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#### Abstract

We estimated the total marine fisheries catches taken by Estonia (including the USSR period) in the Baltic Sea from 1950-2007 using an approach called 'catch reconstruction'. Estonia-specific ICES landing statistics are available from 1991-2007, and these form the reported data baseline, to which we added disaggregated data from the USSR period for commercial landings as well as estimates of unreported commercial landings, discards, and recreational catches. Over the entire study period (1950-2007) the total reconstructed catch was estimated at 5.8 million tonnes. Our reconstruction for the period when ICES landings statistics are available for Estonia (1991-2007), yielded a cumulative catch of approximately 1.5 million tonnes. This is $300,000 \mathrm{t}$ larger than the landings attributed to Estonia by ICES during this period. Our approach indicates that total catches since 1991 were approximately $28 \%$ higher than given by ICES, yet we believe this reconstruction represents a conservative estimate. The main species targeted by the commercial fisheries are cod (Gadus morhua), herring (Clupea harengus), and sprat (Sprattus sprattus).


## INTRODUCTION

Estonia is a small country ( $45,100 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$ ) on the eastern edge of the Baltic Sea with a population estimated as $1,347,000$ in 2005 (UN, 2008), which comprises approximately $0.46 \%$ of the total Baltic population. Estonia, which declared its independence from the USSR in August 1991, shares a border to the south with Latvia and an eastern border with the Russian Federation (Figure 1). Estonia has a 12 nautical mile territorial limit (within which only nationals are licensed to fish), although Estonian fishers have access to areas beyond this boundary (FAO, 2005). In recent years, the most important fisheries species have been herring (Clupea harengus), sprat (Sprattus sprattus), and Atlantic cod (Gadus morhua).

Estonia's fisheries can be divided into four segments: 1) Baltic open-sea fishing (trawling); 2) Baltic coastal small-scale fishing (using passive gears); 3) Distant Water Fleet fishing in the Atlantic; and 4) inland freshwater fishing.


Figure 1. Map of the Baltic Sea with ICES subdivisions and surrounding countries. Estonia's coastline borders ICES subdivisions 28-1, 28-2, 29 and 32.

1) The open-sea fishery in the Baltic targets herring and sprat using $20-25 \mathrm{~m}$ vessels left over from the Soviet era (late 1980s). In 2004, the number of vessels was approximately 150, two-thirds of which were

[^0]large, steel trawlers, and one-third were smaller wooden trawlers. When Estonia was part of the USSR, it fished throughout most of the Baltic Sea and its landings were recorded as being taken from ICES Statistical Area IIId. Since the 1990s, Estonia has reported catches for ICES sub-divisions 22-32 within the Baltic. Some vessels also target Atlantic cod using trawlers and gill nets. These landings are mainly exported, for example in 2004 only 3\% of this catch was sold in Estonia (Anon., 2007a).
2) The coastal fishery has historically been the most important fishery sector in Estonia, and has been providing a reliable protein source to households in coastal villages for centuries. There are an estimated 1,ooo boats in operation, and they tend to be small ( $5-6 \mathrm{~m}$ long) with an outboard engine and only rely on passive gear such as gill nets, trap nets, and longlines. Brackish water species such as pikeperch (Stizostedion lucioperca), perch (Perca fluviatilis), and pike (Esox lucius) are targeted, in addition to purely marine fish such as flounders (Platichthys flesus), herring, garfish (Belone belone), sea trout (Salmo trutta), and whitefish (Coregonus lavaretus; Anon., 2007a). This fishery mainly operates within ICES subdivision 32 and a small area in the Gulf of Riga (ICES subdivision 28-1).
3) The Distant Water Fleet has declined in importance since the collapse of the USSR, decreasing from 100 vessels in 1991 to 11 in 2004. The remaining vessels are trawlers that operate in the Northwest Atlantic and target shrimp and fish to be processed on-board for export primarily to Iceland, Norway, Japan, and Canada (Anon., 2007a). This fishery is not considered further in this report.
4) The inland fishery is centered on the two big lakes in Estonia, Lake Peipsi and Lake Vorrtsjärv. Fishers typically use gill nets, trap nets, longlines, and Danish seines to catch lake smelt (Osmerus eperlanus), pikeperch, perch, and pike. In comparison to the coastal fishery there are fewer license holders for this fishery, yet the full-time employment equivalent is similar. This fishery is not considered further in this report.

Between half (Anon., 2007a) and three-quarters (Ifremer, 2007) of Estonia's fish products are estimated to be exported to international markets, approximately $6 \%$ consumed directly by households within Estonia, and approximately $15 \%$ of catch sold to bulk sale enterprises (which re-distribute the catch among fish processors, exporters, or retailers). Estonia's reported landings currently account for approximately $9.5 \%$ of total reported landings in the Baltic Sea, and during the 1950-2007 time period considered here have on average accounted for approximately $7 \%$.

Estonia has experienced several major political changes in the last century, and this has had an effect on the management of their fish stocks. At the beginning of the time series considered in this report Estonia was part of the USSR and as such, all fisheries catches were considered property of the state. The USSR exerted moderate pressure on the fish stocks in the Baltic, and the resources were likely under-utilized (Vetemaa et al., 2002). Fishing was conducted by collective farms, and most of the fish was sold for artificially low prices to local markets, or transferred to other markets within the USSR (Vetemaa et al., 2002; Vetemaa et al., 2006).

After the dissolution of the USSR in the early 1990s, the fishing sector was privatized, and the fishing equipment was sold to fishers at low prices. Trade liberalization meant that fish could now be exported to western markets at higher prices than previously. This greatly increased fishers' income relative to other sectors of the Estonian economy. Not long after, however, ex-vessel prices reached a plateau and operational costs began increasing. The price of fuel, which used to be subsidized by the USSR, increased by a factor of 5 between 1993 and 2004, but the ex-vessel price of fish stagnated (Vetemaa et al., 2006). In other sectors of the economy, incomes were rising, and these factors decreased fishers' relative and absolute wealth. In an effort to maintain their standard of living, many fishers put more pressure on stocks. This, coupled with ineffective resource management, resulted in a decline in the catch and health of the stocks that was first seen in some fishing sectors as early as the mid-1990s (see Vetemaa et al., 2006 for a detailed review).

From 1991 to 1997, the portion of the Total Allowable Catch (TAC) in the Baltic that was allocated to Estonia by the International Baltic Sea Fishery Commission (IBFC) was larger than the capacity of the fishing fleet. For this reason every vessel was licensed to fish all that they could and fishing was essentially unregulated. At the end of 1997 it was estimated that with improvements in fishing technology, the capacity of the fleet would be larger than the TAC in 1998, and therefore new regulatory legislation was introduced (Vetemaa et al., 2006).

From 1998 to 2001, a series of policy measures were implemented that were short-lived because many involved parties, particularly the fishers, found them unacceptable. Despite these regulatory measures, over-capacity of the Estonian trawler fleet reached approximately $25 \%$ in 2001 (Eero et al., 2005). From 2001 to 2003 the Estonian fishing sector was managed by allocating $90 \%$ of fishing rights based on recent catch history (catches taken, and gear or fishing days used during the past 3 years), and $10 \%$ by auction. This approach aimed at stability, while still allowing for change and entry of new participants (Vetemaa et al., 2002).

In 2002, Estonia was scheduled to have national elections, and began negotiations to enter the European Union (EU). The auction system had become unpopular with fishers because it decreased their profits, and the political parties running in the election realized that there were more people against the auctions than supporting them, thus they vowed to abolish it (Vetemaa et al., 2005).

Estonia joined the EU in 2004, and receives its TAC for all internationally managed species through the EU Common Fisheries Policy (CFP) quota system. That quota is distributed to fishers on the basis of historical fishing right, based on the average of the last 3 years' actual catches, and is transferable between licensees. Commercial and recreational fishing, as well as crayfish collection, are subject to fishing right fees.

The objective of the present work is to estimate total catches (in contrast to reported landings) for Estonia, from 1950 - 2007. Components addressed in the present estimation include adjustments to ICES landings statistics, unreported catches, discards, and recreational catches. Focus is on utilizing available knowledge and information sources to derive estimated complete catch time series for all components, for Baltic Sea waters. The general methodology used relies heavily on previously described approaches for catch data reconstruction (e.g. Zeller et al., 2006; Zeller et al., 2007; Zeller and Pauly, 2007).

## Methods

ICES landings statistics (ICES, 2009) were used as the baseline for our reconstruction of Estonia's fisheries catches in the Baltic Sea for the period 1950-2007. Thus, the ICES landings statistics are taken as the reported data, as they are the only data source that is publicly available (via the ICES website) that covers all taxa landed, countries, years (since 1902) and areas of the Baltic Sea for the 1950-2007 time period. However, ICES landing statistics were only available for Estonia from 1991-2007. Prior to 1991, Estonia's landings were reported as part of 'USSR' landings, which combined the landings for the Baltic states of Estonia, Latvia, and Lithuania with Russia's. The Latvian Fish Resource Agency (LATFRA) provided USSR landings data (Table 1) disaggregated by country-entity of the former USSR from 19501989, the sum of which were closely comparable to ICES landings for the former USSR over the same time period (M. Plikshs, pers. comm., LATFRA).

All catches that were not included in the ICES landings statistics were considered to be generated by Illegal, Unreported and Unregulated fisheries (IUU). Here, IUU catches were considered as four components: a) 'adjustments' to ICES landings statistics based on time series data from reliable sources (e.g., ICES stock assessment working group data, national government agencies, etc.); b) 'unreported' landings (referred to as 'unallocated’ catches by ICES); c) 'discards' being catches or mortality caused by fishing when fish are not landed or utilized; and d) 'recreational' catches. The sum of these components, each of which was estimated separately, plus the officially reported ICES landings statistics, provided our total reconstructed catch for Estonia from 1950-2007.

Our reconstruction considered the key commercially targeted species for Estonia, including cod (Gadus morhua); herring (Clupea harengus); sprat (Sprattus sprattus); salmon (Salmo salar); the flatfishes grouping, which only included European flounder (Platichthys flesus); and another 24 individual taxa grouped here as 'others'.

## Illegal, Unreported and Unregulated (IUU) catches

IUU catches are a source of underreporting in many country's fisheries catches (Zeller and Pauly, 2007) and are also of concern in the Baltic Sea (Menn, 2006; Anon., 2007b; ICES, 2008a). Below, we present the methods and data sources used to estimate the four IUU components defined above: a) 'adjustments'; b) 'unreported' landings; c) 'discards'; and d) 'recreational' catches.

## Adjustments to ICES landings statistics

Adjustments were made to the ICES landings statistics using a range of available sources (Table 1). Commercial landings for Estonia from 1950-1989, obtained from LATFRA, were considered adjustments to landings as the ICES landings statistics did not report data separately for Estonia prior to 1990, nor were they retroactively adjusted. Landings data for herring from 1950-1990 from Ojaveer (1999) were considered more reliable than the LATFRA data and provided this taxa's source of adjustments (H. Ojaveer, pers. comm., EMU). From 1991-2007, adjustments were made to cod (ICES, 2007; 2008a) and flatfish (ICES, 2008a) landings using ICES stock assessment working group data. The ICES working group data provided better taxonomic accounting, as cod landings were broken into eastern and western stocks, and flatfish data were disaggregated by species. A linear interpolation was done to estimate landings between 1989 and 1991 to estimate landings for all species, excluding herring, for 1990. These interpolated values were also considered adjustments to landings.

## Unreported landings

Unreported landings as a rate were applied to landings (i.e. ICES landings statistics + adjustments) to derive tonnage of unreported landings for Estonia from 1950-2007. We assumed that unreported landings for Estonia were zero from 1950-1990, following our conservative assumption-based methodology for all former eastern bloc countries (see 'Methods' in Zeller et al., this volume). To estimate unreported landings for 1991 and 1992, the years which reflect the transition from a state-controlled economy to a market-based economy, rates were obtained through linear interpolation from 0\% in 1990 to the first anchor point established for 1993 (Table 2). Unreported cod landings for the period 1993-2007 were estimated to range from 50-100\% (Anon. pers. comm.), and we used the average of this range (75\%) as the rate for unreported cod landings. Unreported landings of salmon were estimated using our default methodology. Baltic-wide unreported landings of salmon were reported for 1981-2007 (ICES, 2008b) as a minimum, mode and maximum amount, and here we used the mode, following our default approach for countries that did not report recreational catches (see 'Methods' in Zeller et al., this volume). The amount of unreported landings was converted to a rate by considering the amount as a proportion of the total landings presented in the same working group report. The Baltic-wide default rate was applied since country specific contributions to unreported landings were lacking. All other taxa had unreported landings based on our default values using anchor points developed from the years 1993, 1994, 2004, and 2005 (see ‘Methods' in Zeller et al., this volume).

Table 1. Sources of adjustments to ICES landings statistics for Estonia from 19502007.

| Common <br> name | Year | Source |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Cod | $1950-1989$ | LATFRA |
|  | 1990 | Interpolated |
|  | $1991-2007$ | ICES 2007, 2008a |
| Herring | $1950-1990$ | Ojaveer (1999) |
| Sprat | $1950-1989$ | LATFRA |
|  | 1990 | Interpolated |
| Salmon | $1950-1989$ | LATFRA |
|  | 1990 | Interpolated |
| Flatfishes | $1950-1989$ | LATFRA |
|  | 1990 | Interpolated |
|  | $1991-2007$ | ICES 2008a |
| 'Others' | $1950-1989$ | LATFRA |
|  | 1990 | Interpolated |

Table 2. Anchor points for unreported landings (as a \%) for cod (LATFRA, see text for detail), salmon (Table 2.1.1. in ICES, 2008b) and all other taxa (Tables 2.3.1 and 2.4.1 in ICES, 2007; Table 2.3.1. and 2.4.1 in ICES, 2008a; and Table 2.1.1 ICES, 2008a). Dashed lines (-) indicate years when the rates were derived through linear interpolation.

| Year | Cod | Salmon Other taxa |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1950-1990$ | $0.0^{\mathrm{a}}$ | $0.0^{\mathrm{a}}$ | $0.0^{\mathrm{a}}$ |
| $1991-1992$ | 75 | - | - |
| 1993 | 75.0 | 19.4 | 24.6 |
| 1994 | 75.0 | 18.7 | 30.3 |
| 1995 | 75.0 | 19.5 | - |
| 1996 | 75.0 | 20.4 | - |
| 1997 | 75.0 | 20.8 | - |
| 1998 | 75.0 | 20.1 | - |
| 1999 | 75.0 | 20.4 | - |
| 2000 | 75.0 | 19.9 | - |
| 2001 | 75.0 | 20.4 | - |
| 2002 | 75.0 | 20.5 | - |
| 2003 | 75.0 | 20.1 | - |
| 2004 | 75.0 | 20.6 | 12.3 |
| 2005 | 75.0 | 20.7 | 11.2 |
| 2006 | 75.0 | 22.2 | $11.2^{\mathrm{b}}$ |
| 2007 | 75.0 | 21.4 | $11.2^{\mathrm{b}}$ |
| default assumption based rate; ${ }^{\mathrm{b}} 2005$ value |  |  |  |
| carried forward. |  |  |  |

## Discards

Discards were considered as four separate categories, each estimated as a rate and applied to total landings (i.e. ICES landings statistics + adjustments + unreported landings) for each respective taxonomic entity. The sum of discards in all four categories gave us total discard amounts for Estonia from 19502007. The four categories considered were: a) 'underwater' discards accounting for the mortality of fish
lost from gear prior to being brought on board; b) 'ghostfishing' due to lost or abandoned fishing gear that continues to fish; c) 'boat-based discards', usually resulting from fishers' catch retention behavior; and d) 'seal-damaged discards' representing the fraction of catch lost because of seal damage.
'Underwater discards': We only applied an underwater discard rate to herring and sprat as few studies have been conducted on this kind of discard in the Baltic (Kelleher, 2005). For herring caught with trawl-gear, Rahikainen (2004) related underwater discard amounts to observed catches of herring. We transformed this into a rate of approximately $9 \%$ for underwater discards of herring caught by trawl (see 'Methods' in Zeller et al., this volume). Since herring and sprat are both caught in a mixed species fishery using similar gear-types, we applied the same underwater discard rate to both species. However, herring and sprat landings for
Table 4. Boat-based salmon discard rates for Estonia (ICES, 2008b) used in all subdivisions except in subdivision 32 when sealdamaged discard rates based on sources (Königson et al., 2005; FGFRI) were greater (1981-2007). Values in italics indicate an interpolated rate.

| Year | Boat- <br> based | Seal- <br> damaged |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1950-1980$ | 2.0 | 0.0 |
| 1981 | 2.0 | 6.9 |
| 1982 | 2.0 | 13.8 |
| 1983 | 2.0 | 20.7 |
| 1984 | 2.0 | 27.6 |
| 1985 | 2.0 | 34.4 |
| 1986 | 2.0 | 41.3 |
| 1987 | 2.0 | 48.2 |
| 1988 | 2.0 | 55.1 |
| 1989 | 2.0 | 62.0 |
| 1990 | 2.0 | 68.9 |
| 1991 | 6.0 | 75.8 |
| 1992 | 10.1 | 82.7 |
| 1993 | 14.1 | 89.5 |
| 1994 | 12.9 | 96.4 |
| 1995 | 13.9 | 103.3 |
| 1996 | 15.1 | 110.2 |
| 1997 | 14.9 | 117.1 |
| 1998 | 14.2 | 124.0 |
| 1999 | 14.8 | 130.9 |
| 2000 | 10.3 | 137.8 |
| 2001 | 15.0 | 203.4 |
| 2002 | 15.8 | 255.8 |
| 2003 | 15.4 | 337.3 |
| 2004 | 15.6 | 380.5 |
| 2005 | 15.2 | 113.2 |
| 2006 | 17.4 | 149.3 |
| 2007 | 14.2 | 102.7 |

Estonia are not reported by gear type, so the underwater discard rate of $9 \%$ for trawl fisheries was reduced to a more conservative estimate of $5 \%$ to account for the lack of catch data by gear-type. This rate was then applied to herring and sprat landings (i.e. ICES landings statistics+ adjustments + unreported landings) for all years between 1950 and 2007.
'Ghostfishing': The estimated ghostfishing discard rate was based on Tschernij and Larsson (2003), who estimated the amount of cod caught by lost gear in Sweden and related it to commercial catches in Sweden. Using these data, Brown et al. (2005) estimated the range of ghostfishing rates by lost gear to be between $0.01 \%$ and $3.2 \%$, and here we used the average of $1.65 \%$ applied to all taxa, except herring and sprat, for all years from 1950-2007.
'Boat-based discards': Boat-based discard rates were compared to seadamaged discard rates from 1980-2007 in subdivisions where sealdamaged discard rates were reported. The higher rate between the two categories was the only rate used to avoid the possibility of double accounting as some seal-damaged discards may have already been accounted for in estimates of boat-based discards.

From 1950-1990 a boat-based discard rate of $2 \%$ was applied to all taxa, except herring and sprat, according to our default assumption-based methodology for boat-based discards (see 'Methods' in Zeller et al., this volume). Our assumption that boat-based discards for herring and sprat were zero over the entire study period was supported by an ICES report indicating that boat-based discards for herring and sprat were almost non-existent (ICES, 2005; 2007; 2008a). Rates for 1991 and 1992 were derived through linear interpolation between the default assumptionbased rate for 1990 of $2 \%$ and the first available anchor point in 1993.

Boat-based discard rates for the period 1993-2007 were derived from

Table 3. Boat-based discard rates (in \%) for taxa included in our grouping 'others' derived from Estonian study for the period 1993-2007 (Anon., 2006b, 2007a).

| Common | Anchor <br> point |
| :--- | ---: |
| name | 25.72 |
| Trout | 7.67 |
| Perch | 4.88 |
| Pikeperch | 6.36 |
| Roach | 4.74 |
| Garfish | 4.32 |
| Smelt | 3.00 |
| Burbot |  | three sources, the first being the Estonian government provided boatbased discard tonnage for various taxa for 2005 . The rates were derived from the total landings presented in the same report, and were estimated for cod (3.7\%), herring (3.9\%), sprat (3.0\%), European flounder the only reported flatfish - (17.2\%), and several other taxa (Table 3) that were included in our grouping 'others' (Anon., 2006b, 2007a). The 2005 rate was used as the discard rate throughout the 1993-2007 time period. The second source estimated boat-based discard rates of salmon from ICES stock assessment working group data (ICES, 2008b), which presents Baltic-wide, boat-based salmon discards (in tonnes) as a minimum, mode and maximum for the 1993-2007 time period (Table 4). The mode was used for Estonia, following our default assumption-based approach for countries that do not report recreational catches (see 'Methods' in Zeller et al., this volume). These values were converted to rates using the total landings presented in the same working group report. The third source for estimating discards for all remaining individual taxa, included here in our group 'others', was a boat-based discard rate of $6.2 \%$ for

all years from 1993-2007, derived from a Danish study (Anon., 2006a; see 'Methods' in Zeller et al., this volume).
'Seal-damaged discards': Seal-damaged discards have become a concern in the Baltic Sea since the 1980 os when seal populations recovered from a previously depleted state (Österblom et al., 2007). Seal-damaged discard data have been estimated for herring in subdivision 28 (ICES, 2008a), and for salmon and other taxa in subdivision 32 (ICES, 2008a). In these two subdivisions, sealdamaged discard rates were used in place of boat-based discards when the seal-damaged discard rate was higher than the boat-based discard rates. Landings of taxa in subdivisions that lacked sealdiscard data only had the boat-based discard rate applied. Prior to 1980 the boat-based discard rate was used for all subdivisions as seal-damaged discards were considered to be a concern only from 1980 onward.

Seal-damaged discard data for herring in subdivision 28 were derived from an Estonian study of herring caught in subdivision 28 in 2005 (Ifremer, 2007). Based on this, seal-discard rates for herring in subdivision 28 were estimated to be up to $50 \%$ of the catches taken in pound nets. Here, we used a seal-damaged discard rate of $11.3 \%$ as an anchor point because in 2005 approximately $45 \%$ of herring catches in subdivision 28 (Ifremer, 2007) were taken in pound nets. The anchor point for 2000 was assumed to be half the rate for 2005, as seal populations were thought to have doubled between 2000 and 2005 (Ifremer, 2007). A linear interpolation was done to estimate seal-damaged discards of herring between anchor points in 1980, 2000 and 2005, and the 2005 rate used for 2006 and 2007 (Table 5). Seal-damaged discard rates for herring were then applied to the fraction of herring caught in subdivision 28. From 1980-1992 landings were not reported by subdivision, so to estimate the proportion of landings that were from subdivision 28, we used the average proportion from 19921994

Table 5. Boat-based herring discard rates for Estonia (as a \%) used in all subdivisions except in subdivision 28 when seal-damaged discard rates were greater (1981-2007) based on sources (Anon., 2007a; Ifremer, 2007). Values in italics indicate an interpolated rate.

| Year | Boat- <br> based | Seal- <br> damaged |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1950-1980$ | 0.0 | 0.00 |
| 1981 | 0.0 | 0.28 |
| 1982 | 0.0 | 0.57 |
| 1983 | 0.0 | 0.85 |
| 1984 | 0.0 | 1.13 |
| 1985 | 0.0 | 1.42 |
| 1986 | 0.0 | 1.70 |
| 1987 | 0.0 | 1.98 |
| 1988 | 0.0 | 2.26 |
| 1989 | 0.0 | 2.55 |
| 1990 | 0.0 | 2.83 |
| 1991 | 0.97 | 3.11 |
| 1992 | 1.94 | 3.40 |
| 1993 | 2.91 | 3.68 |
| 1994 | 2.91 | 3.96 |
| 1995 | 2.91 | 4.25 |
| 1996 | 2.91 | 4.53 |
| 1997 | 2.91 | 4.81 |
| 1998 | 2.91 | 5.09 |
| 1999 | 2.91 | 5.38 |
| 2000 | 2.91 | 5.66 |
| 2001 | 2.91 | 6.80 |
| 2002 | 2.91 | 7.93 |
| 2003 | 2.91 | 9.06 |
| 2004 | 2.91 | 10.20 |
| 2005 | 2.91 | 11.33 |
| 2006 | 2.91 | 11.33 |
| 2007 | 2.91 | 11.33 |
|  |  |  |

Seal-damaged discard rates for salmon and other taxa were based on Finnish data provided by the Finnish Game and Fisheries Research Institute (FGFRI) 2000-2007 (A. Ahvohenen and P. Söderkultalahti, pers. comm., FGFRI). We used the rates derived from the

Table 6. Anchor points for recreational catch ( t ) in Estonia from 1990-2007 obtained from national reports (Anon., 2006b, 2007a). Dashed line (-) indicates interpolated value.

| Year | Herring | Salmon | Flounder Trout Perch Smelt |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | Bream | Garfish |
| :---: |
| 1990 |
| 0 | Finnish data in subdivision 32 to estimate seal-damaged discards for Estonia's landings for the same taxa in subdivision 32 because of their proximity. The seal-damaged discard rates provided by FGFRI did not account for fish removed from fixed-gear by seals prior to gear retrieval so an adjustment factor of 7.4 was applied to account for these additional discards. This adjustment factor was estimated from a Swedish study by Königson (2005). To get a complete time series from 1980-2007, linear interpolations were done from the assumption-based rate for seal-damaged discards of $0 \%$ in 1980 to the first available anchor point in 2000 (Table 4, Appendix Table A1-A4).

## Recreational catches

Recreational catches for Estonia were considered from 1990 onward, since prior to the 1990 during the USSR period, we assumed that no recreational fishing took place in Estonia (see 'Methods' in Zeller et al.,
this volume). Catches for 1991-2007 were estimated from two separate studies done in 2004 and 2007, which had some overlap in species. Thus, anchor points were established for these two years for the taxa reported. Linear interpolations were done between anchor points, but for those taxa that were only reported in 2004, this reported value was used to 2007 (Table 6).

## Results

ICES landing statistics for Estonia have only been included as a separate entity since 1991, since prior to this they were reported as a part of the USSR. In 1991, ICES landings statistics reports that Estonia landed $45,636 \mathrm{t}$, which increased to a peak of $95,293 \mathrm{t}$ in 1997 (Figure 2). In 2003, landings had decreased to $59,385 \mathrm{t}$, but increased to $80,245 \mathrm{t}$ in 2007. From 1991-2007, ICES landing statistics report a total of 1,165,996 t landed by Estonian fishers (Table 7).

The majority of the ICES landing statistics are due to herring, with landings of $597,879 \mathrm{t}$ from 1991-2007, accounting for $51 \%$. Herring landings were $27,034 \mathrm{t}$ in 1991, and increased to peak at $52,436 \mathrm{t}$ in 1997. Herring landings then decreased, and ended the time period with $26,108 \mathrm{t}$ reported for 2007. Sprat landings account for approximately $45 \%$ of ICES landing statistics, with a total of $519,456 \mathrm{t}$ reported from 1991-2007. Sprat landings were $14,124 \mathrm{t}$ in 1991, averaged $6,327 \mathrm{t} \cdot \mathrm{ye} \mathrm{ar}^{-1}$ for the next three years, and then increased to an average $37,412 \mathrm{t} \cdot$ year $^{-1}$ (with a peak of $55,285 \mathrm{t}$ in 2005). The 'others' category contributed the third greatest amount, with a total of $27,519 \mathrm{t}$ from 1991-2007, representing approximately $2.4 \%$ of all landings. Landings of the group 'others' were $2,361 \mathrm{t}$ in 1991, averaged $1,619 \mathrm{t} \cdot \mathrm{year}^{-1}$, and ended the time period at $1,843 \mathrm{t}$ in 2007. Cod landings account for approximately $1.3 \%$ of ICES landing statistics, with a total of $15,273 \mathrm{t}$ from 1991-2007. Cod landings fluctuated greatly, ranging from $1,805 \mathrm{t}$ to 36 t . Cod landings were over 1,000 t from 1991-1992, 1995-1999, and in 2004, with an average of $1,275 t \cdot$ year $^{-1}$. For the other years in the time series, cod landings averaged $564 t \cdot y e a r^{-1}$. Flatfish landings contributed $5,599 \mathrm{t}$ to ICES landing statistics, or $0.5 \%$, and salmon landings contributed a total of 270 t .

## Illegal, Unreported and Unregulated (IUU) catches

IUU is used in this report to quantify any catches made by a country that are not included in the ICES landing statistics. Adjustments to ICES landing statistics, unreported (or 'unallocated') landings, discards, and recreational catches account for our IUU adjustments (see methods for details and sources).

Adjustments to landings
Over 2.6 million $t$ of adjustments were made to ICES landing statistics for Estonia from 1950-2007 (Figure 2, Table 8). The majority of these adjustments are due to the fact that prior to 1991, Estonian landings were recorded as part


Year
Figure 2. ICES landing statistics and adjustments to ICES landing statistics for Estonia from 1950-2007. of the USSR, and ICES landing statistics have not been adjusted retroactively to account for this. Landings from 1950-1990 were adjusted from zero using national data and ICES Working Group reports (see methods for all sources), as ICES landing statistics were not reported separately for Estonia for this period.

From 1950-1990, before ICES landing statistics were recorded independently for Estonia, herring's adjustments to landings accounted for $55 \%$ of the total adjustments, over 1.4 million $t$. Sprat's adjustments
to landings accounted for $31 \%$ of the total adjustments for this period, with a total of approximately 794,000 t. The group 'others' accounted for $7 \%$ of the total adjustments, with a total of 187,000 t from 1950-1990. Cod accounted for 6\% the total adjustments, with a total of approximately $146,000 \mathrm{t}$ for the time period. Flatfishes accounted for $1.8 \%$ of total adjustments, with a total of over

Table 8. Total adjustments to ICES landing statistics (tonnes) for Estonia by decade for each of the taxonomic entities considered.

| Common | $\mathbf{1 9 5 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 6 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 7 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 8 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0 -}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| name | $\mathbf{1 9 5 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 6 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 7 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 8 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ |
| Cod | 5,828 | 1,110 | 10,183 | 127,748 | 577 | 480 |
| Herring | 292,856 | 305,754 | 387,733 | 387,151 | 36,004 | 0 |
| Sprat | 39,330 | 199,200 | 420,043 | 116,648 | 18,442 | 0 |
| Salmon | 180 | 100 | 75 | 700 | 121 | 2 |
| Flatfishes | 12,282 | 12,597 | 10,229 | 12,164 | 470 | 1 |
| 'Others' $^{2}$ | 24,840 | 68,680 | 60,052 | 31,876 | 1,710 | 6 | $47,000 \mathrm{t}$ for the time period. Salmon contributed a negligible amount to the total adjustments, and landings were estimated to be 1,178 t from 1950-1990.

From 1991-2007, adjustments decreased significantly as ICES landing statistics were recorded separately for Lithuania. The net adjustments for this period were only $1,045 \mathrm{t}$ with cod contributing $87 \%$ of this amount.

## Unreported landings

Unreported ('unallocated') landings were assumed to have begun in Estonia following separation from the USSR. In 1991, the estimated unreported landing was $3,523 \mathrm{t}$. This rose steadily to a peak of $22,243 \mathrm{t}$ in 1997 before falling to $8,503 \mathrm{t}$ in 2003 and ending the time series at $8,503 \mathrm{t}$. The total unreported landing was estimated to be $207,850 \mathrm{t}$, or $14 \%$ of our total reconstruction for the 1991-2007 period. Unreported landings of sprat accounted for $49 \%$ of the overall unreported landings, with a total of 82,951 t from 1991-2007 (adding an additional $16 \%$ to reported sprat landings). Unreported sprat landings


Figure 3. Unreported landings by taxa for Estonia, 1950-2007 were estimated to have been 989 t in 1991, rising to $8,931 \mathrm{t}$ in 1997 , and then decreased to end the time period at $5,713 \mathrm{t}$. Herring catches contributed $52 \%$ to overall unreported landings, with an estimated total of 108,465 t from 1991-2007 (adding an additional $18 \%$ to reported herring landings). Unreported herring landings were an estimated $1,892 \mathrm{t}$ in 1991, rose to a peak of $11,798 \mathrm{t}$ in 1997, and then declined to $2,924 t$ in 2007. Unreported cod landings contributed an estimated $5 \%$ to total unreported landings, or a total of $10,884 \mathrm{t}$ from 1991-2007. As a percentage of reported catches, unreported cod landings added the largest amount to reported landings - an estimated $71 \%$. This is possibly due to the high value of cod and thus the strong incentive to not report or to misreport catches. Unreported cod landings were estimated to be 453 t in 1991, increased to $1,065 \mathrm{t}$ in 1997, and decreased to 710 t in 2007. The unreported landings of the group 'others' totaled an estimated $4,570 \mathrm{t}$ for the time period (adding an additional $17 \%$ to this taxa's landings), or an average 269 t

Table 9. Total unreported landings (tonnes) for Estonia by decade for each of the taxonomic entities considered.

| Common | $\mathbf{1 9 5 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0 -}$ |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| name | $\mathbf{1 9 8 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ |
| Cod | $0^{a}$ | 6,489 | 4,394 |
| Herring | $0^{a}$ | 73,321 | 35,144 |
| Sprat | $0^{a}$ | 36,715 | 46,237 |
| Salmon | $0^{a}$ | 28 | 18 |
| Flatfishes | $0^{a}$ | 465 | 468 |
| 'Others' | $0^{a}$ | 2,906 | 1,664 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ assumption based estimate (see methods). annually. Unreported flatfish landings totaled an estimated 933 t over the time period (adding $17 \%$ to this taxa's landings), or an average 59 t annually. Unreported salmon landings are an estimated 46 t for the time period (adding $17 \%$ to this taxa's landings).

## Discards

Discards were considered to be comprised of four components (ghostfishing, underwater discards, boatbased discards and seal-damaged discards; see Methods section for details). Discards totaled an estimated
$243,635 \mathrm{t}$ from 1950-2007. At the beginning of the time series, discards were estimated to be $1,095 \mathrm{t}$. This was followed by a period of increase, and annual discards averaged 4,238 t from 1968-1980. From 19811995, average discards decreased to 3,496 $\mathrm{t} \cdot$ year ${ }^{-1}$, but then increased and peaked at $9,779 \mathrm{t}$ in 1997. Discards continued to fluctuate, and were estimated to be $8,605 \mathrm{t}$ in 2007.

Herring was estimated to have the highest amount of discards, totaling $136,333 \mathrm{t}$ from 1950-2007, and accounting for approximately $6 \%$ of our reconstructed herring catch. From 1950-1994, herring discards averaged $2,351 \mathrm{t} \cdot \mathrm{year}^{-1}$, and this increased in the latter part of the time period to an average of approximately $4,100 \mathrm{t} \cdot \mathrm{year}^{-1}$


Figure 4. Discards by taxa for Estonia, 1950-2007. from 1995-2007. Sprat was the next largest contributor to total discards, with a total of $87,526 \mathrm{t}$ over the entire time period, accounting for approximately $6 \%$ of our reconstructed sprat catch. There were two periods of lower sprat discards, with an average of $370 \mathrm{t} \cdot$ year $^{-1}$ from 1950-1964, and an average of $674 \mathrm{t} \cdot \mathrm{year}^{-1}$ from 1980-1995. Time periods of higher sprat discards were from 1965-1979, when the average was $1,825 \mathrm{t}$ annually, and 1996-2007, when the average was 3,652 t•year ${ }^{-1}$. Sprat discards peaked in 2005 at $4,918 \mathrm{t}$. The group 'others' contributed a total of $9,597 \mathrm{t}$ over the time period (accounting for $4.2 \%$ of our reconstructed total), and peaked at 357 t in 1968. Cod discards, approximately $3 \%$ of total discards, totaled $6,701 \mathrm{t}$ over the time period. From 1979-1987, cod discards were significantly higher than the rest of the time period, with average annual discards of 535 t (with a peak of 818 t in 1983). Prior to this, cod discards averaged 14 t annually, and from 1988-2007 averaged 74 t annually. Flatfish discards had the second lowest tonnage, and accounted for $5 \%$ of our reconstructed flatfish catch. Flatfish discards totaled 2,949 t from 1950-2007, and from 1950-1995 averaged 41 t annually. This increased to an average of 87 t -year ${ }^{-1}$ for the rest of the time period (with a peak of 112 t in 2002). Salmon discards were estimated to be the smallest contributor to discards, yet were the highest percentage of discards relative to total reconstructed catch at an estimated

Table 10. Total discard catch (tonnes) for Estonia by decade for each of the taxonomic entities considered.

| Common | $\mathbf{1 9 5 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 6 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 7 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 8 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0 -}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| name | $\mathbf{1 9 5 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 6 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 7 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 8 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ |
| Cod | 213 | 41 | 372 | 4,663 | 866 | 548 |
| Herring | 14,643 | 15,288 | 19,387 | 20,601 | 36,287 | 30,129 |
| Sprat | 1,967 | 9,960 | 21,002 | 5,832 | 17,663 | 31,102 |
| Salmon | 7 | 4 | 3 | 78 | 104 | 156 |
| Flatfishes | 448 | 460 | 373 | 444 | 503 | 721 |
| 'Others' | 907 | 2,507 | 2,192 | 1,172 | 1,551 | 1,446 |



Figure 5. Estonia's recreational catches by major taxa, 19502007 $18 \%$. Salmon discards totaled an estimated 351 t over the entire time period. From 1950-1982, salmon discards averaged $0.6 \mathrm{t} \cdot$ year $^{-1}$, and this increased to 13 t annually from 1983-2007 (with a peak of 34 t in 2002).

## Recreational

Recreational fishing is not accounted for in ICES landing statistics, and in our reconstruction we assumed that no recreational fishing occurred in Estonia prior to 1991. Our recreational catches include salmon, herring, and the groups 'others' and flatfishes (Table 11; Figure 5). There was an estimated $3,421 \mathrm{t}$ of fish caught by the recreational sector of Estonian fisheries from 1991-2007. The 'others' category was the largest, $1,896 \mathrm{t}$ from 1991 to 2007, accounting for $55 \%$ of the total recreational catch. The catches increased from o t in 1990 to approximately 183 t in 2007. Recreational catches of flatfish totaled $1,445 \mathrm{t}$ from 1991 to 2007, accounting for $42 \%$ of the total recreational catch. Flatfish catches increased from 1991 to 2004, when they peaked at 160 t , and then decreased to 43 t in 2007. Salmon was estimated to have contributed approximately $1.5 \%$ to total recreational catches, with a total of 54 t from 1992 to 2007, and an average yearly recreational catch of 3 t . Recreational herring catches totaled 26 t from 1991-2007.

## Total reconstructed catches

The total reconstructed catch for Estonia from 1950-2007 was estimated to be over 4.2 million t (Figure 6; Table 12; see Appendix Tables B1-B7 for complete time series data on all additions to taxonomic catch data, by catch component). The total reconstructed catch for Estonia was estimated to have averaged $41,444 \quad t \cdot$ year $^{-1}$ from 1950-1963. Average annual catches then increased to an estimated 81,877 t•year-1 from 1964-1986, with an estimated peak of $100,171 \mathrm{t}$ in 1973. 19871994 was a period of lower

Table 12. Total catch (tonnes) for Estonia by decade by each component of catch reconstruction.

| Component | $\mathbf{1 9 5 0 -}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 6 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 7 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 8 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0 -}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| ICES landing statistics | n/a | $\mathbf{1 9 6 9}$ | n/a | 1979 | n/a | $\mathbf{1 9 8 9}$ |
| n/a | 1999 | 556,279 | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ |  |  |  |
| Adjustments to ICES | 375,316 | 587,441 | 888,315 | 676,287 | 57,323 | 489 |
| Unreported | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 111,924 | 87,926 |
| Discards | 18,184 | 28,258 | 43,328 | 32,790 | 56,974 | 64,101 |
| Recreational | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,119 | 2,302 |
| Total reconstructed | 393,500 | 615,699 | 931,643 | 709,077 | 791,619 | 764,533 | catches, with an average estimate of $57,672 \mathrm{t} \cdot \mathrm{year}{ }^{-1}$, with a low of $45,538 \mathrm{t}$ in 1992. Reconstructed catches increased at the end of the time period, and averaged an estimated 98,562 t from 1995-2007, with an overall estimated peak of $127,739 \mathrm{t}$ in 1997. In contrast to the 1,165,996 t from 1991-2007 reported by ICES landing statistics, our total reconstructed catch increased $21 \%$ to $1,474,693$ t for the same period.

Approximately $62 \%$ of our reconstructed time series is due to adjustments to ICES landing statistics, the majority of which is due to disaggregating Estonia's catches from the former USSR from 1950-1990 (Table 12). Discards accounted for $5.8 \%$ of our total reconstructed time series. Unreported and recreational landings accounted for $4.9 \%$ and o.1\%, respectively, of our reconstructed catch from 1991-2007.


Year
Figure 7. ICES landings statistics vs. total reconstructed catch for Estonia from 1950-2007.

Herring contributed the largest amount to the reconstructed catch, $54 \%$, with an estimated total of 2,252,202 t from 1950-2007 (Table 13). Herring catches peaked three times during this time period, with catches of $47,651 \mathrm{t}$ in 1956, $50,087 \mathrm{t}$ in 1978, and $69,734 \mathrm{t}$ in 1997. Herring catches averaged $29,901 \mathrm{t}$ $t \cdot y e a r^{-1}$ from 1950-1960, 38,100 t•year ${ }^{-1}$ from 1961-1992, and 46,940 $t \cdot y$ yar ${ }^{-1}$ from 1993-2007. Herring catches ended the time series at $32,727 \mathrm{t}$.

Sprat accounted for the next largest amount of reconstructed catches, with an estimated total of $1,488,597 \mathrm{t}$ from $1950-$ 2007 accounting for $35 \%$ of total reconstructed catch (Table 13). Sprat had two periods of high catches, and two periods of lower catches. Catches were lower at the beginning of the time series, beginning at $2,310 \mathrm{t}$ in 1950, and averaged $4,130 \mathrm{t} \cdot \mathrm{year}{ }^{-1}$ from 1950-1961. In 1962 sprat catches began to increase, and in 1974 they peaked at $57,990 \mathrm{t}$ (averaging $35,673 \mathrm{t}$ from 1962-1978). From 1979-1995 catches were lower again, averaging $13,090 \mathrm{t} \cdot \mathrm{year}^{-1}$ (with a peak of $19,578 \mathrm{t}$ in 1989). The other period of higher catches was 1996-2007, when catches averaged 49,298 $t \cdot \mathrm{year}^{-1}$ and peaked at $66,395 \mathrm{t}$ in 2005. For the last year in the time series, sprat catches were $61,257 \mathrm{t}$.

Catches of the group 'others' were $5.5 \%$ of total reconstructed catches, and contributed an estimated $230,923 \mathrm{t}$ from 1950-2007 (Table 13). From an estimated 1,524 t in 1950, catches of the group 'others' increased to peak at $10,137 \mathrm{t}$ in 1968. Catches then decreased and leveled off to an average $2,407 \mathrm{t} \cdot \mathrm{year}^{-1}$ from 1983-2007, ending the time period with 2,443 t in 2007.

Cod catches were $4.3 \%$ of total reconstructed catches, and contributed an estimated $178,783 \mathrm{t}$ from 19502007 (Table 13). From 1950-1978, cod catches averaged $396 \mathrm{t} \cdot \mathrm{year}{ }^{-1}$ (with a range from 10 t to $1,927 \mathrm{t}$ ). Estimated catches were greatest from 1979-1987, averaging $15,182 \mathrm{t} \cdot$ year-1 with a peak of $23,217 \mathrm{t}$ in 1983 . For the remainder of the time period cod catches averaged $1.532 \mathrm{t} \cdot \mathrm{year}{ }^{-1}$ (with a range from 68 t in 2002 to 2,618 t in 1997).

Flatfish catches accounted for $1.4 \%$ of total reconstructed catches, and contributed an estimated $58,668 \mathrm{t}$ from 1950-2007 (Tabel 13). Flatfish catches averaged $1,012 \mathrm{t} \cdot \mathrm{year}^{-1}$, with a peak of $2,831 \mathrm{t}$ in 1964 . Salmon catches contributed the least to total reconstructed catch in terms of weight, an estimated $0.05 \%$, or 1,899 t , from 1950-2007. Salmon catches averaged $33 \mathrm{t} \cdot \mathrm{year}^{-1}$, with a peak of 120 t in 1989 .

## DISCUSSION

Our cumulative catch reconstruction of Estonia's fisheries in the Baltic Sea for the period 1950-2007 was estimated to be approximately 4.2 million t . We compared this total to the officially reported landings, presented here as ICES landings statistics. However, Estonia's landings are only represented in the ICES landings database from 1991 onward. Prior to 1991, Estonia's landings were reported as landings for the 'former' USSR, which also included landings for Latvia, Lithuania, and Russia. Thus to make a meaningful comparison we looked at the total reconstructed catch compared to ICES landings statistics for the period 1991-2007. ICES landings statistics reported a total of approximately 1.2 million $t$ for Estonia from 19912007 while our total reconstructed catch for the same period was estimated to be approximately 1.5 million t. Our total reconstructed catch over this time period was $28 \%$ higher than total landings presented by ICES on behalf of Estonia.

The larger discrepancy, if the comparison is made over the entire study period, is mainly due to the inclusion of commercial landings data provided by LATFRA in our total reconstructed catch. These commercial landings were considered here as 'adjustments' to ICES landings statistics as they were not presented for Estonia as a separate entity even though they may have been included in the ICES landings statistics for the USSR. The commercial landings data provided by LATFRA is potentially the first time such a comprehensive data set has been presented for Estonia's commercial landings covering the period 1950-1989.

Unreported landings are a serious concern for the sustainability of fisheries both on a global scale (Bray, 2000; Crona and Ôsterblom, 2009) and regionally in the Baltic Sea (Menn, 2006; Anon., 2007b; ICES, 2008a). For Estonia, we considered unreported landings to be a concern only since the dissolution of the USSR, as the strict reporting procedures during the Soviet era would have prevented such activities. Our estimate of unreported landings for the period 1991-2007 was approximately $13 \%$ of the total reconstructed catch, attributed mainly to herring and sprat. Of all taxa considered in our reconstruction, herring and sprat represented over $90 \%$ of unreported landings.

Discards, also a major concern in global fisheries misreporting (Alverson et al., 1994; Kelleher, 2005) were considered for Estonia over the entire study period and represented $5 \%$ of the total reconstructed catch. Estimates of discarded catches of some taxa (cod, herring, sprat, European flounder and several additional taxa included in our grouping 'others') were mostly obtained from the Estonian government but were only available for 2005 . The majority of discards were of herring and sprat, which together represented almost half of the discarded catches of all taxa considered.

As recreational fishing in Estonia was illegal during the USSR period, we only considered recreational catches from 1991 onward. We obtained recreational catch data from the Estonian government, but only for selected years in the most recent decade. Recreational catches represented only a minor component of the reconstructed catch, but should be considered significant as these are not included in the ICES landings statistics. Quantifying these catches is important in an ecosystem-based management context as the species targeted recreationally are often different from those caught commercially. For instance perch, pikeperch and pike are important in Estonia's recreational fisheries, particularly since the increase in export opportunities that occurred in the early to mid-1990s (Vetemaa et al., 2006). The high value of these fish in the export market encouraged recreational fishers to sell their catches as opposed to retaining them for personal consumption (Vetemaa et al., 2006).

In the post-Soviet period, state-owned fisheries were converted to private enterprise. Interviews with fishers revealed that catches were often misreported in order to lower taxes (Vetemaa et al., 2006). Official catches were thought to have been severely underestimated during the early to mid-1990s due to a lack of enforcement and control during the transition from a state-controlled to a market economy (Vetemaa et al., 2006). Although the propensity for misreporting in Estonian fisheries has been recognized, the information necessary for quantifying these catches was limited. Unreported cod landings were estimated based on a range of values obtained from LATFRA, for which we conservatively applied the average. For all remaining taxa, we estimated unreported landings using Baltic-wide estimates presented by ICES in their stock assessment working group reports. The estimates used were based on the amount of reported discards and unreported landings as a proportion of total Baltic-wide landings. While our estimation took into account countries that are known not to report their unreported landings (e.g., Sweden), we were not able to account for all non-reporting countries as ICES did not disclose this information. ICES lacks transparency in this respect and our estimates would have been greater if we had been able to adjust the amount of landings to reflect only those countries that report their unreported landings (i.e., unreported landings totals would remain the same, but the amount of total landings would decrease leading to a larger percentage). However, we accepted the non-specific nature of these estimates, since the alternative assumption was that no data meant zero catches (Zeller and Pauly, 2007). Thus by using conservative estimates of IUU, we estimate total catches that would be closer to the truth than presenting landings data only.

A key concern with fisheries management in Estonia is the lack of data. Although the Estonian government did provide some important fisheries data, the majority of our estimates for this study relied on Balticwide approximations, which are most likely underestimates due to the conservative nature of our methods. To get a more accurate account of catch components, such as discards, increased monitoring is necessary. Observer coverage or Vessel Monitoring Systems (VMS) should be mandatory for all commercial vessels in Estonia. This would not only act to assess the magnitude of discards but would increase enforcement which might significantly reduce discarding and result in lower amounts of unreported landings.
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| Appendix (Salmo tru (\%) used subdivision rates, deri greater (198 indicate an | Table A1. Bo <br> a) discard ra all subdivis 2 when seal-d from FGF 1-2007). Val terpolated rat | at-based trout es for Estonia ons except in maged discard RI data were aes in italics e. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Boat-based | Sealdamaged |
| 1950-1980 | 2.0 | 0.0 |
| 1981 | 2.0 | 4.6 |
| 1982 | 2.0 | 9.2 |
| 1983 | 2.0 | 13.7 |
| 1984 | 2.0 | 18.3 |
| 1985 | 2.0 | 22.9 |
| 1986 | 2.0 | 27.5 |
| 1987 | 2.0 | 32.1 |
| 1988 | 2.0 | 36.7 |
| 1989 | 2.0 | 41.2 |
| 1990 | 2.0 | 45.8 |
| 1991 | 9.9 | 50.4 |
| 1992 | 17.8 | 55.0 |
| 1993 | 25.7 | 59.6 |
| 1994 | 25.7 | 64.1 |
| 1995 | 25.7 | 68.7 |
| 1996 | 25.7 | 73.3 |
| 1997 | 25.7 | 77.9 |
| 1998 | 25.7 | 82.5 |
| 1999 | 25.7 | 87.1 |
| 2000 | 25.7 | 91.6 |
| 2001 | 25.7 | 96.2 |
| 2002 | 25.7 | 85.0 |
| 2003 | 25.7 | 151.8 |
| 2004 | 25.7 | 132.9 |
| 2005 | 25.7 | 58.6 |
| 2006 | 25.7 | 78.2 |
| 2007 | 25.7 | 184.2 |

Appendix Table A1. Boat-based trout (Salmo trutta) discard rates for Estonia (\%) used in all subdivisions except in subdivision 32 when seal-damaged discard rates, derived from FGFRI data were greater (1981-2007). Values in italics

| Appendix Table A2. Boat-based whitefish (Coregonus lavaretus) discard |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
| ates for Estonia (\%) used in all |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| when seal-damaged discard rates derived |  |  |
| from FGFRI data were greater (19812007). Values in italics indicate an interpolated rate. |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| Year | Boat-based | Seal- |
|  |  |  |
| 1950-1980 | 2.00 | 0.0 |
| 1981 | 2.00 | 1.4 |
| 1982 | 2.00 | 2.9 |
| 1983 | 2.00 | 4.3 |
| 1984 | 2.00 | 5.8 |
| 1985 | 2.00 | 7.2 |
| 1986 | 2.00 | 8.7 |
| 1987 | 2.00 | 10.1 |
| 1988 | 2.00 | 11.6 |
| 1989 | 2.00 | 13.0 |
| 1990 | 2.00 | 14.5 |
| 1991 | 3.41 | 15.9 |
| 1992 | 4.83 | 17.4 |
| 1993 | 6.24 | 18.8 |
| 1994 | 6.24 | 20.3 |
| 1995 | 6.24 | 21.7 |
| 1996 | 6.24 | 23.2 |
| 1997 | 6.24 | 24.6 |
| 1998 | 6.24 | 26.1 |
| 1999 | 6.24 | 27.5 |
| 2000 | 6.24 | 29.0 |
| 2001 | 6.24 | 30.4 |
| 2002 | 6.24 | 54.5 |
| 2003 | 6.24 | 104.9 |
| 2004 | 6.24 | 120.3 |
| 2005 | 6.24 | 33.4 |
| 2006 | 6.24 | 19.5 |
| 2007 | 6.24 | 91.1 |

Appendix Table A3. Boat-based perch (Perca flaviatilis) discard rates for Estonia (\%) used in all subdivisions except in subdivision 32 when seal-damaged discard rates derived from FGFRI data were greater (1981-2007). Values in italics indicate an interpolated rate.

| Year | Boat-based | Seal- <br> damaged |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $1950-1980$ | 2.00 | 0.00 |
| 1981 | 2.00 | 0.00 |
| 1982 | 2.00 | 0.00 |
| 1983 | 2.00 | 0.00 |
| 1984 | 2.00 | 0.00 |
| 1985 | 2.00 | 0.00 |
| 1986 | 2.00 | 0.00 |
| 1987 | 2.00 | 0.00 |
| 1988 | 2.00 | 0.00 |
| 1989 | 2.00 | 0.00 |
| 1990 | 2.00 | 0.00 |
| 1991 | 3.83 | 0.00 |
| 1992 | 5.67 | 0.00 |
| 1993 | 7.67 | 0.00 |
| 1994 | 7.67 | 0.00 |
| 1995 | 7.67 | 0.00 |
| 1996 | 7.67 | 0.00 |
| 1997 | 7.67 | 0.00 |
| 1998 | 7.67 | 0.00 |
| 1999 | 7.67 | 0.00 |
| 2000 | 7.67 | 0.00 |
| 2001 | 7.67 | 0.00 |
| 2002 | 7.67 | 0.00 |
| 2003 | 7.67 | 0.21 |
| 2004 | 7.67 | 0.20 |
| 2005 | 7.67 | 28.45 |
| 2006 | 7.67 | 75.79 |
| 2007 | 7.67 | 7.29 |
|  |  |  |

Appendix Table A4. Boat-based pikeperch (Sander lucioperca) discard rates for Estonia (\%) used in all subdivisions except in subdivision 32 when seal-damaged discard rates derived from FGFRI data were greater (19812007). Values in italics indicate an interpolated rate.

| Year | Boat-based | Seal- <br> damaged |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $1950-1980$ | 2.0 | 0.00 |
| 1981 | 2.0 | 0.04 |
| 1982 | 2.0 | 0.09 |
| 1983 | 2.0 | 0.13 |
| 1984 | 2.0 | 0.18 |
| 1985 | 2.0 | 0.22 |
| 1986 | 2.0 | 0.27 |
| 1987 | 2.0 | 0.31 |
| 1988 | 2.0 | 0.36 |
| 1989 | 2.0 | 0.40 |
| 1990 | 2.0 | 0.45 |
| 1991 | 3.41 | 0.49 |
| 1992 | 7.67 | 0.54 |
| 1993 | 7.51 | 0.58 |
| 1994 | 7.51 | 0.63 |
| 1995 | 7.51 | 0.67 |
| 1996 | 7.51 | 0.72 |
| 1997 | 7.51 | 0.76 |
| 1998 | 7.51 | 0.81 |
| 1999 | 7.51 | 0.85 |
| 2000 | 7.51 | 0.94 |
| 2001 | 7.51 | 0.51 |
| 2002 | 7.51 | 6.22 |
| 2003 | 7.51 | 4.71 |
| 2004 | 7.51 | 17.37 |
| 2005 | 7.51 | 44.10 |
| 2006 | 7.51 | 51.94 |
| 2007 |  |  |

APPENDIX B
Appendix Table B1. ICES landing statistics, adjustments to ICES landing statistics, unreported landings, discards, recreational catch, and reconstructed total for Estonia ( $t$ ). N/A: part of ICES category 'former USSR'.

| Year | ICES landing statistics | Adjustments | Unreported | Dis-cards | Recreational | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1950 | N/A | 22,928 | 0 | 1,095 | 0 | 24,023 |
| 1951 | N/A | 27,594 | 0 | 1,326 | 0 | 28,920 |
| 1952 | N/A | 30,668 | 0 | 1,477 | 0 | 32,145 |
| 1953 | N/A | 30,881 | 0 | 1,496 | 0 | 32,377 |
| 1954 | N/A | 38,163 | 0 | 1,853 | 0 | 40,016 |
| 1955 | N/A | 48,288 | 0 | 2,355 | 0 | 50,643 |
| 1956 | N/A | 52,282 | 0 | 2,559 | 0 | 54,841 |
| 1957 | N/A | 41,880 | 0 | 2,032 | 0 | 43,912 |
| 1958 | N/A | 37,371 | 0 | 1,810 | 0 | 39,181 |
| 1959 | N/A | 45,261 | 0 | 2,180 | 0 | 47,441 |
| 1960 | N/A | 37,846 | 0 | 1,798 | 0 | 39,644 |
| 1961 | N/A | 40,628 | 0 | 1,946 | 0 | 42,574 |
| 1962 | N/A | 43,112 | 0 | 2,067 | 0 | 45,179 |
| 1963 | N/A | 56,570 | 0 | 2,743 | 0 | 59,313 |
| 1964 | N/A | 60,013 | 0 | 2,896 | 0 | 62,909 |
| 1965 | N/A | 59,631 | 0 | 2,864 | 0 | 62,495 |
| 1966 | N/A | 63,600 | 0 | 3,072 | 0 | 66,672 |
| 1967 | N/A | 63,530 | 0 | 3,029 | 0 | 66,559 |
| 1968 | N/A | 78,848 | 0 | 3,795 | 0 | 82,643 |
| 1969 | N/A | 83,663 | 0 | 4,048 | 0 | 87,711 |
| 1970 | N/A | 79,503 | 0 | 3,837 | 0 | 83,340 |
| 1971 | N/A | 85,857 | 0 | 4,204 | 0 | 90,061 |
| 1972 | N/A | 85,876 | 0 | 4,205 | 0 | 90,081 |
| 1973 | N/A | 95,496 | 0 | 4,675 | 0 | 100,171 |
| 1974 | N/A | 94,329 | 0 | 4,633 | 0 | 98,962 |
| 1975 | N/A | 85,781 | 0 | 4,189 | 0 | 89,970 |
| 1976 | N/A | 94,534 | 0 | 4,626 | 0 | 99,160 |
| 1977 | N/A | 94,903 | 0 | 4,649 | 0 | 99,552 |
| 1978 | N/A | 90,067 | 0 | 4,388 | 0 | 94,455 |
| 1979 | N/A | 81,969 | 0 | 3,923 | 0 | 85,892 |
| 1980 | N/A | 85,098 | 0 | 3,925 | 0 | 89,023 |
| 1981 | N/A | 73,392 | 0 | 3,383 | 0 | 76,775 |
| 1982 | N/A | 65,507 | 0 | 2,985 | 0 | 68,492 |
| 1983 | N/A | 72,567 | 0 | 3,345 | 0 | 75,912 |
| 1984 | N/A | 69,458 | 0 | 3,229 | 0 | 72,687 |
| 1985 | N/A | 69,464 | 0 | 3,319 | 0 | 72,783 |
| 1986 | N/A | 63,641 | 0 | 3,235 | 0 | 66,876 |
| 1987 | N/A | 57,977 | 0 | 2,990 | 0 | 60,967 |
| 1988 | N/A | 59,205 | 0 | 3,144 | 0 | 62,349 |
| 1989 | N/A | 59,978 | 0 | 3,235 | 0 | 63,213 |
| 1990 | N/A | 56,768 | 0 | 3,124 | 0 | 59,892 |
| 1991 | 45,636 | 43 | 3,523 | 2,898 | 25 | 52,125 |
| 1992 | 36,937 | 25 | 5,667 | 2,860 | 50 | 45,538 |
| 1993 | 41,161 | -1 | 8,394 | 3,812 | 75 | 53,441 |
| 1994 | 46,076 | 45 | 12,864 | 4,770 | 99 | 63,855 |
| 1995 | 59,173 | 64 | 15,566 | 6,114 | 124 | 81,040 |
| 1996 | 71,374 | -4 | 17,836 | 7,371 | 149 | 96,727 |
| 1997 | 95,293 | 250 | 22,243 | 9,779 | 174 | 127,739 |
| 1998 | 77,627 | 132 | 16,975 | 7,903 | 199 | 102,836 |
| 1999 | 83,002 | 2 | 16,857 | 8,341 | 224 | 108,426 |
| 2000 | 85,176 | 97 | 15,781 | 8,451 | 249 | 109,754 |
| 2001 | 84,971 | 50 | 14,668 | 8,515 | 274 | 108,478 |
| 2002 | 79,036 | 3 | 12,037 | 8,086 | 298 | 99,460 |
| 2003 | 59,385 | 31 | 8,503 | 6,350 | 323 | 74,592 |
| 2004 | 68,102 | -86 | 9,114 | 7,663 | 348 | 85,141 |
| 2005 | 79,762 | 384 | 9,597 | 8,557 | 309 | 98,609 |
| 2006 | 73,040 | 10 | 8,633 | 7,874 | 270 | 89,827 |
| 2007 | 80,245 | 0 | 9,592 | 8,605 | 231 | 98,673 |

Appendix Table B2. ICES landing statistics, adjustments to ICES landing statistics, unreported landings, discards, recreational catch, and reconstructed total for cod (Gadus morhua) for Estonia (t). N/A: part of ICES category 'former USSR'.

| Year | ICES landing statistics | Adjustments | Unreported | Discards | Recreational | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1950 | N/A | 1,270 | 0 | 46 | 0 | 1,316 |
| 1951 | N/A | 960 | 0 | 35 | 0 | 995 |
| 1952 | N/A | 1,032 | 0 | 38 | 0 | 1,070 |
| 1953 | N/A | 361 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 374 |
| 1954 | N/A | 540 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 560 |
| 1955 | N/A | 542 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 562 |
| 1956 | N/A | 330 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 342 |
| 1957 | N/A | 213 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 221 |
| 1958 | N/A | 400 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 415 |
| 1959 | N/A | 180 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 187 |
| 1960 | N/A | 110 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 114 |
| 1961 | N/A | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 |
| 1962 | N/A | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 |
| 1963 | N/A | 200 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 207 |
| 1964 | N/A | 190 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 197 |
| 1965 | N/A | 100 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 104 |
| 1966 | N/A | 110 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 114 |
| 1967 | N/A | 140 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 145 |
| 1968 | N/A | 140 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 145 |
| 1969 | N/A | 100 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 104 |
| 1970 | N/A | 110 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 114 |
| 1971 | N/A | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 |
| 1972 | N/A | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 |
| 1973 | N/A | 16 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 17 |
| 1974 | N/A | 137 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 142 |
| 1975 | N/A | 296 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 307 |
| 1976 | N/A | 686 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 711 |
| 1977 | N/A | 1,027 | 0 | 37 | 0 | 1,064 |
| 1978 | N/A | 1,859 | 0 | 68 | 0 | 1,927 |
| 1979 | N/A | 6,032 | 0 | 220 | 0 | 6,252 |
| 1980 | N/A | 18,551 | 0 | 677 | 0 | 19,228 |
| 1981 | N/A | 15,813 | 0 | 577 | 0 | 16,390 |
| 1982 | N/A | 18,777 | 0 | 685 | 0 | 19,462 |
| 1983 | N/A | 22,399 | 0 | 818 | 0 | 23,217 |
| 1984 | N/A | 21,324 | 0 | 778 | 0 | 22,102 |
| 1985 | N/A | 17,886 | 0 | 653 | 0 | 18,539 |
| 1986 | N/A | 6,278 | 0 | 229 | 0 | 6,507 |
| 1987 | N/A | 4,769 | 0 | 174 | 0 | 4,943 |
| 1988 | N/A | 1,650 | 0 | 60 | 0 | 1,710 |
| 1989 | N/A | 301 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 312 |
| 1990 | N/A | 155 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 161 |
| 1991 | 1,805 | 5 | 453 | 95 | 0 | 2,358 |
| 1992 | 1,369 | -1 | 684 | 98 | 0 | 2,150 |
| 1993 | 70 | 0 | 53 | 7 | 0 | 129 |
| 1994 | 905 | 47 | 714 | 89 | 0 | 1,755 |
| 1995 | 1,049 | 0 | 787 | 98 | 0 | 1,934 |
| 1996 | 1,392 | -4 | 1,041 | 130 | 0 | 2,559 |
| 1997 | 1,173 | 247 | 1,065 | 133 | 0 | 2,618 |
| 1998 | 1,070 | 126 | 897 | 112 | 0 | 2,205 |
| 1999 | 1,060 | 2 | 797 | 99 | 0 | 1,958 |
| 2000 | 513 | 96 | 457 | 57 | 0 | 1,123 |
| 2001 | 755 | 50 | 604 | 75 | 0 | 1,484 |
| 2002 | 36 | 1 | 28 | 3 | 0 | 68 |
| 2003 | 560 | 31 | 443 | 55 | 0 | 1,089 |
| 2004 | 1,278 | -86 | 894 | 111 | 0 | 2,197 |
| 2005 | 588 | 384 | 729 | 91 | 0 | 1,792 |
| 2006 | 703 | 4 | 530 | 66 | 0 | 1,303 |
| 2007 | 946 | 0 | 710 | 88 | 0 | 1,744 |

Appendix Table B3. ICES landing statistics, adjustments to ICES landing statistics, unreported landings, discards, recreational catch, and reconstructed total for herring (Clupea harengus) for Estonia (t). N/A: part of ICES category 'former USSR'.

| Year | ICES landing statistics | Adjustments | Unreported | Discards | Recreational | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1950 | N/A | 16,887 | 0 | 844 | 0 | 17,731 |
| 1951 | N/A | 21,594 | 0 | 1,080 | 0 | 22,674 |
| 1952 | N/A | 24,766 | 0 | 1,238 | 0 | 26,004 |
| 1953 | N/A | 25,010 | 0 | 1,251 | 0 | 26,261 |
| 1954 | N/A | 32,173 | 0 | 1,609 | 0 | 33,782 |
| 1955 | N/A | 41,464 | 0 | 2,073 | 0 | 43,537 |
| 1956 | N/A | 45,382 | 0 | 2,269 | 0 | 47,651 |
| 1957 | N/A | 32,313 | 0 | 1,616 | 0 | 33,929 |
| 1958 | N/A | 25,146 | 0 | 1,257 | 0 | 26,403 |
| 1959 | N/A | 28,121 | 0 | 1,406 | 0 | 29,527 |
| 1960 | N/A | 20,396 | 0 | 1,020 | 0 | 21,416 |
| 1961 | N/A | 24,090 | 0 | 1,205 | 0 | 25,295 |
| 1962 | N/A | 22,539 | 0 | 1,127 | 0 | 23,666 |
| 1963 | N/A | 31,746 | 0 | 1,587 | 0 | 33,333 |
| 1964 | N/A | 33,640 | 0 | 1,682 | 0 | 35,322 |
| 1965 | N/A | 29,680 | 0 | 1,484 | 0 | 31,164 |
| 1966 | N/A | 30,350 | 0 | 1,518 | 0 | 31,868 |
| 1967 | N/A | 35,610 | 0 | 1,781 | 0 | 37,391 |
| 1968 | N/A | 38,380 | 0 | 1,919 | 0 | 40,299 |
| 1969 | N/A | 39,323 | 0 | 1,966 | 0 | 41,289 |
| 1970 | N/A | 33,583 | 0 | 1,679 | 0 | 35,262 |
| 1971 | N/A | 33,852 | 0 | 1,693 | 0 | 35,545 |
| 1972 | N/A | 32,596 | 0 | 1,630 | 0 | 34,226 |
| 1973 | N/A | 37,159 | 0 | 1,858 | 0 | 39,017 |
| 1974 | N/A | 32,906 | 0 | 1,645 | 0 | 34,551 |
| 1975 | N/A | 32,774 | 0 | 1,639 | 0 | 34,413 |
| 1976 | N/A | 42,652 | 0 | 2,133 | 0 | 44,785 |
| 1977 | N/A | 46,481 | 0 | 2,324 | 0 | 48,805 |
| 1978 | N/A | 47,702 | 0 | 2,385 | 0 | 50,087 |
| 1979 | N/A | 48,028 | 0 | 2,401 | 0 | 50,429 |
| 1980 | N/A | 47,471 | 0 | 2,374 | 0 | 49,845 |
| 1981 | N/A | 43,237 | 0 | 2,162 | 0 | 45,399 |
| 1982 | N/A | 35,660 | 0 | 1,815 | 0 | 37,475 |
| 1983 | N/A | 41,539 | 0 | 2,156 | 0 | 43,695 |
| 1984 | N/A | 34,658 | 0 | 1,830 | 0 | 36,488 |
| 1985 | N/A | 35,863 | 0 | 1,926 | 0 | 37,789 |
| 1986 | N/A | 38,658 | 0 | 2,115 | 0 | 40,773 |
| 1987 | N/A | 35,035 | 0 | 1,948 | 0 | 36,983 |
| 1988 | N/A | 37,900 | 0 | 2,141 | 0 | 40,041 |
| 1989 | N/A | 37,130 | 0 | 2,135 | 0 | 39,265 |
| 1990 | N/A | 36,004 | 0 | 2,103 | 0 | 38,107 |
| 1991 | 27,034 | 0 | 1,892 | 1,715 | 0 | 30,642 |
| 1992 | 29,556 | 0 | 4,138 | 2,251 | 0 | 35,945 |
| 1993 | 32,982 | 0 | 6,695 | 2,968 | 1 | 42,646 |
| 1994 | 34,493 | 0 | 9,279 | 3,559 | 1 | 47,331 |
| 1995 | 43,482 | 0 | 11,044 | 4,504 | 1 | 59,031 |
| 1996 | 45,296 | 0 | 10,871 | 4,729 | 1 | 60,897 |
| 1997 | 52,436 | 0 | 11,798 | 5,498 | 1 | 69,734 |
| 1998 | 42,721 | 0 | 8,971 | 4,430 | 1 | 56,124 |
| 1999 | 44,039 | 0 | 8,632 | 4,530 | 2 | 57,202 |
| 2000 | 41,735 | 0 | 7,554 | 4,244 | 2 | 53,535 |
| 2001 | 41,737 | 0 | 6,970 | 4,369 | 2 | 53,078 |
| 2002 | 36,251 | 0 | 5,510 | 4,009 | 2 | 45,772 |
| 2003 | 27,360 | 0 | 3,748 | 3,304 | 2 | 34,414 |
| 2004 | 27,358 | 0 | 3,365 | 3,896 | 3 | 34,621 |
| 2005 | 22,099 | 0 | 2,475 | 3,293 | 2 | 27,870 |
| 2006 | 23,192 | 0 | 2,598 | 3,322 | 2 | 29,114 |
| 2007 | 26,108 | 0 | 2,924 | 3,693 | 2 | 32,727 |

Appendix Table B4. ICES landing statistics, adjustments to ICES landing statistics, unreported landings, discards, recreational catch, and reconstructed total for sprat (Sprattus sprattus) for Estonia (t). N/A: part of ICES category 'former USSR'.

| Year | ICES landing statistics | Adjustments | Unreported | Discards | Recreational | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1950 | N/A | 2,200 | 0 | 110 | 0 | 2,310 |
| 1951 | N/A | 2,020 | 0 | 101 | 0 | 2,121 |
| 1952 | N/A | 1,760 | 0 | 88 | 0 | 1,848 |
| 1953 | N/A | 2,340 | 0 | 117 | 0 | 2,457 |
| 1954 | N/A | 1,900 | 0 | 95 | 0 | 1,995 |
| 1955 | N/A | 2,410 | 0 | 121 | 0 | 2,531 |
| 1956 | N/A | 2,830 | 0 | 142 | 0 | 2,972 |
| 1957 | N/A | 4,980 | 0 | 249 | 0 | 5,229 |
| 1958 | N/A | 7,870 | 0 | 394 | 0 | 8,264 |
| 1959 | N/A | 11,020 | 0 | 551 | 0 | 11,571 |
| 1960 | N/A | 10,500 | 0 | 525 | 0 | 11,025 |
| 1961 | N/A | 10,220 | 0 | 511 | 0 | 10,731 |
| 1962 | N/A | 14,020 | 0 | 701 | 0 | 14,721 |
| 1963 | N/A | 18,460 | 0 | 923 | 0 | 19,383 |
| 1964 | N/A | 18,600 | 0 | 930 | 0 | 19,530 |
| 1965 | N/A | 21,280 | 0 | 1,064 | 0 | 22,344 |
| 1966 | N/A | 25,250 | 0 | 1,263 | 0 | 26,513 |
| 1967 | N/A | 17,000 | 0 | 850 | 0 | 17,850 |
| 1968 | N/A | 29,520 | 0 | 1,476 | 0 | 30,996 |
| 1969 | N/A | 34,350 | 0 | 1,718 | 0 | 36,068 |
| 1970 | N/A | 35,680 | 0 | 1,784 | 0 | 37,464 |
| 1971 | N/A | 45,410 | 0 | 2,271 | 0 | 47,681 |
| 1972 | N/A | 46,700 | 0 | 2,335 | 0 | 49,035 |
| 1973 | N/A | 50,920 | 0 | 2,546 | 0 | 53,466 |
| 1974 | N/A | 55,229 | 0 | 2,761 | 0 | 57,990 |
| 1975 | N/A | 45,601 | 0 | 2,280 | 0 | 47,881 |
| 1976 | N/A | 44,455 | 0 | 2,223 | 0 | 46,678 |
| 1977 | N/A | 41,265 | 0 | 2,063 | 0 | 43,328 |
| 1978 | N/A | 33,818 | 0 | 1,691 | 0 | 35,509 |
| 1979 | N/A | 20,965 | 0 | 1,048 | 0 | 22,013 |
| 1980 | N/A | 13,213 | 0 | 661 | 0 | 13,874 |
| 1981 | N/A | 8,903 | 0 | 445 | 0 | 9,348 |
| 1982 | N/A | 5,829 | 0 | 291 | 0 | 6,120 |
| 1983 | N/A | 3,993 | 0 | 200 | 0 | 4,193 |
| 1984 | N/A | 9,090 | 0 | 455 | 0 | 9,545 |
| 1985 | N/A | 11,769 | 0 | 588 | 0 | 12,357 |
| 1986 | N/A | 14,862 | 0 | 743 | 0 | 15,605 |
| 1987 | N/A | 14,472 | 0 | 724 | 0 | 15,196 |
| 1988 | N/A | 15,871 | 0 | 794 | 0 | 16,665 |
| 1989 | N/A | 18,646 | 0 | 932 | 0 | 19,578 |
| 1990 | N/A | 18,442 | 0 | 922 | 0 | 19,364 |
| 1991 | 14,124 | 0 | 989 | 907 | 0 | 16,019 |
| 1992 | 4,140 | 0 | 580 | 330 | 0 | 5,050 |
| 1993 | 5,763 | 0 | 1,170 | 555 | 0 | 7,488 |
| 1994 | 9,079 | 0 | 2,442 | 922 | 0 | 12,443 |
| 1995 | 13,051 | 0 | 3,315 | 1,309 | 0 | 17,675 |
| 1996 | 22,493 | 0 | 5,398 | 2,231 | 0 | 30,123 |
| 1997 | 39,692 | 0 | 8,931 | 3,890 | 0 | 52,513 |
| 1998 | 32,165 | 0 | 6,755 | 3,114 | 0 | 42,033 |
| 1999 | 36,407 | 0 | 7,136 | 3,483 | 0 | 47,026 |
| 2000 | 41,394 | 0 | 7,492 | 3,911 | 0 | 52,797 |
| 2001 | 40,776 | 0 | 6,810 | 3,807 | 0 | 51,392 |
| 2002 | 40,717 | 0 | 6,189 | 3,752 | 0 | 50,658 |
| 2003 | 29,366 | 0 | 4,023 | 2,671 | 0 | 36,060 |
| 2004 | 37,308 | 0 | 4,589 | 3,352 | 0 | 45,249 |
| 2005 | 55,285 | 0 | 6,192 | 4,918 | 0 | 66,395 |
| 2006 | 46,689 | 0 | 5,229 | 4,153 | 0 | 56,072 |
| 2007 | 51,007 | 0 | 5,713 | 4,538 | 0 | 61,257 |

Appendix Table B5. ICES landing statistics, adjustments to ICES landing statistics, unreported landings, discards, recreational catch, and reconstructed total for salmon (Salmo salar) for Estonia (t). N/A: part of ICES category 'former USSR'.

| Year | ICES landing statistics | Adjustments | Unreported | Discards | Recreational | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1950 | N/A | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 |
| 1951 | N/A | 20 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 21 |
| 1952 | N/A | 40 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 41 |
| 1953 | N/A | 40 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 41 |
| 1954 | N/A | 20 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 21 |
| 1955 | N/A | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 |
| 1956 | N/A | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 |
| 1957 | N/A | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 |
| 1958 | N/A | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 |
| 1959 | N/A | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 |
| 1960 | N/A | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 |
| 1961 | N/A | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 |
| 1962 | N/A | 20 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 21 |
| 1963 | N/A | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 |
| 1964 | N/A | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 |
| 1965 | N/A | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 |
| 1966 | N/A | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 |
| 1967 | N/A | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 |
| 1968 | N/A | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 |
| 1969 | N/A | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1970 | N/A | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 |
| 1971 | N/A | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 |
| 1972 | N/A | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1973 | N/A | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 |
| 1974 | N/A | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| 1975 | N/A | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 |
| 1976 | N/A | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| 1977 | N/A | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| 1978 | N/A | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| 1979 | N/A | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| 1980 | N/A | 23 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 24 |
| 1981 | N/A | 25 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 26 |
| 1982 | N/A | 50 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 53 |
| 1983 | N/A | 58 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 62 |
| 1984 | N/A | 98 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 107 |
| 1985 | N/A | 94 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 104 |
| 1986 | N/A | 77 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 86 |
| 1987 | N/A | 92 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 104 |
| 1988 | N/A | 80 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 92 |
| 1989 | N/A | 103 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 120 |
| 1990 | N/A | 93 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 109 |
| 1991 | 64 | 22 | 6 | 20 | 0 | 112 |
| 1992 | 31 | 1 | 4 | 12 | 1 | 49 |
| 1993 | 31 | 0 | 6 | 9 | 1 | 48 |
| 1994 | 5 | 5 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 17 |
| 1995 | 9 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 17 |
| 1996 | 9 | 0 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 20 |
| 1997 | 11 | 0 | 2 | 8 | 3 | 25 |
| 1998 | 8 | 0 | 2 | 7 | 3 | 20 |
| 1999 | 14 | 0 | 3 | 17 | 4 | 37 |
| 2000 | 21 | 1 | 4 | 26 | 4 | 56 |
| 2001 | 14 | 0 | 3 | 25 | 5 | 47 |
| 2002 | 16 | 1 | 3 | 34 | 5 | 60 |
| 2003 | 10 | 0 | 2 | 29 | 5 | 47 |
| 2004 | 7 | 0 | 1 | 19 | 6 | 33 |
| 2005 | 8 | 0 | 2 | 9 | 5 | 23 |
| 2006 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 8 | 4 | 19 |
| 2007 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 2 | 15 |

Appendix Table B6. ICES landing statistics, adjustments to ICES landing statistics, unreported landings, discards, recreational catch, and reconstructed total for the category 'flatfish' for Estonia (t). N/A: part of ICES category 'former USSR'.

| Year | ICES landing statistics | Adjustments | Unreported | Discards | Recreational | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1950 | N/A | 1,091 | 0 | 40 | 0 | 1,131 |
| 1951 | N/A | 1,310 | 0 | 48 | 0 | 1,358 |
| 1952 | N/A | 950 | 0 | 35 | 0 | 985 |
| 1953 | N/A | 990 | 0 | 36 | 0 | 1,026 |
| 1954 | N/A | 1,170 | 0 | 43 | 0 | 1,213 |
| 1955 | N/A | 1,752 | 0 | 64 | 0 | 1,816 |
| 1956 | N/A | 1,610 | 0 | 59 | 0 | 1,669 |
| 1957 | N/A | 1,324 | 0 | 48 | 0 | 1,372 |
| 1958 | N/A | 1,135 | 0 | 41 | 0 | 1,176 |
| 1959 | N/A | 950 | 0 | 35 | 0 | 985 |
| 1960 | N/A | 980 | 0 | 36 | 0 | 1,016 |
| 1961 | N/A | 768 | 0 | 28 | 0 | 796 |
| 1962 | N/A | 753 | 0 | 27 | 0 | 780 |
| 1963 | N/A | 1,094 | 0 | 40 | 0 | 1,134 |
| 1964 | N/A | 1,903 | 0 | 69 | 0 | 1,972 |
| 1965 | N/A | 2,731 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 2,831 |
| 1966 | N/A | 1,070 | 0 | 39 | 0 | 1,109 |
| 1967 | N/A | 1,330 | 0 | 49 | 0 | 1,379 |
| 1968 | N/A | 1,018 | 0 | 37 | 0 | 1,055 |
| 1969 | N/A | 950 | 0 | 35 | 0 | 985 |
| 1970 | N/A | 1,300 | 0 | 47 | 0 | 1,347 |
| 1971 | N/A | 1,275 | 0 | 47 | 0 | 1,322 |
| 1972 | N/A | 1,330 | 0 | 49 | 0 | 1,379 |
| 1973 | N/A | 731 | 0 | 27 | 0 | 758 |
| 1974 | N/A | 543 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 563 |
| 1975 | N/A | 607 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 629 |
| 1976 | N/A | 934 | 0 | 34 | 0 | 968 |
| 1977 | N/A | 954 | 0 | 35 | 0 | 989 |
| 1978 | N/A | 923 | 0 | 34 | 0 | 957 |
| 1979 | N/A | 1,632 | 0 | 60 | 0 | 1,692 |
| 1980 | N/A | 1,589 | 0 | 58 | 0 | 1,647 |
| 1981 | N/A | 1,854 | 0 | 68 | 0 | 1,922 |
| 1982 | N/A | 1,834 | 0 | 67 | 0 | 1,901 |
| 1983 | N/A | 1,669 | 0 | 61 | 0 | 1,730 |
| 1984 | N/A | 1,533 | 0 | 56 | 0 | 1,589 |
| 1985 | N/A | 1,103 | 0 | 40 | 0 | 1,143 |
| 1986 | N/A | 816 | 0 | 30 | 0 | 846 |
| 1987 | N/A | 733 | 0 | 27 | 0 | 760 |
| 1988 | N/A | 610 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 632 |
| 1989 | N/A | 423 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 438 |
| 1990 | N/A | 372 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 386 |
| 1991 | 248 | 15 | 18 | 25 | 11 | 317 |
| 1992 | 164 | 24 | 26 | 30 | 23 | 267 |
| 1993 | 165 | -1 | 33 | 37 | 34 | 269 |
| 1994 | 162 | -7 | 42 | 37 | 46 | 279 |
| 1995 | 102 | 64 | 42 | 39 | 57 | 304 |
| 1996 | 297 | 0 | 71 | 69 | 69 | 506 |
| 1997 | 334 | 3 | 76 | 78 | 80 | 571 |
| 1998 | 355 | 0 | 75 | 81 | 91 | 602 |
| 1999 | 416 | 0 | 82 | 94 | 103 | 694 |
| 2000 | 420 | 0 | 76 | 93 | 114 | 704 |
| 2001 | 482 | 0 | 80 | 106 | 126 | 794 |
| 2002 | 515 | 1 | 78 | 112 | 137 | 843 |
| 2003 | 443 | 0 | 61 | 95 | 148 | 747 |
| 2004 | 406 | 0 | 50 | 86 | 160 | 702 |
| 2005 | 403 | 0 | 45 | 84 | 121 | 653 |
| 2006 | 352 | 0 | 39 | 74 | 82 | 547 |
| 2007 | 335 | 0 | 38 | 70 | 43 | 485 |

Appendix Table B7. ICES landing statistics, adjustments to ICES landing statistics, unreported landings, discards, recreational catch, and reconstructed total for the category 'others' for Estonia (t). N/A: part of ICES category 'former USSR'.

| Year | ICES landing statistics | Adjustments | Unreported | Discards | Recreational | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1950 | N/A | 1,470 | 0 | 54 | 0 | 1,524 |
| 1951 | N/A | 1,690 | 0 | 62 | 0 | 1,752 |
| 1952 | N/A | 2,120 | 0 | 77 | 0 | 2,197 |
| 1953 | N/A | 2,140 | 0 | 78 | 0 | 2,218 |
| 1954 | N/A | 2,360 | 0 | 86 | 0 | 2,446 |
| 1955 | N/A | 2,110 | 0 | 77 | 0 | 2,187 |
| 1956 | N/A | 2,120 | 0 | 77 | 0 | 2,197 |
| 1957 | N/A | 3,040 | 0 | 111 | 0 | 3,151 |
| 1958 | N/A | 2,810 | 0 | 103 | 0 | 2,913 |
| 1959 | N/A | 4,980 | 0 | 182 | 0 | 5,162 |
| 1960 | N/A | 5,850 | 0 | 214 | 0 | 6,064 |
| 1961 | N/A | 5,530 | 0 | 202 | 0 | 5,732 |
| 1962 | N/A | 5,770 | 0 | 211 | 0 | 5,981 |
| 1963 | N/A | 5,060 | 0 | 185 | 0 | 5,245 |
| 1964 | N/A | 5,670 | 0 | 207 | 0 | 5,877 |
| 1965 | N/A | 5,830 | 0 | 213 | 0 | 6,043 |
| 1966 | N/A | 6,810 | 0 | 249 | 0 | 7,059 |
| 1967 | N/A | 9,440 | 0 | 345 | 0 | 9,785 |
| 1968 | N/A | 9,780 | 0 | 357 | 0 | 10,137 |
| 1969 | N/A | 8,940 | 0 | 326 | 0 | 9,266 |
| 1970 | N/A | 8,820 | 0 | 322 | 0 | 9,142 |
| 1971 | N/A | 5,300 | 0 | 193 | 0 | 5,493 |
| 1972 | N/A | 5,240 | 0 | 191 | 0 | 5,431 |
| 1973 | N/A | 6,660 | 0 | 243 | 0 | 6,903 |
| 1974 | N/A | 5,508 | 0 | 201 | 0 | 5,709 |
| 1975 | N/A | 6,494 | 0 | 237 | 0 | 6,731 |
| 1976 | N/A | 5,799 | 0 | 212 | 0 | 6,011 |
| 1977 | N/A | 5,168 | 0 | 189 | 0 | 5,357 |
| 1978 | N/A | 5,759 | 0 | 210 | 0 | 5,969 |
| 1979 | N/A | 5,304 | 0 | 194 | 0 | 5,498 |
| 1980 | N/A | 4,251 | 0 | 155 | 0 | 4,406 |
| 1981 | N/A | 3,560 | 0 | 130 | 0 | 3,690 |
| 1982 | N/A | 3,357 | 0 | 123 | 0 | 3,480 |
| 1983 | N/A | 2,909 | 0 | 107 | 0 | 3,016 |
| 1984 | N/A | 2,755 | 0 | 102 | 0 | 2,857 |
| 1985 | N/A | 2,749 | 0 | 101 | 0 | 2,850 |
| 1986 | N/A | 2,950 | 0 | 109 | 0 | 3,059 |
| 1987 | N/A | 2,876 | 0 | 106 | 0 | 2,982 |
| 1988 | N/A | 3,094 | 0 | 114 | 0 | 3,208 |
| 1989 | N/A | 3,375 | 0 | 125 | 0 | 3,500 |
| 1990 | N/A | 1,702 | 0 | 64 | 0 | 1,766 |
| 1991 | 2,361 | 1 | 165 | 136 | 13 | 2,676 |
| 1992 | 1,677 | 1 | 235 | 139 | 26 | 2,078 |
| 1993 | 2,150 | 0 | 436 | 237 | 39 | 2,862 |
| 1994 | 1,432 | 0 | 385 | 160 | 51 | 2,029 |
| 1995 | 1,480 | 0 | 376 | 159 | 64 | 2,079 |
| 1996 | 1,887 | 0 | 453 | 205 | 77 | 2,623 |
| 1997 | 1,647 | 0 | 371 | 172 | 90 | 2,280 |
| 1998 | 1,308 | 6 | 276 | 160 | 103 | 1,853 |
| 1999 | 1,066 | 0 | 209 | 118 | 116 | 1,509 |
| 2000 | 1,093 | 0 | 198 | 121 | 129 | 1,540 |
| 2001 | 1,207 | 0 | 202 | 132 | 141 | 1,682 |
| 2002 | 1,501 | 0 | 228 | 174 | 154 | 2,057 |
| 2003 | 1,646 | 0 | 225 | 196 | 167 | 2,234 |
| 2004 | 1,745 | 0 | 215 | 199 | 180 | 2,339 |
| 2005 | 1,379 | 0 | 154 | 162 | 181 | 1,876 |
| 2006 | 2,098 | 6 | 236 | 251 | 182 | 2,773 |
| 2007 | 1,843 | 0 | 206 | 211 | 183 | 2,443 |


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Cite as: Veitch, L., Booth, S., Harper, S., Rossing, P., and Zeller, D. (2010) Catch reconstruction for Estonia in the Baltic Sea from 1950-2007. pp. 63-84. In: Rossing, P., Booth, S. and Zeller, D. (eds.), Total marine fisheries extractions by country in the Baltic Sea: 1950-present. Fisheries Centre Research Reports 18 (1). Fisheries Centre, University of British Columbia, Canada [ISSN 1198-6727].

