable fish (D. Pauly, pers. obs. July 1976 in the Java Sea off Kalimantan; JALA 2009, for North Sumatra), we have added an assumed discarded by-catch equivalent to the landings of trawlers, i.e., to the nominal industrial catch of demersal taxa, from 1950 to 1994 . We consider this conservative, as it is half the discarding rate estimated for the North Sumatra trawler fleet, where it is suggested that "two thirds of the catch by the trawler fleet operating in North Sumatra is discarded over the side, lost to the marine ecosystem and the local fishermen" (JALA 2009). As discard rates in recent decades appear to have strongly decreased (M. Badrudin, pers. obs.), the 50 \% discard rates for nominal industrial catch of demersal taxa from 1995 onwards was reduced linearly to $10 \%$.

As for tuna longliners, Kelleher (2005), suggest that their discarding rate in Western Indonesia is about $15 \%$ of their catch, and that their discards consist of post-finning shark carcasses, as well as damaged targeted species (Willoughby et al. 1999; Priyono 2003).

There is, in all the Indonesian provinces, a substantial amount of unreported and illegal industrial fishing, with an increasing gradient from West to East, but which seem to have abated in more recent years (Pramod et al. 2008). For the Indian Ocean waters of Indonesia, we will conservatively assume this to have corresponded, in the 1980 and 1990 to $30 \%$ of the reported catch that we assigned to industrial fisheries, i.e., $15 \%$ to Indonesian trawlers and $15 \%$ to foreign trawlers. For the period preceding 1980, we assumed that illegal industrial trawling grew linearly from zero in the years that industrial fishing itself began in each province (see Table 1) to $30 \%$ in 1980 . Also, we shall assume, given the decline in illegal fishing alluded to above, that the non-reporting declined from $30 \%$ to $5 \%$ in the period from 1995 to 2005, then remained stable at $5 \%$. The discards of the illegal fishery were assumed to be the same as for the reported trawl and longline fishery (see above).

The results are presented for the Western Indonesia as defined here, and for that Indonesian part of the Bay of Bengal Large Marine Ecosystem programme (BOBLME) area that overlaps with the Indonesian EEZ (Figure 1).

## Results and Discussion

## Issues related to the nominal catch statistics

Our partitioning of provincial catch statistics within FAO Area 57 resulted in discrepancies with the catches submitted by Indonesia to FAO and disseminated through the FishStats database (Figure 2). These discrepancies were evidential throughout the time series, and were presumably due to a data transfer from Indonesia to FAO that could be improved. FAO's statistics usually remain markedly below national data, suggesting that the data transfer between the Indonesian statistical service and FAO could still be improved (Zeller et al. 2007). It ought to be noted that, in the later years, there was a decrease in the discrepancies, which might be due to an improvement in data transfer.

The frequency of the slopes of the natural logarithm of the nominal catch (by taxon) for the two provinces covered in this report, Aceh and East Java (Table 2), cluster around $1.5 \%$ per year. This suggests that, the annual increase of nominal catch is indeed, generated by adding a set of percentages to the previous' years catches.

Table 2. Number of regressions of $\log (a n n u a l ~ c a t c h ~ o f ~$ various taxa) vs. year (1973 to 2010) for the provinces of Aceh and East Java, arranged by the values of their slope, in steps of 0.005 . Note high numbers around slopes of 0.015 to 0.020 , and see Figure (3).

Number of species

| Lower limit | Number of species |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Aceh | East Java <br> (FAO Area 57) |
| -0.015 | 0 | 0 |
| -0.010 | 0 | 0 |
| 0.000 | 7 | 4 |
| 0.005 | 1 | 3 |
| 0.010 | 5 | 4 |
| 0.015 | 9 | 5 |
| 0.020 | 4 | 2 |
| 0.025 | 3 | 1 |
| 0.030 | 1 | 2 |
| 0.035 | 0 | 0 |
| 0.040 | 2 | 2 |
| 0.045 | 1 | 3 |
| 0.050 | 0 | 1 |
| $>0.050$ | 0 | 5 |

The data in Table (2) and Figure (3) suggest that there might be, indeed, in some provinces of Indonesia, a tendency for similar percentages - at least in certain species groups - to be added to the previous year's catches. This practice, if applied continuously, would generate a pattern of exponentially growing catches similar to the overall appearances of the nominal catch trends in Figure (2) and (4), and would have, in the medium to long-term, led to overestimation of actual catches, whatever their actual values. Here, we have countered this effect by giving some emphasis to reports that IUU catches have declined in later years (Pramod et al. 2008), and by gradually reducing the discard rates of the trawlers. This has the result that our (higher) overall reconstructed catches tend to decrease in the last 15 years, rather than increase, as the (smaller) nominal official catches do. This is however, a stopgap measure, which leaves the underlying issue unresolved.

Another issue with the nominal catch data examined here is their ability to reflect major events that affected the fisheries. Perhaps due to the fashion we assigned fish to either the artisanal or the industrial sectors, we failed to detect any consequence of 1980 trawl ban in the official catch time series (see Figure 2), and thus the slight adjustments we performed to mark this event (see Figure 5). However, this may have been due to this ban being widely ignored (see above), except perhaps in the Java Sea (outside of the area considered here), where it led to a booming (and busting) fisheries of small pelagics, especially scads, Decapterus spp. (Budimarto et al. 2015).

This may be different with the December 2004 tsunami, which is reflected in a 2005 drop of (total) nominal catch of Aceh Province, and hence also in our allocation to the artisanal and industrial sectors (Figure 6). However, there were fluctuations of similar magnitude before, and without tsunami. Also, the nominal catches of neighboring provinces display catch declines which began before 2004 (Figures 5, 7-8), so that the 2005 signal cannot be unequivocally interpreted as a reflection of the tsunami, although it is likely.

## Small-scale fisheries (artisanal, subsistence, and recreational)

Small-scale fisheries catch was estimated to be 13.6 million $t$ from 1950 to 2010 (Figure 7), with an average of around 223,000 $t \cdot y e a r^{-1}$. Artisanal ( 12.9 million $t$ for 1950-2010), subsistence ( $689,000 \mathrm{t}$ ) and recreational catches ( $54,000 \mathrm{t}$ ) were small and relatively stable, with an annual average of around 211,000 $t \cdot$ year ${ }^{-1}$, $11,000 t \cdot$ year $^{-1}$ and $880 \mathrm{t} \cdot$ year ${ }^{-1}$, respectively.

Industrial fisheries (legal and illegal) and their discards
The total reconstructed industrial fisheries catch, composed of legal, illegal, and discard components, was estimated to be 31.1 million t from 1950 to 2010 (Figure 5, 7). The total reconstructed industrial catch starts in the early-mid 1960 s with $30,000-80,000 \mathrm{t} \cdot \mathrm{year}^{-1}$, then grows steadily to around 1.0 million $t$-year ${ }^{1}$ by the mid-1990s and remains around that amount for the rest of the time period. The reported landings of industrial fisheries made up $60.7 \%$ (just under 20 million $t$ ) of the total estimated catch, while illegal catches ( 3.0 million t ) and discards ( 9.5 million t ; $80 \%$ associated with shrimp trawl catch and $20 \%$ associated with tuna longline catch) made up the rest. The discards generated by the shrimp trawl fishery were 4 times higher than the discards generated by the tuna longline fishery.

The absence of industrial catch at the beginning of the time series of Figures ( 5,7 ) is due to the fact that industrial fisheries did not exist in Indonesia until the mid-1960s, when a trawl fishery began operations off Bagan Si Api Api, a small town in Riau Province (Morgan and Staples 2006), later extending to the entire Malacca Strait (Martosubroto et al. 1996). Eventually, this capital-intensive mode of fishing shifted southward and eastward, and resulted in delayed industrialization of fishing in the provinces around the Indian Ocean (Bailey 1986; Butcher 2004; Morgan and Staples 2006). This shift was reflected in the gradual, but steady increase of the estimated industrial catch within the time series.

Discards from industrial fisheries were estimated to be around 9.5 million t ( $30 \%$ of the total reconstructed industrial fisheries catch), an amount not included in the official catch statistics (Figure 5 and 7). Discarding rates are widely assumed to be very low in Indonesia, as fishers are thought to retain by-catch for sale or family consumption. (Priyono and Sumiono 1997; Pramod et al. 2008), which they do to a certain extent. However, this general notion is contradicted by the frequent occurrence of discarded fish floating on the sea surface, following the passage of trawlers or tuna longliners, which then generate conflicts with small-scale fishers. Thus, while using a relatively low discard rate, we maintain that Indonesian industrial vessels, like others in Southeast Asia, keep the more valuable part of their catch and discard the rest.

Illegal fishing by foreign fleets is widespread in Indonesia; lack of enforcement by Indonesian officials, and various methods used by Indonesian and foreign individuals allow numerous transhipment activities, as well as data and license manipulations to occur. Thus, Professor R. Chuenpagdee (Memorial Univ. St. John's, pers. comm. to D. Pauly) stated that in " 2006 , between 1,000 and 2,000 Thai boats were estimated to operate in Indonesian fishing grounds in the South China Sea and Arafura Sea, most of whose catches were not reported to the [Thai Department of Fisheries] because they fished under private sector agreements." Also, the 'transfer lists' containing detailed information on catches being transshipped from Thai trawlers to Thai 'reefers' may also differ from the lists submitted to Indonesian fisheries officials. Thus, a reefer company and Thai fishing company may collude to underreport their catch so as to avoid higher taxes and licensing fees, respectively (Pramod et al. 2008). Similarly, fishing vessels from the Philippines operate illegally in Indonesian waters, and land their catch in the Philippines (Willoughby et al. 1999). This problem, however, may be more limited in Western Indonesia and our assumptions and hence our results (Figure 5 and 7) reflect this.

However, to round off this picture, we should mention that Indonesian fishers are also known to fish illegally outside the Indonesian EEZ, especially in Australian waters (Vince 2007; Pramod et al. 2008).

## Reconstructed total catch

The total reconstructed for Western Indonesian marine fisheries catch in the Indian Ocean from 1950 to 2010 was estimated to be 44.7 million $t$ (Figure 7), and increased from around $131,000 t \cdot y e a r^{-1}$ in the 1950 s to around 1.4 million $t \cdot y e a r^{-1}$ in the 2000s. Thus, total catches are estimated to be around $37 \%$ higher than the catch reported by FAO on behalf of Indonesia, and Indonesia's national data (adjusted to Western Indonesia). Industrial fisheries - legal and illegal - plus industrial discards made up $70 \%$ of the total estimated catch. Discards from industrial fisheries were estimated to be $21 \%$ (from shrimp trawlers catch and tuna longliners catch) of total catches, while artisanal (29\%), recreational ( $0.1 \%$ ), and subsistence fisheries ( $1.5 \%$ ) made up the rest.

Total reported catch increased steadily, with only small fluctuations in some years (Figure 4). This smooth growth implies that various statistical offices, perhaps at kabupaten ('district') level, perhaps even at provincial level, added similar percentages to previous years' catches. If this suspicion is correct, this would render much of the reported Indonesian fisheries catch data highly suspicious. Here, we slightly offset the over-reporting that this practice tends to generate by accepting claims that illegal foreign fishing has declined in recent years, and therefore that unreported and illegal catches have declined, as shown in Figure (7).

The marine biodiversity of Indonesia is immense, notably its ichthyofauna (Froese 1996; Briggs 2005; and see FishBase; www.fishbase.org for updates), and a huge number of fish and invertebrates species occur in the catch of artisanal fishers and trawlers whose biology is only beginning to be elucidated (see reviews in Dwiponggo et al. 1986; Pauly et al. 1996a). Major contributing taxa in the reconstructed catch were Scombridae (mackerels, tunas, and bonitos), Carangidae (jacks and pompanos), Clupeidae (herrings, shads, and sardines), Engraulidae (anchovies), Penaeidae (penaeid shrimps), Leiognathidae (slipmouths, or ponyfishes), Arcidae (cockles), and Ariidae (sea catfishes; Figure 8).

Finally, two points may be emphasized regarding the Northwest part of Western Indonesia, i.e., the part of Indonesia that is covered by the Bay of Bengal Large Marine Ecosystem project (BOBLME) in Figure (1). The first is that this area was the most affected by the tsunami, which, in December 2004, hit Aceh and its surroundings. This natural disaster killed 170,000 persons (Gaillard et al. 2008) and caused enormous material damage, notably in the fisheries sector. Thus, at least $65-70 \%$ of small-scale fishing vessels in Aceh were destroyed (Australian Institute of Marine Science 2006). In 2005, the year that followed the tsunami, the catch reported for Aceh Province was markedly lower than in previous years (Figure 6). However, the fisheries statistics for Riau, Banten, and Central Java provinces also show a drop of catch in the years preceding the tsunami, while showing an increase for West Java, East Java, Bengkulu, North Sumatra, West Sumatra, Lampung, and Yogyakarta (Figures 4). Thus, while fishing operations in Aceh and other parts of Sumatra impacted by the 2004 tsunami are likely to have declined in 2005, is not obvious that Indonesian, and even Acehnese fisheries statistics, properly reflected this event.

The second point concerning that part of Indonesia that is covered by the BOBLME is that its catches made up, in the 2000s, over $20 \%$ of the catch of Western Indonesia as defined in Figure ( 1 ; see Appendix Table A6 and A7). This implies, among other things, that positive management measures implemented via the BOBLME would positively affect a vital majority of fishers and fisheries in western Indonesia.
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Figure 1. Map of western Indonesia, with its EEZ, and the area covered by the Bay of Bengal Large Marine Ecosystem project (BOBLME).


Figure 2. Nominal catch statistics available from the Ministry of Marine Affairs and Fisheries for what we define as Western Indonesia, plus catches from Bali, and East and West Nusa Tenggara (Indian Ocean only), see Table 1), compared and data from FAO Area 57. See text for discrepancies between the two lines.


Figure 3. Example of catch increases in two provinces of Indonesia (Aceh and East Java). Top: increase that are suspiciously regular. Center: slightly less regular increases. Bottom: fluctuating catches, such as usually encountered in reality.


Figure 4. Nominal catch of Western Indonesia, as defined in Figure (1), by provinces 1950-2010. The catch for Yogyakarta is too small to be shown in the graph. Note relatively smooth increase in most provinces (see text).


Figure 5. Reconstructed industrial fisheries catches for Western Indonesia (as defined in Figure 1), by components, 1950-2010 (see Appendix Table A4 for tabular data). Discards from longliners include nontargeted species, as well as post-finning shark carcasses. The catch decline in the early 1980 is mainly due to our procedure to mark the 1980 trawl ban, while the temporary catch decline in the early to mid-2000s, which appears to mark the 2004 tsunami, is discussed in the text.


Figure 6. Nominal fisheries catch of Aceh Province (1950-2010), separated into artisanal and industrial catch (as described in the text), and exhibiting a drop in 2005 , as would be expected given the devastation that followed the December 2004 tsunami. Note, however, similar catch fluctuations in the preceding years (see text).


Figure 7. Total reconstructed catches for Western Indonesia (as defined in Figure 1), by sectors, 19502010 (see Appendix Table A4 for the corresponding tabular data). The catch of recreational fisheries is too small to be shown on this graph.


Figure 8. Total reconstructed catches for Western Indonesia (as defined in Figure 1), by major taxa, 1950-2010. All other taxa ( $\mathrm{n}=28$ ) were grouped into 'Others' (see Appendix Table A5 for the corresponding tabular data).

Appendix Table 1. Percentage (2000-2010) of the catch of taxa (in Indonesian statistics) that are caught by industrial gears (trawlers for demersal taxa, seiners or longliners for pelagic taxa), with the rest being artisanal fisheries. The percentages are the mean of estimates by the 3 authors, based on the characteristics of each taxon. Area A = Aceh, North Sumatra, West Sumatra, and Riau; Area B = Bengkulu, Lampung, Banten, West Java, Central Java, Special Region of Yogyakarta, and East Java.

| Common name, Bahasa Indonesia (English) | Scientific name | Area A | Area B |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Invertebrates |  |  |  |
| Cephalopods |  |  |  |
| Cumi-cumi (Common squids) | Loligo spp. | 63 | 63 |
| Sotong (Cuttlefishes) | Sepia spp. | 70 | 70 |
| Crustaceans |  |  |  |
| Udang putih/Jerbung (Shrimps/prawns) | Fenneropenaeus spp. | 63 | 60 |
| Udang dogol (Metapenaeus shrimps) | Metapenaeus spp. | 70 | 70 |
| Binatang berkulit keras lainnya (Miscellaneous crustaceans) | Miscellaneous crustaceans | 40 | 40 |
| Udang lainnya (Miscellaneous shrimps) | Miscellaneous shrimps | 60 | 60 |
| Udang windu (Penaeus shrimps) | Penaeus spp. | 72 | 72 |
| Udang rebon (Akiami paste shrimp) | Acetes spp. | 0 | 0 |
| Molluscs |  |  |  |
| Kerang darah (Granular ark) | Tegillarca granosa | 8 | 8 |
| Remis (Hard clams) | Meretrix spp. | 10 | 10 |
| Binatang lunak lainnya (Miscellaneous molluscs) | Miscellaneous molluscs | 20 | 20 |
| Jellyfishes |  |  |  |
| Ubur-ubur (Rhopilema Jellyfishes) | Rhopilema spp. | 22 | 23 |
| Sea cucumbers |  |  |  |
| Teripang (Sea cucumber) | Stichopus spp. | 63 | 65 |
| Teleostei (Bony fishes) |  |  |  |
| Manyung (Giant catfish) | Netuma thalassina | 77 | 77 |
| Tongkol krai (Frigate tuna) | Auxis thazard thazard | 82 | 82 |
| Ekor kuning/Pisang-pisang (Redbelly yellowtail fusilier) | Caesio cuning | 55 | 55 |
| Kuwe (Jacks) | Caranx spp. | 77 | 77 |
| Selar (scads) | Selaroides spp. | 75 | 75 |
| Golok-golok/Parang-parang (Dorab wolf-herring) | Chirocentrus dorab | 78 | 78 |
| Ikan lidah (Tonguefishes) | Cynoglossidae | 78 | 78 |
| Ikan laying (Scads) | Decapterus spp. | 77 | 75 |
| Tongkol (Kawakawa) | Euthynnus affinis | 86 | 86 |
| Julung-julung (Halfbeaks) | Hemiramphus spp. | 80 | 80 |
| Cakalang (Skipjack tuna) | Katsuwonus pelamis | 93 | 93 |
| Kapas-kapas (False trevally) | Lactarius lactarius | 77 | 77 |
| Kakap putih (Barramundi) | Lates calcarifer | 78 | 78 |
| Peperek (Slipmouths or ponyfishes) | Leiognathidae | 67 | 67 |
| Kakap merah/Bambangan (Snappers) | Lutjanus spp. | 78 | 78 |
| Tetengkek (Torpedo scad) | Megalaspis cordyla | 82 | 82 |
| Ikan lainnya (Miscellaneous fishes) | Miscellaneous fishes | 50 | 50 |
| Belanak (Mullets) | Mugilidae | 53 | 53 |
| Biji nangka (Yellowstripe goatfish) | Upeneus vittatus | 55 | 55 |
| Kurisi (Ornate threadfin bream) | Nemipterus hexodon | 75 | 75 |
| Bawal putih (Silver pomfret) | Pampus argenteus | 77 | 77 |
| Bawal hitam (Black pomfret) | Parastromateus niger | 80 | 80 |
| Kuro/Senangin (Threadfins) | Polynemus spp. | 75 | 75 |
| Ikan sebelah (Indian halibut) | Psettodidae | 80 | 80 |
| Kembung (Short mackerel) | Rastrelliger brachysoma | 80 | 80 |
| Lemuru (Bali sardinella) | Sardinella lemuru | 53 | 83 |
| Tembang (Sardinellas) | Sardinella spp. | 63 | 63 |
| Gulamah/Tigawaja (Yellow drum) | Nibea albiflora | 52 | 52 |
| Tenggiri (Narrow-barred Spanish mackerel) | Scomberomorus commerson | 77 | 77 |
| Tenggiri papan (Indo-pacific king mackerel) | Scomberomorus guttatus | 77 | 77 |
| Kerapu karang (Chocolate hind) | Cephalopholis boenak | 68 | 62 |
| Teri (Anchovies) | Stolephorus spp. | 53 | 50 |
| Madidihang (Yellowfin tuna) | Thunnus albacares | 88 | 88 |
| Tuna mata besar (Bigeye tuna) | Thunnus obesus | 92 | 92 |
| Tongkol abu-abu (Longtail tuna) | Thunnus tonggol | 88 | 88 |
| Layur (Hairtails) | Trichiurus spp. | 73 | 75 |
| Elasmobranchii (Sharks and rays) |  |  |  |
| Pari kembang/Pari macan (Stingrays) | Dasyatis spp. | 78 | 78 |
| Cucut lanyam (Sharks) | Carcharhinus spp. | 80 | 80 |

Appendix Table A2. Organisms likely to be discarded by trawlers in Western Indonesia especially when they are juveniles; names and maximum lengths are from FishBase (www. fishbase.org), SeaLifeBase (www.sealifebase.org), and the Sea Around Us database (www.seaaroundus.org).

| Common name (Bahasa <br> Indonesia) | Common name <br> (English) | Taxon name | Max. Iength <br> (cm) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Kerang darah | Granular ark | Tegillarca granosa | 4 |
| Remis | Hard clams | Meretrix spp. | 5 |
| Sotong | Cuttlefishes | Sepia spp. | 10 |
| Peperek | Ponyfishes | Mainly Leiognathus | 12 |
|  |  | spp. | 20 |
| Kuro/Senangin | Threadfins | Polynemus spp. | 20 |
| Selar | Yellowstipe scad | Selaroides leptolepis | 20 |
| Kerapu karang | Chocolate hind | Cephalopholis boenak | 21 |
| Kurisi | Threadfin bream | Nemipterus spp. | 21 |
| Cumi-cumi | Common squids | Loligo spp. | 25 |
| Biji nangka | Yellowstriped goatfish | Upeneus vittatus | 25 |
| Teripang | Sea cucumber | Stichopus spp. | 40 |
| Gulamah/Tigawaja | Yellow drum | Nibea albiflora | 44 |
| Julung-julung | Halfbeaks | Hemiramphus spp. | 45 |
| Layur | Hairtails | Trichiurus spp. | 49 |
| Ekor kuning/Pisang-pisang | Redbelly yellowtail fusilier | Caesio cuning | 54 |
| Ikan sebelah | India halibut | Psettodes erumei | 60 |
| Golok-golok/Parang-parang | Dorab wolf-herring | Chirocentrus dorab | 100 |
| Kuwe | Jacks | Caranx spp. | 102 |
| Belanak | Mullets | Mugilidae | 120 |
| Manyung | Giant catfish | Netuma thalassina | 145 |
| Pari kembang/Pari macan | Stingrays | Dasyatis spp. | 150 |
| Cucut lanyam | Sharks | Carcharhinus spp. | 240 |

Appendix Table A3. Organisms usually discarded, irrespective of their size and age, and included in the 'miscellaneous fishes group'. Names are from FishBase (www.fishbase.org).

| Family name | Common name (English) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Anacanthidae | Leatherjackets |
| Antennaridae | Frogfishes |
| Apogonidae | Cardinalfishes |
| Balistidae | Triggerfishes (except for Abalistes |
| Blenniidae | stellaris) |
| Callionymidae | Combtooth blennies |
| Centriscidae | Dragonets |
| Chaetodontidae | Snipefishes and shrimpfishes |
| Dactylopteridae | Butterflyfishes |
| Diodontidae | Flying gurnards |
| Echeneidae | Porcupinefishes (burrfishes) |
| Fistulariidae | Remoras |
| Gobiidae | Cornetfishes |
| Labridae | Gobies |
| Monocanthidae | Wrasses (except for Napoleon wrasse) |
| Ostraciidae | Filefishes |
| Parapercidae | Boxfishes |
| Platycephalidae | Grub fish |
| Pomacanthidae | Flatheads |
| Pomacentridae | Angelfishes |
| Scaridae | Damselfishes |
| Scorpaeonidae | Parrotfishes (<25 g) |
| Syngnathidae | Scorpionfishes |
| Tetraodontidae | Pipefishes |
| Triacanthidae | Puffers |
| Triglidae | Triplespines |
| Uranoscopidae | Searobins |

Appendix Table A4. Reported landings vs. reconstructed total catch (in tonnes), and catch by sector, with discards shown separately, for Western Indonesia, 1950-2010.

| Year | Reported landings | Reconstructed total catch | Industrial | Artisanal | Subsistence | Recreational | Discard |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1950 | 21,426 | 98,400 | 0 | 93,200 | 4,720 | 444 | 0 |
| 1951 | 106,866 | 112,100 | 0 | 106,900 | 4,800 | 452 | 0 |
| 1952 | 120,495 | 125,800 | 0 | 120,500 | 4,890 | 460 | 0 |
| 1953 | 124,065 | 129,500 | 0 | 124,100 | 4,980 | 468 | 0 |
| 1954 | 132,358 | 138,000 | 0 | 132,400 | 5,140 | 483 | 0 |
| 1955 | 136,060 | 141,700 | 0 | 136,100 | 5,180 | 487 | 0 |
| 1956 | 138,363 | 144,200 | 0 | 138,400 | 5,290 | 498 | 0 |
| 1957 | 134,934 | 140,900 | 0 | 134,900 | 5,410 | 509 | 0 |
| 1958 | 139,117 | 145,200 | 0 | 139,100 | 5,530 | 521 | 0 |
| 1959 | 133,088 | 139,300 | 0 | 133,100 | 5,660 | 533 | 0 |
| 1960 | 136,478 | 142,800 | 0 | 136,500 | 5,800 | 522 | 0 |
| 1961 | 173,097 | 182,600 | 3,380 | 169,700 | 5,940 | 535 | 2,980 |
| 1962 | 179,470 | 198,900 | 17,300 | 162,200 | 6,090 | 548 | 12,770 |
| 1963 | 185,137 | 215,600 | 33,150 | 152,000 | 6,250 | 562 | 23,670 |
| 1964 | 195,418 | 238,500 | 51,120 | 144,300 | 6,410 | 576 | 36,060 |
| 1965 | 220,717 | 281,500 | 76,980 | 143,700 | 6,570 | 591 | 53,590 |
| 1966 | 238,047 | 321,000 | 108,880 | 129,200 | 6,750 | 606 | 75,580 |
| 1967 | 224,605 | 317,000 | 123,380 | 101,500 | 6,920 | 622 | 84,520 |
| 1968 | 238,156 | 342,700 | 139,980 | 99,800 | 7,100 | 638 | 95,150 |
| 1969 | 258,738 | 378,900 | 160,660 | 101,400 | 7,290 | 655 | 108,930 |
| 1970 | 263,877 | 395,900 | 169,310 | 99,400 | 7,480 | 672 | 119,050 |
| 1971 | 267,637 | 408,800 | 179,910 | 94,200 | 7,670 | 689 | 126,260 |
| 1972 | 274,363 | 419,100 | 190,840 | 92,800 | 7,860 | 706 | 126,820 |
| 1973 | 294,280 | 456,400 | 207,890 | 99,000 | 8,060 | 724 | 140,700 |
| 1974 | 315,970 | 490,100 | 229,870 | 103,100 | 8,260 | 742 | 148,130 |
| 1975 | 341,242 | 529,400 | 253,240 | 109,300 | 8,460 | 761 | 157,660 |
| 1976 | 358,068 | 543,900 | 239,920 | 140,700 | 8,670 | 779 | 153,820 |
| 1977 | 385,875 | 584,500 | 260,390 | 152,500 | 9,000 | 798 | 161,730 |
| 1978 | 400,656 | 611,500 | 267,490 | 164,100 | 9,340 | 818 | 169,730 |
| 1979 | 394,533 | 613,000 | 273,670 | 155,400 | 9,670 | 837 | 173,350 |
| 1980 | 429,028 | 615,800 | 257,230 | 207,200 | 10,010 | 857 | 140,510 |
| 1981 | 430,537 | 635,200 | 279,180 | 189,600 | 10,340 | 876 | 155,130 |
| 1982 | 432,074 | 653,600 | 300,280 | 172,900 | 10,680 | 897 | 168,920 |
| 1983 | 475,291 | 732,000 | 335,590 | 185,100 | 11,010 | 917 | 199,430 |
| 1984 | 492,653 | 757,100 | 353,580 | 187,000 | 11,350 | 937 | 204,260 |
| 1985 | 516,366 | 802,000 | 389,330 | 180,900 | 11,680 | 956 | 219,220 |
| 1986 | 548,639 | 854,600 | 412,860 | 193,100 | 12,590 | 976 | 235,120 |
| 1987 | 579,435 | 906,000 | 431,040 | 208,200 | 13,500 | 995 | 252,300 |
| 1988 | 613,210 | 970,100 | 460,140 | 216,600 | 14,410 | 1,014 | 277,880 |
| 1989 | 667,052 | 1,048,900 | 510,960 | 227,300 | 15,320 | 1,033 | 294,200 |
| 1990 | 677,989 | 1,058,100 | 511,620 | 236,600 | 16,230 | 1,052 | 292,590 |
| 1991 | 709,243 | 1,097,600 | 538,380 | 244,200 | 17,140 | 1,070 | 296,750 |
| 1992 | 743,842 | 1,154,100 | 558,710 | 260,700 | 18,050 | 1,089 | 315,470 |
| 1993 | 809,742 | 1,268,700 | 617,860 | 276,000 | 18,960 | 1,107 | 354,720 |
| 1994 | 859,946 | 1,338,100 | 650,550 | 296,800 | 19,870 | 1,125 | 369,690 |
| 1995 | 894,198 | 1,378,000 | 680,840 | 305,400 | 20,780 | 1,143 | 369,800 |
| 1996 | 924,754 | 1,383,900 | 699,400 | 313,600 | 20,180 | 1,160 | 349,580 |
| 1997 | 984,435 | 1,429,000 | 738,710 | 330,700 | 19,570 | 1,178 | 338,840 |
| 1998 | 1,009,182 | 1,423,500 | 757,950 | 331,200 | 18,960 | 1,195 | 314,230 |
| 1999 | 984,411 | 1,360,600 | 723,640 | 329,000 | 18,360 | 1,212 | 288,330 |
| 2000 | 1,001,816 | 1,345,900 | 725,000 | 336,900 | 17,750 | 1,230 | 265,060 |
| 2001 | 1,073,235 | 1,404,100 | 764,390 | 364,100 | 17,150 | 1,248 | 257,220 |
| 2002 | 1,087,543 | 1,390,600 | 755,660 | 377,600 | 16,540 | 1,266 | 239,570 |
| 2003 | 1,124,118 | 1,405,800 | 773,290 | 388,900 | 15,940 | 1,284 | 226,330 |
| 2004 | 1,063,855 | 1,297,700 | 719,250 | 371,500 | 15,330 | 1,303 | 190,340 |
| 2005 | 1,012,047 | 1,207,300 | 665,980 | 363,000 | 14,730 | 1,322 | 162,270 |
| 2006 | 1,110,076 | 1,308,900 | 744,510 | 384,800 | 15,220 | 1,341 | 163,020 |
| 2007 | 1,185,849 | 1,384,000 | 809,160 | 397,600 | 15,720 | 1,360 | 160,240 |
| 2008 | 1,215,235 | 1,400,600 | 824,310 | 412,300 | 16,220 | 1,379 | 146,350 |
| 2009 | 1,222,141 | 1,397,300 | 848,360 | 395,800 | 16,720 | 1,398 | 135,070 |
| 2010 | 1,172,322 | 1,325,200 | 809,310 | 383,600 | 17,220 | 1,417 | 113,630 |

Appendix Table A5. Reconstructed total catch (in tonnes) for Western Indonesia, by major taxa, 1950-2010. 'Others' contain 28 additional taxonomic categories.

| Year | Scombridae | Carangidae | Clupeidae | Engraulidae | Arcidae | Leiognathidae | Penaeidae | Carcharhinidae | Other |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1950 | 17,700 | 12,000 | 5,000 | 3,890 | 83 | 1,140 | 5,233 | 1,365 | 387 |
| 1951 | 20,200 | 13,600 | 5,750 | 4,450 | 82 | 1,260 | 5,983 | 1,588 | 453 |
| 1952 | 22,700 | 15,200 | 6,490 | 5,010 | 81 | 1,380 | 6,733 | 1,810 | 519 |
| 1953 | 23,300 | 15,600 | 6,640 | 5,170 | 81 | 1,410 | 7,054 | 1,867 | 533 |
| 1954 | 25,100 | 16,800 | 7,120 | 5,490 | 122 | 1,510 | 7,445 | 1,921 | 559 |
| 1955 | 25,700 | 17,100 | 7,300 | 5,640 | 122 | 1,570 | 7,765 | 1,976 | 572 |
| 1956 | 26,200 | 17,400 | 7,390 | 5,750 | 122 | 1,590 | 8,127 | 2,035 | 587 |
| 1957 | 25,500 | 16,900 | 7,210 | 5,580 | 122 | 1,550 | 8,110 | 1,984 | 575 |
| 1958 | 26,300 | 17,500 | 7,480 | 5,770 | 122 | 1,600 | 7,964 | 2,041 | 588 |
| 1959 | 25,100 | 16,700 | 7,120 | 5,490 | 123 | 1,530 | 8,202 | 1,934 | 564 |
| 1960 | 25,600 | 17,100 | 7,240 | 5,620 | 123 | 1,590 | 8,335 | 1,992 | 578 |
| 1961 | 32,400 | 22,000 | 9,240 | 7,160 | 532 | 1,960 | 10,162 | 2,790 | 785 |
| 1962 | 34,500 | 24,100 | 9,610 | 7,420 | 1,876 | 2,220 | 10,585 | 3,538 | 1,302 |
| 1963 | 36,300 | 26,200 | 9,900 | 7,660 | 3,344 | 2,570 | 10,733 | 4,396 | 1,908 |
| 1964 | 39,000 | 29,100 | 10,450 | 8,100 | 4,969 | 2,970 | 11,330 | 5,412 | 2,588 |
| 1965 | 46,200 | 34,400 | 11,770 | 9,120 | 7,222 | 3,660 | 12,352 | 6,909 | 3,659 |
| 1966 | 49,800 | 39,600 | 12,780 | 9,880 | 9,748 | 4,990 | 13,628 | 8,617 | 4,950 |
| 1967 | 48,100 | 39,400 | 12,010 | 9,320 | 10,458 | 5,680 | 13,042 | 8,993 | 5,572 |
| 1968 | 52,000 | 42,800 | 12,840 | 9,950 | 11,233 | 6,800 | 13,171 | 9,824 | 6,386 |
| 1969 | 57,300 | 47,600 | 14,050 | 10,860 | 12,355 | 8,140 | 14,009 | 11,066 | 7,325 |
| 1970 | 55,400 | 40,600 | 13,370 | 9,970 | 12,948 | 9,810 | 12,777 | 12,555 | 7,449 |
| 1971 | 57,200 | 42,100 | 13,670 | 10,120 | 13,268 | 10,780 | 12,550 | 13,052 | 7,942 |
| 1972 | 66,300 | 54,900 | 13,120 | 8,820 | 13,173 | 10,240 | 15,436 | 12,319 | 8,549 |
| 1973 | 65,900 | 65,300 | 16,450 | 15,250 | 14,437 | 10,620 | 13,578 | 13,057 | 9,457 |
| 1974 | 83,800 | 70,700 | 20,260 | 15,790 | 15,293 | 10,440 | 14,433 | 14,274 | 11,471 |
| 1975 | 85,400 | 77,800 | 36,400 | 15,230 | 16,882 | 15,530 | 17,122 | 15,418 | 13,119 |
| 1976 | 79,800 | 61,400 | 29,480 | 19,100 | 36,478 | 21,900 | 17,662 | 26,675 | 13,311 |
| 1977 | 85,200 | 62,700 | 41,030 | 25,830 | 44,681 | 25,950 | 20,082 | 25,094 | 14,725 |
| 1978 | 78,600 | 68,100 | 38,100 | 33,980 | 49,091 | 28,530 | 31,800 | 24,371 | 15,610 |
| 1979 | 84,500 | 73,500 | 34,570 | 32,390 | 42,323 | 29,550 | 16,882 | 22,111 | 15,476 |
| 1980 | 107,300 | 61,000 | 43,080 | 33,410 | 40,701 | 27,020 | 17,828 | 22,922 | 16,970 |
| 1981 | 117,200 | 65,900 | 41,760 | 33,850 | 40,121 | 27,930 | 19,709 | 19,188 | 18,559 |
| 1982 | 127,200 | 70,600 | 40,440 | 34,290 | 39,343 | 28,960 | 21,621 | 15,282 | 20,162 |
| 1983 | 126,100 | 88,000 | 43,350 | 33,070 | 54,093 | 29,180 | 23,040 | 18,123 | 21,889 |
| 1984 | 130,400 | 105,300 | 42,480 | 32,890 | 58,202 | 29,540 | 17,962 | 20,064 | 23,627 |
| 1985 | 151,300 | 135,700 | 40,000 | 26,950 | 39,988 | 29,910 | 15,768 | 20,287 | 25,231 |
| 1986 | 162,900 | 132,100 | 47,500 | 29,120 | 41,535 | 31,650 | 18,805 | 22,611 | 26,835 |
| 1987 | 169,400 | 126,600 | 48,110 | 34,850 | 45,093 | 32,680 | 20,997 | 25,355 | 27,160 |
| 1988 | 178,600 | 132,000 | 59,220 | 37,700 | 44,640 | 36,830 | 22,055 | 28,973 | 29,328 |
| 1989 | 216,500 | 146,900 | 67,100 | 41,630 | 45,918 | 38,360 | 23,900 | 28,397 | 32,901 |
| 1990 | 199,500 | 160,600 | 66,560 | 41,710 | 47,433 | 37,450 | 20,472 | 27,610 | 31,371 |
| 1991 | 207,100 | 178,600 | 74,380 | 48,640 | 52,926 | 37,100 | 19,567 | 27,227 | 33,485 |
| 1992 | 216,600 | 173,900 | 70,690 | 46,610 | 58,468 | 40,690 | 24,200 | 31,612 | 35,793 |
| 1993 | 244,500 | 188,200 | 78,890 | 54,900 | 53,067 | 46,400 | 23,912 | 34,834 | 39,973 |
| 1994 | 252,000 | 193,600 | 89,840 | 63,140 | 59,922 | 49,410 | 30,127 | 38,786 | 41,985 |
| 1995 | 257,600 | 205,400 | 74,470 | 67,670 | 52,603 | 49,320 | 37,906 | 40,003 | 42,333 |
| 1996 | 274,700 | 200,200 | 73,390 | 71,200 | 54,896 | 49,740 | 32,326 | 39,255 | 42,943 |
| 1997 | 285,200 | 201,700 | 79,270 | 80,890 | 52,741 | 56,250 | 38,694 | 35,677 | 42,582 |
| 1998 | 303,100 | 191,800 | 97,650 | 78,700 | 43,406 | 50,930 | 37,740 | 35,865 | 43,473 |
| 1999 | 277,500 | 186,400 | 71,550 | 70,700 | 39,810 | 51,640 | 39,201 | 36,840 | 39,923 |
| 2000 | 288,200 | 175,000 | 71,570 | 72,590 | 37,667 | 40,200 | 39,418 | 32,965 | 39,551 |
| 2001 | 296,700 | 176,500 | 88,370 | 77,440 | 48,404 | 44,960 | 40,406 | 37,135 | 40,139 |
| 2002 | 281,900 | 179,600 | 79,940 | 57,960 | 50,909 | 48,520 | 42,563 | 39,217 | 37,556 |
| 2003 | 280,300 | 179,500 | 78,290 | 60,170 | 38,006 | 51,350 | 43,238 | 33,655 | 36,298 |
| 2004 | 270,400 | 163,300 | 65,940 | 51,830 | 48,479 | 49,390 | 42,556 | 32,258 | 32,144 |
| 2005 | 243,300 | 133,800 | 63,200 | 44,020 | 47,409 | 46,210 | 42,736 | 34,258 | 27,789 |
| 2006 | 282,200 | 152,400 | 85,350 | 45,440 | 45,421 | 42,010 | 47,061 | 30,920 | 32,960 |
| 2007 | 325,600 | 150,700 | 98,050 | 56,320 | 47,700 | 41,590 | 54,467 | 29,481 | 38,204 |
| 2008 | 332,000 | 175,700 | 86,620 | 56,560 | 47,651 | 41,160 | 56,961 | 27,625 | 31,375 |
| 2009 | 331,900 | 173,300 | 89,900 | 59,710 | 23,800 | 40,060 | 56,622 | 26,169 | 32,409 |
| 2010 | 311,600 | 187,300 | 83,440 | 58,530 | 23,674 | 39,690 | 50,124 | 24,602 | 30,244 |

Appendix Table A6. Total reconstructed catches for provinces (Aceh, North Sumatra, West Sumatra, and
Riau, in part) covered by the BOBLME, by sector, 1950-2010.

| Year | Reported <br> landings | Reconstructed <br> total catch |  | Industrial <br> (Legal) | Industrial <br> (IIlegal) | Artisanal | Subsistence |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | Discards

Appendix Table A7. Total reconstructed catches for provinces (Aceh, North Sumatra, West Sumatra, and Riau, in part) covered by the BOBLME, by major taxa, 1950-2010.

| Year | Scombridae | Carangidae | Arcidae | Engraulidae | Penaeidae | Clupeidae | Sciaenidae | Polynemidae | Others |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1950 | 15,000 | 8,680 | 62 | 1,640 | 4,240 | 2,770 | 1,150 | 1,990 | 34,900 |
| 1951 | 17,200 | 9,820 | 62 | 1,880 | 4,840 | 3,190 | 1,340 | 2,300 | 39,900 |
| 1952 | 19,300 | 10,950 | 62 | 2,120 | 5,440 | 3,610 | 1,530 | 2,600 | 44,800 |
| 1953 | 19,800 | 11,270 | 62 | 2,180 | 5,690 | 3,690 | 1,580 | 2,660 | 46,100 |
| 1954 | 21,400 | 12,090 | 93 | 2,320 | 6,020 | 3,960 | 1,620 | 2,850 | 48,900 |
| 1955 | 21,900 | 12,360 | 93 | 2,390 | 6,270 | 4,060 | 1,670 | 2,910 | 50,300 |
| 1956 | 22,300 | 12,570 | 93 | 2,430 | 6,560 | 4,110 | 1,720 | 2,970 | 51,000 |
| 1957 | 21,700 | 12,220 | 93 | 2,360 | 6,530 | 4,010 | 1,680 | 2,880 | 49,800 |
| 1958 | 22,400 | 12,650 | 93 | 2,440 | 6,420 | 4,160 | 1,730 | 2,970 | 51,500 |
| 1959 | 21,400 | 12,060 | 93 | 2,320 | 6,600 | 3,960 | 1,640 | 2,850 | 49,200 |
| 1960 | 21,800 | 12,330 | 93 | 2,380 | 6,700 | 4,030 | 1,680 | 2,920 | 50,800 |
| 1961 | 27,600 | 15,930 | 504 | 3,030 | 8,180 | 5,130 | 2,400 | 4,250 | 65,200 |
| 1962 | 29,400 | 17,730 | 1,847 | 3,140 | 8,530 | 5,350 | 3,140 | 5,190 | 72,500 |
| 1963 | 31,100 | 19,660 | 3,305 | 3,240 | 8,640 | 5,500 | 3,970 | 6,180 | 80,200 |
| 1964 | 33,500 | 22,190 | 4,930 | 3,420 | 9,120 | 5,820 | 4,950 | 7,400 | 90,300 |
| 1965 | 40,000 | 26,510 | 7,151 | 3,860 | 9,980 | 6,550 | 6,380 | 9,330 | 107,600 |
| 1966 | 42,700 | 30,500 | 9,530 | 4,180 | 10,980 | 7,110 | 7,790 | 11,190 | 123,300 |
| 1967 | 41,000 | 30,150 | 10,130 | 3,950 | 10,490 | 6,690 | 7,960 | 10,890 | 121,900 |
| 1968 | 44,100 | 32,440 | 10,743 | 4,230 | 10,660 | 7,170 | 8,470 | 11,700 | 130,500 |
| 1969 | 48,400 | 35,650 | 11,682 | 4,630 | 11,370 | 7,890 | 9,320 | 12,870 | 143,000 |
| 1970 | 46,600 | 29,810 | 12,057 | 4,270 | 10,440 | 6,960 | 10,270 | 12,110 | 159,200 |
| 1971 | 47,900 | 30,500 | 12,236 | 4,350 | 10,290 | 7,150 | 10,450 | 12,380 | 163,100 |
| 1972 | 55,600 | 39,400 | 12,182 | 3,790 | 12,750 | 6,760 | 9,890 | 11,630 | 156,400 |
| 1973 | 54,800 | 50,220 | 13,218 | 6,560 | 10,980 | 11,380 | 10,340 | 25,100 | 155,400 |
| 1974 | 71,100 | 52,930 | 14,273 | 6,520 | 12,540 | 12,530 | 11,600 | 26,280 | 159,900 |
| 1975 | 68,100 | 54,960 | 15,955 | 6,740 | 12,890 | 16,830 | 12,900 | 23,080 | 173,200 |
| 1976 | 56,900 | 27,400 | 34,912 | 10,390 | 13,540 | 14,890 | 22,800 | 9,020 | 165,900 |
| 1977 | 59,300 | 30,930 | 43,247 | 15,190 | 15,970 | 17,510 | 20,490 | 10,890 | 161,300 |
| 1978 | 53,400 | 33,940 | 46,505 | 19,600 | 24,400 | 16,200 | 19,640 | 12,620 | 164,300 |
| 1979 | 56,500 | 33,500 | 40,196 | 18,600 | 11,700 | 11,570 | 16,200 | 12,280 | 177,100 |
| 1980 | 66,300 | 30,990 | 39,183 | 17,550 | 13,070 | 10,790 | 17,640 | 10,920 | 165,700 |
| 1981 | 68,500 | 32,970 | 38,280 | 17,680 | 14,240 | 10,960 | 13,050 | 11,330 | 160,300 |
| 1982 | 70,700 | 34,700 | 37,140 | 17,810 | 15,430 | 11,130 | 8,220 | 11,550 | 153,900 |
| 1983 | 66,700 | 42,310 | 51,582 | 18,270 | 16,210 | 10,650 | 10,200 | 11,980 | 176,800 |
| 1984 | 72,600 | 51,120 | 55,569 | 18,710 | 13,120 | 13,170 | 11,800 | 12,310 | 194,300 |
| 1985 | 96,900 | 64,710 | 37,386 | 14,780 | 10,490 | 15,320 | 12,400 | 12,970 | 211,400 |
| 1986 | 105,800 | 65,850 | 38,278 | 17,340 | 12,740 | 19,930 | 13,880 | 13,900 | 232,400 |
| 1987 | 110,800 | 66,400 | 40,545 | 22,750 | 14,860 | 20,950 | 14,530 | 16,280 | 249,700 |
| 1988 | 122,500 | 73,220 | 40,921 | 24,480 | 15,290 | 18,860 | 15,410 | 16,530 | 269,300 |
| 1989 | 154,500 | 83,280 | 41,615 | 25,040 | 17,730 | 19,570 | 16,990 | 16,990 | 287,300 |
| 1990 | 135,900 | 88,870 | 42,769 | 23,140 | 14,100 | 19,550 | 15,730 | 16,680 | 293,500 |
| 1991 | 137,200 | 95,870 | 47,620 | 28,820 | 13,740 | 20,740 | 16,700 | 15,970 | 279,200 |
| 1992 | 137,800 | 93,130 | 52,503 | 27,550 | 17,520 | 16,170 | 18,890 | 17,150 | 298,900 |
| 1993 | 160,500 | 105,600 | 46,675 | 34,100 | 17,330 | 21,950 | 21,190 | 18,660 | 346,900 |
| 1994 | 160,100 | 95,040 | 52,224 | 42,660 | 22,460 | 20,890 | 23,500 | 18,540 | 344,800 |
| 1995 | 179,600 | 104,370 | 46,412 | 48,920 | 31,250 | 20,770 | 25,940 | 24,980 | 378,200 |
| 1996 | 189,500 | 103,390 | 49,369 | 49,480 | 25,850 | 23,930 | 25,650 | 22,120 | 375,200 |
| 1997 | 192,600 | 96,140 | 45,842 | 55,070 | 31,610 | 22,140 | 21,930 | 20,470 | 361,800 |
| 1998 | 212,000 | 93,840 | 37,644 | 61,590 | 30,720 | 25,170 | 22,560 | 21,100 | 384,800 |
| 1999 | 188,100 | 92,470 | 33,468 | 53,700 | 31,010 | 29,720 | 23,070 | 19,660 | 368,800 |
| 2000 | 199,700 | 91,350 | 31,841 | 53,150 | 30,510 | 29,090 | 20,570 | 18,490 | 358,100 |
| 2001 | 204,200 | 87,830 | 31,525 | 57,230 | 32,220 | 31,920 | 23,120 | 18,650 | 355,500 |
| 2002 | 178,200 | 89,480 | 35,835 | 37,290 | 34,480 | 22,160 | 25,380 | 16,570 | 358,100 |
| 2003 | 192,800 | 96,340 | 28,813 | 46,430 | 34,960 | 27,890 | 21,790 | 20,950 | 385,600 |
| 2004 | 174,400 | 80,370 | 36,033 | 33,840 | 34,310 | 18,340 | 20,590 | 16,350 | 364,000 |
| 2005 | 150,800 | 70,890 | 39,064 | 28,330 | 35,590 | 16,230 | 22,290 | 14,660 | 333,900 |
| 2006 | 185,400 | 88,390 | 39,244 | 32,440 | 36,350 | 20,840 | 21,630 | 16,810 | 354,500 |
| 2007 | 223,400 | 90,840 | 37,579 | 37,380 | 47,990 | 39,800 | 20,350 | 15,980 | 363,500 |
| 2008 | 212,500 | 104,810 | 43,123 | 40,650 | 45,610 | 35,950 | 17,780 | 12,280 | 347,200 |
| 2009 | 214,300 | 105,310 | 18,932 | 47,060 | 49,340 | 32,520 | 17,150 | 12,330 | 363,600 |
| 2010 | 209,600 | 121,370 | 19,119 | 43,580 | 42,440 | 34,700 | 15,530 | 11,060 | 319,100 |


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Fischer and Whitehead (1974) and Carpenter and Niem (1998b, 1998a, 1999a, 1999b, 2001a, 2001b)

[^1]:    a): Assumed based on Butcher (2004), Bailey et al (1987), Morgan and Staples (2006); b): The trawl fishery started in Bagan Si Api Api in 1966 (Morgan and Staples 2006); c): In 1971, trawlers moved from Sumatra to the north coast of Java (Morgan and Staples 2006); d): "[...] and from there [trawling] spread [in] the early 1970s throughout the Malacca Straits into the Java Sea" (Bailey 1986); e): "Also, by 1971, at least 50 trawlers from Sumatra had shifted their base of operations to the north coast of Java, [...] and trawlers were established at Cilacap, a port of Java's south coast." (Bailey et al. 1987).

