CATCH RECONSTRUCTION FOR LATVIA IN THE BALTIC SEA FROM 1950–2007¹

Peter Rossing^a, Maris Plikshs^b, Shawn Booth^a, Liane Veitch^a and Dirk Zeller^a ^aSea Around Us Project, Fisheries Centre University of British Columbia, 2202 Main Mall, Vancouver, B.C., V6T 1Z4, Canada; email: <u>p.rossing@fisheries.ubc.ca</u>; <u>s.booth@fisheries.ubc.ca</u>; <u>l.veitch@fisheries.ubc.ca</u>; <u>d.zeller@fisheries.ubc.ca</u> ^bLatvian Fish Resource Agency (LATFRA), Daugavgrivas 8, LV-1048, Riga, Lativia; email: <u>maris.plikss@lzra.gov.lv</u>

ABSTRACT

Total marine fisheries catches by Latvia in the Baltic Sea (or its equivalent entity prior to separation from the USSR in 1991) were estimated from 1950-2007 using an approach called 'catch reconstruction'. ICES landing statistics (which only report commercial landings) formed the baseline of the reconstruction, to which we added 'adjustments to ICES landing statistics' (particularly disaggregated data from when Latvia was part of the USSR), estimates of unreported landings, estimates of discards, and estimates of recreational catches. The reconstructed catch from 1950-2007 is approximately 4.7 million tonnes, attributing an additional 3.5 million tonnes to Latvia above catches reported by ICES for independent Latvia. From 1991-2007 when ICES landing statistics were reported for Latvia independently, our reconstructed catch was 28% higher than their reported 1.2 million tonnes. The most commercially important species are herring (*Clupea harengus*), sprat (*Sprattus sprattus*), and cod (*Gadus morhua*). We believe that this reconstruction represents a conservative estimate.

INTRODUCTION

Latvia is on the eastern edge of the Baltic Sea, located between Estonia and Lithuania, and is bordered on the east by Russia and Belarus (Figure 1). Latvia declared its independence from the USSR in 1991, and joined NATO and the European Union in 2004. In 2007, the estimated Latvian population was 2,292,000 (UN, 2008). Latvia has an area of 64,589 km² with a 12 nautical mile territorial limit in the Baltic Sea, although Latvian fishers have access to areas beyond this boundary (FAO, 2009). The main species caught are herring (Clupea harengus), sprat (Sprattus and Atlantic cod sprattus), (Gadus morhua).

Latvia's fisheries can be divided into four sectors: 1) a Baltic Sea and Gulf of Riga fleet; 2) coastal vessels; 3) a high seas fleet; and 4) inland waters.

1) In 2006, there were 115 trawlers and 48 gillnet vessels fishing in the Baltic Sea and



Figure 1. Map of the Baltic Sea with ICES subdivisions and surrounding countries. Latvia's coastline borders ICES subdivisions 26, 28-1 and 28-2.

¹ Cite as: Rossing, P., Plikshs, M., Booth, S., Veitch, L., and Zeller, D. (2010) Catch reconstruction for Latvia in the Baltic Sea from 1950 – 2007. pp. 127-144. *In:* Rossing, P., Booth, S. and Zeller, D. (eds.), *Total marine fisheries extractions by country in the Baltic Sea: 1950-present.* Fisheries Centre Research Reports 18 (1). Fisheries Centre, University of British Columbia, Canada [ISSN 1198-6727].

the Gulf of Riga outside of coastal areas (Anon., 2008). Fishing in this area accounts for approximately 55% of annual total landings by Latvia (Anon., 2008). When Latvia was part of the USSR, it fished in most parts of the Baltic Sea. Since the 1990s, Latvia has reported its landings independent of the former USSR. The trawlers target herring all year round (with a mesh size of 28 mm), except during a 30-day ban in May/June (during the peak spawning time for herring), or if there is ice coverage in the Gulf of Riga. The trawlers also target sprat (with a mesh size of 16 mm) in the Baltic Sea year-round, with a lower intensity during the summer months. The number of trawlers and the total engine power has not been allowed to increase since the end of the 1990s, and the number of trawlers is now decreasing due to decommissioning of vessels. The gillnet vessels target mainly cod (using bottom gillnets), and in 2006 contributed approximately 57% of total Latvian cod landings (Anon., 2008). The trap-net fishery targets spawning herring from mid-April through July. The number of nets has been stable since the mid-1990s, and contributes much less to total landings than the trawler fleet (e.g., 15% of herring landings are taken with trap-nets, and 85% with trawlers, ICES, 2007a). Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*) has also been targeted with drift gillnets by 9 vessels. Drift gillnets have been prohibited for use in the Baltic Sea by the EU since January 2008.

2) The coastal fishery consisted of approximately 740 boats under 12 m in 2003, and mainly targets herring, trout (*Salmo trutta*), vimba-bream (*Vimba vimba*), flounder (*Platichthys flesus*), European eel (*Anguilla anguilla*), and pikeperch (*Sander lucioperca*), small catches of sprat and cod, and Atlantic salmon. However, landing and selling of Atlantic salmon was banned in 2005 (ICES, 2007b). This fishery uses mainly passive gears such as trapnets, poundnets, gillnets, and hooks, although Danish seines are used to catch flatfishes (Anon., 2008). Coastal catches only account for approximately 4-6 % of the total reported landings for the Baltic Sea and the Gulf of Riga, yet they are important to coastal dwellers.

3) The high seas fleet is comprised of approximately 13 vessels that fish in the Northern and Central Atlantic Ocean under three international conventions (NAFO, NEAFC, and CACAF), and in 2006 contributed 45% to total Latvian landings (Anon., 2008). The high seas fleet is not considered further in this report.

4) There are approximately 800 lakes over 10 hectares within Latvia (with a total area of 91,500 ha), as well as rivers and reservoirs that are available for inland fishery exploitation. The commercial landings from these inland waters are between 500 and 600 t annually (FAO, 2009), approximately 0.5% of total landings. The inland fishery is not considered further in this report.

Although Latvia accounts for only approximately 0.8% of the total population in Baltic coastal countries, recent landings accounted for approximately 10% of the total Baltic landings. The fisheries administration in Latvia is through the National Board of Fisheries of the Ministry of Agriculture, which is responsible for overall management of the fisheries sector, quota management, sector development, strategies and legislation (FAO, 2009). The Latvian portion of the total Baltic quota has since 2004 been allocated by the EU's Common Fisheries Policy (CFP), and then distributed to Latvian fishers by the government. Latvia's utilization of their allocated quota has been increasing, and reached 99.6% in 2003 (FAO, 2009).

The objective of the present work is to estimate total catches (in contrast to reported landings) for Latvia, from 1950 - 2007. ICES landings statistics were taken here to represent officially reported data. Hence, all other additions and modifications are deemed Illegal, Unreported and Unregulated (IUU) data. Four IUU components were addressed: 1) reported landings data source adjustments from sources such as ICES stock assessment working group reports, national data and published scientific papers; 2) unreported landings; 3) discards; and 4) recreational catches. The focus was on utilizing available knowledge and information sources to derive estimated complete catch time series for all components, for Baltic Sea waters. The general methodology used relies heavily on previously described approaches for catch data reconstruction (Zeller *et al.*, 2006; Zeller *et al.*, 2007; Zeller and Pauly, 2007).

METHODS

ICES landings statistics (ICES, 2009) were used as the baseline for our reconstruction of Latvia's fisheries catches in the Baltic Sea from 1950-2007. However, landings data for Latvia are presented in ICES landings statistics only from 1991 onward. Prior to 1991, Latvia's fisheries catches were presented as a component of ICES landings statistics for the USSR, which combined landings for Latvia, Estonia, Lithuania, and Russia. Thus, for the time period 1950-1989, landings data were obtained from the Latvian

Fish Resource Agency (M. Plikshs, unpubl. data), which provided the 'former' USSR landings disaggregated by country, for each of the former Baltic States (Latvia, Estonia, and Lithuania) and Russia. While these landings were previously reported to ICES as landings by USSR, ICES has not retroactively adjusted its earlier landings statistics to create separate landings data for each country. Thus, landings obtained from LATFRA for the time period 1950-1989 were considered as '*adjustments*' to ICES landings statistics since there are no separate ICES landings statistics for Latvia for this time period. Other adjustments to ICES landings included taxa specific information contained within ICES stock assessment working group reports (ICES, 2009). In order to account for total catches (as opposed to landings), unreported landings (referred to as 'unallocated' catches by ICES), discards, and recreational catches were estimated. The resulting sum of ICES landings statistics, adjustments, unreported landings, discards, and recreational catches represents total reconstructed catches for Latvia from 1950-2007.

Our reconstruction represented the main taxa targeted by Latvia including cod (eastern and western stocks; *Gadus morhua*); herring (*Clupea harengus*); sprat (*Sprattus sprattus*); salmon (*Salmo salar*); flatfishes, which included European flounder (*Platichthys flesus*), European plaice (*Pleuronectes platessa*), and turbot (*Psetta maxima*); and another 30 taxonomic groups included in a grouping called 'others'.

Illegal, Unreported and Unregulated (IUU) catches

Catches that were not included in the ICES landings statistics for Latvia were considered as Illegal, Unreported or Unregulated (IUU) catches. Components included in our estimates of IUU catches were: a) '*adjustments*' to ICES landings statistics from other reliable sources such as ICES stock assessment working group data or national data sources; b) '*unreported*' landings (referred to by ICES as 'unallocated'); c) '*discards*', which included four categories; and d) '*recreational*' catches. When combined with ICES landings statistics, these components formed our catch reconstruction for Latvia.

Adjustments to ICES landings statistics

ICES landings statistics were adjusted using data obtained from LATFRA and information contained in ICES stock assessment working group reports (Table 1). As ICES landings data for Latvia were not available prior to the 1990s, the national landings data provided by LATFRA for the period 1950-1989 were considered adjustments to ICES data. From 1991-2007, ICES stock assessment working group data provided information to make adjustments to landings of cod (ICES, 2007a; 2008a) and flatfishes (ICES, 2008a). The ICES working group data provided better accounting for cod, since landings were reported for the eastern and western stocks separately. Flatfish data, rather than being grouped together, were presented by individual species.

Table 1. Sources of adjustments to ICESlandings statistics for Latvia from 1950-2007.CommonYearsSource

| Common | Years | Source |
|------------|-----------|--------------------|
| name | | |
| Cod | 1950-1990 | LATFRA |
| | 1994-2007 | ICES (2007, 2008a) |
| Herring | 1950-1990 | LATFRA |
| Sprat | 1950-1990 | LATFRA |
| Salmon | 1950-1990 | LATFRA |
| Flatfishes | 1950-1990 | LATFRA |
| | 1991-2005 | ICES (2008a) |
| 'Others ' | 1950-1990 | LATFRA |
| | | |

Unreported landings

Unreported landings were estimated as a rate (%) for all taxa, which was applied to ICES landings statistics + adjustments from 1990 to 2007. Rates of unreported landings for Latvia from 1950-1989 were assumed to be 0%, following our assumption for all eastern bloc countries (see 'Methods' in Zeller *et al.*, this volume). For the period from 1993-2007, unreported landings were based on information provided by LATFRA for cod and ICES stock assessment working group data for salmon, herring and other taxa (ICES, 2007a; 2008a; 2008b). To estimate unreported landings for 1991 and 1992, which reflected the transition from a state-controlled economy to a market-based economy, a linear interpolation was done between the assumed rate of 0% in 1990 and the first anchor point in 1993 (Table 2).

Anonymous sources within LATFRA provided an estimated range (50-100% of reported landings) for unreported landings of cod. We applied the average of this range (75%) for all years between 1993 and 2007 (Table 2). Unreported landings of salmon, herring, and other taxa for the period 1993-2007 were

derived from ICES stock assessment working group data using our default, assumption based methodology (Table 2; see 'Methods' in Zeller *et al.*, this volume).

From 1993 to 2007, unreported landings of herring caught in the Gulf of Riga were presented by ICES as a combined total tonnage for Latvia and Estonia (ICES, 2008a). However, sources indicated that these unreported landings were from Latvian fisheries only (Anon., pers. comm.).² The rate was determined by dividing all of the unreported landings from ICES (2008a) by Latvia's catches in subdivision 28-2. To estimate rates for 1991 and 1992, a linear interpolation was done between 0% in 1990 and the 1993 rate. We applied this rate to all herring catches in Latvia.

Discards

Discards for Latvia were considered as four separate categories; each estimated as a rate and then applied to total landings (i.e., ICES landings + adjustments + unreported landings) for each respective species or group. The sum of discards in all categories gave us the total discarded catches for Latvia. The four categories considered were: 1) underwater discards accounting for the mortality of fish lost from gear while deployed and actively fishing; 2) ghostfishing due to lost gear; 3) boat based discards usually resulting from fisher's behavior after

Table 2. Anchor points (%) for unreported landings of cod (LATFRA), herring (Table 6.3.1a in ICES, 2008a), salmon (Table 2.1.1. in ICES, 2008b) and all other taxa (Tables 2.3.1 and 2.4.1 in ICES, 2007; Table 2.3.1. and 2.4.1 in ICES, 2008a; and Table 2.1.1 in ICES, 2008a). The dashed lines (-) indicate years when the rates were derived through linear interpolation.

| Year | Cod | Salmon ^a | Herring | Other taxa ^b |
|-----------|-------------------|---------------------|------------------|-------------------------|
| 1950-1990 | 0.00 ^c | 0.0 ^c | 0.0 ^c | 0.0 ^c |
| 1991-1992 | - | - | - | - |
| 1993 | 75.0 | 19.4 | 25.4 | 20.3 |
| 1994 | 75.0 | 18.7 | 25.0 | 26.9 |
| 1995 | 75.0 | 19.5 | 20.0 | - |
| 1996 | 75.0 | 20.4 | 21.6 | - |
| 1997 | 75.0 | 20.8 | 20.0 | - |
| 1998 | 75.0 | 20.1 | 20.0 | - |
| 1999 | 75.0 | 20.4 | 15.0 | - |
| 2000 | 75.0 | 19.9 | 15.0 | - |
| 2001 | 75.0 | 20.4 | 15.0 | - |
| 2002 | 75.0 | 20.5 | 15.0 | - |
| 2003 | 75.0 | 20.1 | 15.0 | - |
| 2004 | 75.0 | 20.6 | 15.0 | 12.3 |
| 2005 | 75.0 | 20.7 | 15.0 | 11.2 |
| 2006 | 75.0 | 22.2 | 15.0 | 11.2 ^d |
| 2007 | 75.0 | 21.4 | 15.0 | 11.2 ^d |

^a based on the reported mode value by ICES. ^b derived from the default values for cod and salmon (Zeller et al., this volume) ^c default assumption based rate. ^d rate carried forward.

the catch is brought to the surface/on board; and 4) seal-damaged discards representing the fraction of catch discarded because of seal-damage. Seal-damaged discard data were used in place of boat based discards in subdivisions where seal-discard data were available and only when the seal-discard rates were higher than the boat-based discard rates. This was done to avoid the possibility of double accounting, as we could not determine whether seal-discards had already been included in estimates of boat based discards.

'*Underwater discards*': An underwater discard rate was applied to herring and sprat only. Our estimate of underwater discards for herring and sprat was based on a Finnish trawl study from which we estimated an underwater discard rate for herring of approximately 9% (Rahikainen *et al.*, 2004). Herring and sprat are both pelagic species that are caught in a mixed fishery using similar gear-types. This led us to apply the same underwater discard rate to both species. Since herring and sprat landings for Latvia are not reported by gear type, the estimated rate of 9% was reduced to a more conservative estimate of 5% and then applied to all years between 1950 and 2007.

'*Ghostfishing*': Estimates of ghostfishing discards were based on a Swedish study by Tschernij and Larsson (2003) that estimated the amount of cod caught in Sweden by lost gear and related it to commercial landings in Sweden. Using these data, Brown *et al.* (2005) estimated the range of ghostfishing rates by lost gear to be between 0.01% and 3.2%. Here, we used the average of 1.65% applied to all taxa, except herring and sprat, for all years during the period of study (1950-2007).

Boat-based discards': A boat-based discard rate of 2% was applied to all taxa, except herring and sprat, from 1950-1990. For the period 1993-2007, boat-based discard data for western and eastern cod stocks

² This reliable source was interviewed personally by the lead author, and wished to remain unnamed due to personal considerations.

(ICES; 2007a; 2008a), and for salmon (ICES, 2008b) were obtained from ICES stock assessment working group data (Table 3). Discards rates for eastern and western cod were our default values calculated as Baltic wide-estimates (see 'Methods' in Zeller *et al.*, this volume). For salmon, the Baltic-wide, boat-based discard rate based on the mode estimate presented in ICES (2008b) was used, as it was the default assumption for countries whose recreational catches of salmon were not reported to ICES (see 'Methods' in Zeller *et al.*, this volume).

For all other taxa, excluding cod and salmon, boat-based discards were derived from a Danish government study (Anon., 2006a) that examined boat-based discard practices for their entire fleet over a one year period. Discards were estimated from the discard tonnages presented for flounder (48%), plaice (34%), turbot (39%), whiting (36%), and 'others' (6%). The Danish study provided information for a species-specific discard rate for whiting (normally group with 'others') of 36% (see 'Methods' in Zeller *et al.*, this volume). These rates were applied to total

Table 3. Boat-based discard rates (%) of reported landings for the eastern cod stock (Tables 2.4.1, 2.4.5b in ICES, 2007; Tables 2.4.1, 2.4.5b and 2.4.20 in ICES, 2008), the western cod stock (Tables 2.3.6 in ICES, 2007; Tables 2.3.1, 2.3.6 and Figure 2.3.1 in ICES, 2008a) and salmon (Table 2.1.2 in ICES, 2008b). Dashed lines (-) indicate interpolated rates.

| Year | Western cod | Eastern cod | Salmon |
|-----------|------------------|------------------|-------------------|
| 1950-1990 | 2.0 ^a | 2.0 ^a | 2.0 ^a |
| 1991-1992 | - | - | - |
| 1993 | 14.5 | 3.4 | 14.1 ^b |
| 1994 | 10.6 | 2.1 | 12.9 ^b |
| 1995 | 11.3 | 1.7 | 13.9 ^b |
| 1996 | 15.7 | 1.2 | 15.1 ^b |
| 1997 | 10.0 | 3.9 | 14.9 ^b |
| 1998 | 17.3 | 3.4 | 14.2 ^b |
| 1999 | 11.6 | 2.5 | 14.8 ^b |
| 2000 | 12.5 | 6.8 | 10.3 ^b |
| 2001 | 11.2 | 3.2 | 15.0 ^b |
| 2002 | 10.4 | 2.2 | 15.8 ^b |
| 2003 | 15.8 | 2.8 | 15.4 ^b |
| 2004 | 10.1 | 1.8 | 15.6 ^b |
| 2005 | 18.6 | 3.0 | 15.2 ^b |
| 2006 | 8.6 | 13.2 | 17.4 ^b |
| 2007 | 8.3 | 11.3 | 14.2 ^b |

^a assumed default rate for eastern bloc countries (see 'Methods' in Zeller *et al.*, this volume); ^b based on the reported mode value from ICES (see 'Methods' in Zeller *et al.*, this volume).

landings (ICES landings statistics + adjustments + unreported landings) of flatfishes, whiting, and 'others' in all years between 1993 and 2007, while linear interpolation estimated discards between 1990 (2%) and 1993 (our first anchor point).

Seal-damaged discards have been a concern in the Baltic Sea since the 1980s, when seal populations started to recover from a previously depleted state (Österblom et al., 2007). Seal-damaged discard data were only calculated and applied to herring caught in pound nets by Latvia in subdivision 28. Prior to the 1980s, our assumed default rate for sealdamaged discards was zero. To estimate seal-discards from 1980 onwards, we calculated an anchor point for seal-damaged discards based on the Estonian data for 2005. Since the proportion of herring caught in pound nets relative to other gear-types for Latvian fisheries was not known, we assumed the same value as that of Estonia. The proportion of herring caught in pound nets in Estonia relative to Estonia's total herring catch for subdivision 28, was estimated to be 45%. Seal-damaged discard rates for herring caught in subdivision 28 were estimated to be up to 50% of catches taken using pound nets. Here, we used half of Estonia's discard rate (25%) in combination with the assumption that 45% of herring in Latvia is caught with pound nets, to estimate seal-damaged discards of herring. The anchor point for 2000 was assumed to be half the rate for 2005 as seal populations were

| Table 4. Anchor points of seal- |
|--------------------------------------|
| damaged discards (%) for herring |
| caught in subdivision 28. Dashed |
| line (-) indicates interpolated rate |
| based on Ifremer (2007). |

| Year | Seal-damaged discard |
|-----------|-------------------------|
| 1980 | 0.00 |
| 1981-1999 | - |
| 2000 | 1.88 |
| 2001-2004 | - |
| 2005 | 3.75ª |
| 2006 | 3.75 ^a |
| 2007 | 3.75ª |

^a2005 rate carried forward

thought to have doubled between 2000 and 2005 (Ifremer, 2007). A linear interpolation was done to estimate seal-discard rates between anchor points established in 1980 and 2000, and the 2005 rate was carried forward unaltered to 2007. This seal-discard rate for herring was used in place of boat-based discards from 1980-2007 for subdivision 28 (Table 4).

Recreational catches

Almost no data for recreational catches exist for Latvia except for cod. Therefore, we relied on recreational catch rates from Estonia, and applied these to the coastal population of Latvia to estimate recreational catches for species from 1991-2007.

Three ports have offered boat charters to catch cod recreationally since 2004. In one harbor, Liepaja, 15 boats were estimated to catch between 3-5 tonnes in 2007 (M. Plikshs, unpubl. data). Assuming the same catch rates for the other two harbors, we estimated the recreational catch of cod to be 12 tonnes per year since 2004.

LATFRA reported that Latvian recreational fishers also caught herring, salmon, flounder, garfish, seatrout, perch and smelt. We relied on recreational catch information from Estonia to estimate these catches from 2004-2007. To remain conservative, we used half of the average reported recreational catch rates from Estonia. These were transformed into *per capita* catch rates for the coastal population (see Methods in Veitch *et al.*, this volume). We estimated the coastal population for Latvia as the total population of coastal districts to be approximately 1,676,000 inhabitants (Anon., 2006c). Multiplying the estimated coastal population of Latvia with the *per capita* catch rates, we estimated Latvia's recreational catches for the **Table 5.** Anchor points for Latvia's recreational catch for the period 2004-2007, based on half the average Estonian reported recreational catch for 2004 and 2007 (Anon., 2006b; 2007). See Veitch *et al.* (this volume).

| Common Rec Name | reational catch 2004- 2007 t·vear ⁻¹ |
|--------------------|--|
| Herring | 1.24 |
| Salmon | 2.04 |
| Flounder | 50.67 |
| Garfish | 30.73 |
| Sea trout | 1.65 |
| Perch | 2.17 |
| Smelt | 35.48 |
| | |

above species from 2004-2007 (Table 5). For all species, including cod, we assumed a recreational catch of zero in 1990. Linear interpolations for all Latvian recreational catches were performed in the intervening years from 1991-2003.

RESULTS

132

ICES landing statistics for Latvia have only been incorporated since 1991, prior to that they were included in the landing statistics of the USSR (Table 6). In 1991, the ICES landings statistics reported landings of 55,461 t, which decreased slightly for the following two years, but then increased to reach 86,123 t in 1997. From 1998-2007, the average annual ICES landing statistics were 81,144 t, with a peak of 93,088 t in 2005. The time series ended with landings of 89,366 t in 2007. From 1991-2007, ICES landing statistics report a total catch of 1,211,724 t for Latvia (Figure 2). **Table 6.** ICES landing statistics presented as totals for Latvia (t) from 1992-2007, prior to which catches are not reported independently (see text for details).

| Common | 1950- | 1990- | 2000- |
|------------|-------|---------|---------|
| name | 1989 | 1999 | 2007 |
| Cod | n/a | 43,680 | 39,937 |
| Herring | n/a | 237,265 | 192,818 |
| Sprat | n/a | 263,669 | 410,376 |
| Salmon | n/a | 1,882 | 531 |
| Flatfishes | n/a | 4,004 | 7,065 |
| 'Others' | n/a | 6,044 | 4,453 |

Illegal, Unreported and Unregulated (IUU) catches

IUU is used in this report to quantify any catches made by a country that are not included in the ICES landing statistics. Adjustments to ICES landing statistics, unreported ('unallocated') landings, discards, and recreational catches account for our IUU adjustments (see Methods for details and sources).

Adjustments to ICES landing statistics

Overall, there were a total of 3,063,556 t of adjustments to ICES landing statistics from 1950-2007 (Table 7). The majority of these adjustments were due to the fact that prior to 1991, Latvian landings were



Figure 2. ICES landing statistics and adjustments to ICES landing statistics for Latvia from 1950-2007

reported as part of the former USSR, and there has been no retroactive adjustment to ICES landing statistics (Figure 2). For the time period from 1950-1990, a total 3,062,720 t of adjustments were made. Herring and cod adjustments had the largest proportions of adjustments, accounting for 43% (1,317,909 t) and 28% (863,759 t), respectively. Sprat and the group 'others' accounted for 16% (496,191 t) and 11%

(323,414 t) of the adjustments, respectively. Flatfish and salmon had minor adjustments made to the ICES landing statistics, with flatfish accounting for 2% (54,866 t) and salmon 0.2% (7,414 t).

836 t in adjustments to ICES landing statistics. The majority of this was explained by adjustments to cod data, which had a net increase of 656 t. Flatfishes were the group with the next largest adjustment to ICES landing statistics, with a total of 112 t. The group 'others' had a total adjustment of 48 t, and salmon had an increase of 20 t. Herring and sprat data had no adjustments during this time period.

Unreported landings

Unreported landings were assumed to have begun in Latvia following their separation from the USSR, and in 1991, the estimated unreported landings were 4,846 t (Figure 3). This increased to a peak of 21,890 t in 1997, and by 2007 had decreased to 13,586 t (Figure 3). The total unreported landings for 1991-2007 was estimated to be 248,608 t and accounted for approximately 6% of our reconstructed total for the same period.

Sprat had the highest estimated unreported landings, with an estimated total of approximately 110,000 t from 1991-2007 (Table 8), adding an additional 16% to the

From 1991-2007, there was a total of **Table 7.** Decadal totals of adjustments to ICES landing statistics for Latvia (t).

| Common | 1950- | 1960- | 1970- | 1980- | 1990- | 2000- |
|------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|--------|-------|
| name | 1959 | 1969 | 1979 | 1989 | 1999 | 2007 |
| Cod | 181,990 | 171,990 | 227,550 | 276,192 | 6,015 | 22 |
| Herring | 206,340 | 331,000 | 396,766 | 349,485 | 34,318 | 0 |
| Sprat | 18,910 | 83,950 | 293,056 | 83,863 | 16,412 | 0 |
| Salmon | 660 | 1,410 | 1,433 | 3,287 | 621 | 6 |
| Flatfishes | 12,580 | 23,010 | 15,469 | 3,165 | 625 | 16 |
| 'Others' | 17,460 | 83,500 | 207,109 | 14,304 | 1.027 | 14 |
| | | | | | | |



Figure 3. Latvia's unreported landings by taxa, 1950-2007.

reported sprat landings. In 1991, the estimated unreported sprat landings were 1,260 t. This increased to 11,096 t in 1997, and decreased to 6,771 t by 2007. The species with the next largest contribution to unreported landings was herring with 74,679 t from 1991-2007, which added an additional 17% t reported herring landings. Unreported herring landings were estimated to have been 2,820 t in 1991, rose to a peak of 5,866 t in 1996, and then declined to 3,361 t by 2007. Unreported cod landings contributed almost as

| Table | 8. | Decadal | totals | of | unreported |
|---------|-------|--------------|--------|----|------------|
| landing | s foi | · Latvia (t) |). | | - |

| Common | 1950- | 1990- | 2000- |
|------------|-------|--------|--------|
| name | 1989 | 1999 | 2007 |
| Cod | 0 | 31,610 | 29,969 |
| Herring | 0 | 44,218 | 28,923 |
| Sprat | 0 | 54,973 | 55,023 |
| Salmon | 0 | 293 | 109 |
| Flatfishes | 0 | 794 | 907 |
| `Others' | 0 | 1,198 | 590 |

Discards

much as herring to total unreported landings, with an estimated 61,579 t from 1991-2007 (Table 8), but this corresponded to an additional 74% of reported cod landings. Unreported cod landings were an estimated 657 t in 1991, increased to a peak of 6,556 t in 1996, and then decreased to 3,202 t at the end of the time series. The group 'others' (totaling 1.788 t) and flatfish (totaling 1,701 t) contributed an additional 17% and 15% to reported landings for these two groups, respectively. Salmon contributed the least with 403 t from 1991-2007, adding an additional 17% to reported salmon landings.

Discards are comprised of four components (ghostfishing, underwater discards, boat-based discards and seal-damaged discards), and these were estimated to total 228.270 t throughout the time series (Figure 4). Discards were estimated to be 1,044 t at the beginning of the time series, and increased to a first peak of 6,303 t in 1974. From 1975-1994, discards averaged 3,662 t year 1. For the most recent period, discards increased again, and averaged 5,940 t annually from 1995-2007, with a peak of 7,280 t in 2005 (Figure 4).

The largest contributor to discards was herring, with 103,002 t estimated for the period 1950-2007 (Table 9), which is an average discard rate of 5% of total reconstructed herring catches. Herring discards were estimated to be 349 t in 1950, and this increased to an average of 1,579 t·year-1 from 1950-1984. From 1985-2007, herring discards increased to an average 2,075 t annually (with a peak of 2,316 t in 1997). Sprat was the next largest contributor, with an estimated 64,012 t discarded over the time period (Table 9). Sprat discards were estimated to have been 109 t in 1950, averaged 202 t annually from 1950-1968, and then increased to average 1,537 t-year-1 from 1969-1978 (Figure 4). Sprat discards were lower from 1979-1993, at an average 554 t

134



Figure 4. Latvia's discards by taxa, 1950-2007. Discards of Salmon were too small to show at present scale (See Table 9).

annually, but then increased again to average 2,607 t annually from 1994-2007 (with a peak of 3,594 t in 2005, Figure 4). Cod discards were estimated to be 39,750 t from 1950-2007 (Table 9). Starting at an

estimated 527 t in 1950, cod discards reached a peak of 1,902 t in 1980, and during the last year under consideration were estimated to be 957 t. 'Others' contributed the next largest amount, an estimated 12,749 t over the time period, which is an average discard rate of 3.8%. 'Others' discards were estimated to be 20 t in 1950, increased to a peak of 1,577 t in 1974, and then decreased to an average of

Table 9. Decadal totals of estimated discards for Latvia (t).

| Common | 1950- | 1960- | 1970- | 1980- | 1990- | 2000- |
|------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| name | 1959 | 1969 | 1979 | 1989 | 1999 | 2007 |
| Cod | 6,643 | 6,278 | 8,306 | 10,081 | 3,364 | 5,079 |
| Herring | 10,317 | 16,550 | 19,838 | 18,819 | 19,443 | 17,626 |
| Sprat | 946 | 4,198 | 14,653 | 4,193 | 16,753 | 23,270 |
| Salmon | 24 | 51 | 52 | 120 | 316 | 101 |
| Flatfishes | 459 | 840 | 565 | 116 | 2,153 | 3,961 |
| 'Others' | 637 | 3,048 | 7,559 | 522 | 575 | 407 |
| | | | | | | |

50 t annually from 1981-2007. Flatfish discards were estimated to be 8,093 t over the time period, beginning with an estimated 35 t in 1950, increasing to a peak of 952 t in 2005, before decreasing to end the time series at an estimated 583 t. Flatfish had the highest discard rate with an average of 11% of total reconstructed flatfish catches. Salmon discards contributed the least to overall discards, with a total of 665 t over the time period (with a peak of 48 t in 1993), and an average discard rate of 6%.

Recreational catches

It was assumed that there were no recreational catches in Latvia prior to 1991 (Figure 5), and in 1991 the total recreational catch was estimated as 16 t. This increased to a peak of 228 t in 2004-2007 (Figure 5). The total estimated recreational catch from 1991-2007 is 2,386 t (Table 10), and the two groups that made up the majority were 'others' and flatfishes, with overall catches of 1,275 t (53% of recreational catch) and 925 t (39% of recreational catch), respectively (Table 10). Recreational catches of 'other' fishes were estimated to be 8 t in 1991, increasing to a peak of 122 t in 2004-2007.



Figure 5. Latvia's recreational catches by taxa from 1950-2007.

Recreational catches of flatfishes were estimated to have been 6 t in 1991, increasing to a peak of 88 t in 2004-2007. The estimated total recreational catch for cod were 126 t, salmon 38 t and herring 23 t for the period 1991-2007 (Table 10).

Total Reconstructed Catch

Total reconstructed catches from 1950-2007 were estimated to be 4,754,544 t (Figure 6; Table 11). See Appendix Table A1 for complete time series data on all additions to catch by taxonomic group. In 1950,

the reconstructed catch was an estimated 26,274 t, and increased to a peak of 151,329 t in 1974 (Figure 6). Reconstructed catches decreased to 49,852 t in 1993, increased to average 98,775 t-year-1 for the remainder of the time period, and were estimated to end the time period with 110,423 t in 2007 (Figure 6). Herring had the largest adjustments to ICES landing statistics, as well as the most discards, while sprat

had the highest amount of unreported landings. 'Others' and flatfish had the highest amount of recreational catch.

ICES landing statistics report 1,211,724 t from 1991-2007, our total reconstructed catch for the same time period was 1,553,914 t, an increase of 28% (Figure 7). For the entire 1950-2007 time period, unreported landings, discards, recreational catches and ICES data source adjustments accounted for 7%, 6%, 0.1% and 87% of total reconstructed IUU (Table 11). The same components accounted for 69%, 30%, 1% and 0.03% for the 2000-2007 time period.

Herring had the highest reconstructed catch, 40% of the total reconstructed catch, with an estimated catch of 1,924,158 t from 1950-2007 (Tabe 12). Reconstructed herring catches were an estimated 7,319 t in 1950, increased to a peak of 48,720 t in 1973, then averaged 34,562 t-year-1 for the remainder of the time period, ending in 2007 at 28,003 t.

1.6

Sprat had the next highest reconstructed catch, 28% of the total reconstructed catch, with an estimated 1,344,243 t from 1950-2007 (Table 12). Sprat catches went through two periods of high catches, and two periods of lower catches. In 1950 sprat catches were an estimated 2,289 t and from 1950-1967 averaged approximately 3,700 t-year-1. The 1980s were the other period of lower catches between two peaks, and from 1980-1988 sprat catches averaged 8,292 t-year-1. From 1968-1979 sprat catches increased to average 29,094 t-year-1 with a peak of 47,124 t in 1972, and during the other period of high catches, 1989-2007, sprat catches averaged 44,942 t-vear-1 with an overall peak of 75,482 t in 2005.

1.4 Total reconstructed 1.2 Catch (t x 10⁵) catch 1.0 0.8 0.6 ICES 0.4 0.2 0.0 1950 1960 1970 1980 1990 2000 Year

Figure 7. Total reconstructed catch for Latvia from 1950-2007 and ICES landings statistics from 1991-2007.

Cod accounted for 22% of the total reconstructed catch, with an estimated total of 1,048,830 t from 1950-2007 (Table 12). In 1950, reconstructed cod catches were an estimated 14,967 t, and averaged 19,410 t-year-1 until 1978. Catches increased from 1979-1986 and averaged 36,868 t-year-1 with an overall peak of

| 0.0 | | | | | |
|-------------------------|----------------------|------------|-------------------|----------|-----------|
| 1950 | 1960 | 1970 | 1980 | 1990 | 2000 |
| Figure 6. from 1950- | Latvia's t -2007. | otal recor | Year nstructed | catch by | component |

Latvia (t). Common 1950-1990-2000name 1989 1999 2007 Cod 0 39 87 Herring 0 16 7 Salmon 0 12 26 Flatfishes 0 283 642 'Others' 0 389 886

Decadal



Table 10.

estimated recreational

of

for

totals

catch

54,001 t in 1980. In the latter part of the time series reconstructed cod catches decreased to an average 9,095 t·year⁻¹ from 1987-2007, ending the time period with 8,440 t in 2007.

The group 'others' contributed the next largest amount to our total reconstruction, 7%, with an estimated total of 379,723 t from 'Others' 1950-2007. catches increased from 580 t in 1950 to a peak of 44,780 t in 1974, then decreased to average 981 t-year-1 from 1981-2007. Flatfishes make up 1.6% of our reconstructed catch, with an estimated total of 76,654 t from 1950-2007. From an estimated 995 t in 1950, flatfish catches rose to peak at

| Table 11. Latvia's total catch (tonnes) by decade by catch component. | | | | | | | | |
|---|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|--|--|
| Component | 1950- 1959 | 1960- 1969 | 1970- 1979 | 1980- 1989 | 1990- 1999 | 2000- 2007 | | |
| ICES landing statistics | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | 556,544 | 655,180 | | |
| Adjustments | 437,940 | 694,860 | 1,141,383 | 730,296 | 59,019 | 58 | | |
| Unreported landings | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | 126,232 | 115,522 | | |
| Discards | 19,026 | 30,964 | 50,973 | 33,851 | 42,603 | 50,044 | | |
| Recreational catches | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | 729 | 1,657 | | |
| Total reconstruction | 456,966 | 725,824 | 1,192,356 | 767,147 | 785,127 | 822,860 | | |

| Table 12. Decadal totals of the estimated total reconstructed ca | tch for |
|--|---------|
| Latvia (t). | |

| Common | 1950- | 1960- | 1970- | 1980- | 1990- | 2000- |
|------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| name | 1959 | 1969 | 1979 | 1989 | 1999 | 2007 |
| Cod | 188,633 | 178,268 | 235,856 | 286,273 | 84,707 | 75,095 |
| Herring | 216,657 | 347,550 | 416,604 | 368,304 | 328,397 | 239,383 |
| Sprat | 19,856 | 88,148 | 307,709 | 88,056 | 351,807 | 488,669 |
| Salmon | 684 | 1,461 | 1,485 | 3,407 | 3,124 | 773 |
| Flatfishes | 13,039 | 23,850 | 16,034 | 3,281 | 7,860 | 12,591 |
| 'Others' | 18,097 | 86,548 | 214,668 | 14,826 | 9,233 | 6,350 |

4,695 t in 1965. From 1966-2007, reconstructed flatfish catches averaged 1,182 t-year-1 and had another, smaller peak of 2,958 t in 2005. Salmon contributed 0.2% to our reconstructed catch, with an estimated total of 10,935 t from 1950-2007, and an average of 189 t-year-1.

DISCUSSION

ICES landing statistics reported approximately 1.2 million t of catches from 1991-2007. For the same period, our reconstructed catch was nearly 1.6 million t, i.e., an additional 28%. From 1950-2007, our reconstructed catch was approximately 4,755,000 t, four times larger than the catches attributed to Latvia directly by ICES landing statistics for the period (however, this ignores the entity of the 'former USSR', and is thus misleading). The IUU component with the greatest contribution to our reconstruction was adjustments to ICES landing statistics, mainly from the period when ICES landing statistics were not reported independently for Latvia (1950-1990).

Unreported landings and discards represented 7% and 6% of total IUU respectively; yet unreported landings are assumed to have only begun in 1991, whereas discards are assumed to have to have been occurring throughout the time period, therefore unreported landings are occurring at a higher rate than discards. The species that contributed the most to unreported landings was sprat, with an estimated total of 109,996 t from 1991-2007 (adding 16% to reported sprat landings), but unreported cod landings accounted for the greatest increase to reported landings, an additional 74%. The largest contributor to discards was herring, with an estimated 103,002 t from 1950-2007. Flatfish discards were 8,093 t from 1950-2007. Recreational catches contributed the least to the reconstruction, with an estimated 2,386 t from 1991-2007.

We believe our reconstruction represents a conservative estimation of Latvia's total fisheries catches from the Baltic Sea, because we consistently used minimum estimates. Our rates of unreported cod catches from 1993 onwards were provided by LATFRA, and were country-specific. LATFRA estimated that unreported cod catches added an additional 75% to reported cod landings in 1993, which, compared to ICES estimate of 40.2% in 1993 for western cod stocks (ICES, 2008a), is much higher. For all other taxonomic groups, we used ICES data in absence of other information, but these should all be seen as minimum estimates, as it is known that only some countries report IUU catches to ICES, yet the total is split between all the countries. Although we have been able to correct for the estimates of unreported landings and discards for countries that are known to not submit estimates to ICES (e.g., Sweden does not submit unreported landing estimates, see Persson, this volume), it is likely that other countries do not report estimates of these catches to ICES either. If countries insisted on maintaining the confidentiality clause, there would still be a way of improving the quality of data of these catches, and that would be by providing two sets of data: one set from countries that do report IUU catches and discard estimates, along with their landings, and one set from countries that do not report such catch estimates, and their landings. This would allow for a better idea of the proportions that these fishery sectors contribute to overall catches in the Baltic Sea.

It would also be beneficial for most countries to increase the level of monitoring and reporting of recreational fisheries, and Latvia is no exception. Very little information was found regarding recreational fisheries, resutking in approximate estimates. Long-term monitoring would help determine fishing pressure and possible conservation measures that should be taken to ensure that all fish species will be available for recreational fishing for generations.

Our catch reconstruction for Latvia, though a conservative estimate that mostly likely underestimates true catches, is still more accurate than the current assumption of zero (or close to zero) IUU catch when there are no 'hard' data. This method of reconstruction that accounts for all fishery sectors, has been used successfully elsewhere (Zeller *et al.*, 2006; Zeller *et al.*, 2007; Zeller and Pauly, 2007).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We would like to thank the Baltic Sea 2020 Foundation for funding this project. We would specifically like to thank the Latvian Fish Resource Agency (LATFRA) for providing valuable insight, information and data. The *Sea Around Us* Project is a scientific collaboration between the University of British Columbia and the Pew Environment Group.

REFERENCES

- Anon. (2006a) Arbejdspapir om discard i dansk fiskeri [Report on Danish fisheries]. Ministeriet for Fødevarer, Landburg og Fiskeri.
- Anon. (2006b) Estonian national programme for collection of fisheries data for 2007. Fish Resources Department, Ministry of the Environment of Estonia and Estonian Marine Institute, University of Tartu, Tallinn, Estonia, 40 p.
- Anon. (2006c) Population of Latvia. Population Statistics. www.populstat.info [Date accessed: Jun 9, 2009].
- Anon. (2007) Final report. Grant Agreement No. 71401.2005.001-2005.293 Fish and Fisheries Accounts. Statistical Office of Estonia, Tallinn, Estonia., 96 + VI p.

Anon. (2008) Latvian National Program for Collection of Fisheries Data. Latvian Fish Resources Agency, Riga, Latvia.

- Brown, J., Macfadyen, G., Huntington, T., Magnus, J. and Tumilty, J. (2005) Ghost fishing by lost fishing gear. Final Report to DG Fisheries and Maritime Affairs of the European Commission. Fish/2004/20, Institute for European Environmental Policy/Poseidon Aquatic Resource Management Ltd joint report 151 p.
- FAO (2009) Latvia fishery sector overview. FAO Fisheries and Aquaculture Department. *http://www.fao.org/fishery/countrysector/FI-CP_LV/en* [Date accessed: Mar 3, 2009].
- ICES (2007a) Report of the Baltic Fisheries Assessment Working Group (WGBFAS), 17 26 April 2007. ICES CM 2007/ACFM: 15, ICES Headquarters, Copenhagen, 727 p.
- ICES (2007b) Report of the Baltic Salmon and Trout Working Group (WGBAST), 11 20 April 2007. ICES CM 2007/ACFM:12, Vilnius, Lithuania, 255 p.
- ICES (2008a) Report of the Baltic Fisheries Assessment Working Group (WGBFAS), 8-17 April 2008. ICES CM 2008\ACOM:06, ICES Headquarters, Copenhagen, 692 p.
- ICES (2008b) Report of the Baltic Salmon and Trout Assessment Working Group (WGBAST), 1 10 April 2008. ICES CM 2008/ACOM:05, ICES Headquarters, Copenhagen, 267 p.
- ICES (2009) ICES catch statistics database. ICES. http://www.ices.dk/fish/statlant.asp [Date accessed: Mar 23, 2009].
- Ifremer (2007) Small-scale coastal fisheries in Europe, Final report of the contract. No FISH/2005/10, 447 p.
- Österblom, H., Hanson, S., Larsson, U., Hjerne, O., Wulff, F., Elmgren, R. and Folke, C. (2007) Human-induced trophic cascades and ecological regime shifts in the Baltic Sea. Ecosystems 10: 877-889.
- Rahikainen, M., Peltonen, H. and Ponni, J. (2004) Unaccounted mortality in northern Baltic Sea herring fishery magnitude and effects on estimates of stock dynamics. Fisheries Research 67(2): 111-127.
- Tschernij, V. and Larsson, P.O. (2003) Ghost fishing by lost cod gill nets in the Baltic Sea. Fisheries Research 64(2-3): 151-162.
- UN (2008) World Population Prospects: The 2008 Revision. Population Division of the Department of Economic and Social Affairs of the United Nations Secretariat. *http://esa.un.org/unpp* [Date accessed: Mar 23, 2009].
- Veem, K., Österblom, H., Kadin, M., Daw, T., Sissenwine, S. and Symes, D. (2009) "Best practices" for fisheries management. Baltic Sea 2020, Stockholm, Sweden, 96 p.
- Zeller, D., Booth, S., Craig, P. and Pauly, D. (2006) Reconstruction of coral reef fisheries catches in American Samoa, 1950-2002. Coral reefs 25: 144-152.
- Zeller, D., Booth, S., Davis, G. and Pauly, D. (2007) Re-estimation of small-scale fisheries catches for U.S. flag island areas in the Western Pacific: The last 50 years. Fisheries Bulletin 105: 266-277.
- Zeller, D. and Pauly, D., editors. (2007) Reconstruction of marine fisheries catches for key countries and regions (1950-2005). Fisheries Centre Research Report, FCRR, 2007, 15(2), 170 p.

APPENDIX A

Appendix Table A1. ICES landing statistics, adjustments to ICES landing statistics, unreported landings, discards, recreational catch, and reconstructed total for Latvia (t). N/A: part of ICES category 'former USSR'.

| Vee | ICES landing | Adjust- | Un- | Dis- | Re- | Tabal |
|------|------------------|-----------------|------------------|----------------|------------|-------------------------------|
| Year | statistics | ments | reported | cards | creational | lotal |
| 1950 | N/A | 25,230 | 0 | 1,044 | 0 | 26,274 |
| 1951 | N/A | 35,440 | 0 | 1,492 | 0 | 36,932 |
| 1952 | N/A | 40,300 | 0 | 1,743 | 0 | 42,043 |
| 1953 | N/A | 37,030 | 0 | 1,676 | 0 | 38,706 |
| 1954 | N/A | 49,510 | 0 | 2,207 | 0 | 51,717 |
| 1955 | N/A | 47,030 | 0 | 2,066 | 0 | 49,096 |
| 1956 | N/A | 52,760 | 0 | 2,229 | 0 | 54,989 |
| 1957 | N/A | 54,660 | 0 | 2,343 | 0 | 57,003 |
| 1958 | N/A | 47,290 | 0 | 2,074 | 0 | 49,304 |
| 1959 | N/A | 40,090 | 0 | 2,155 | 0 | 50,0 4 5 45 404 |
| 1900 | N/A | 43,360 | 0 | 1,914 | 0 | 43,494 |
| 1901 | N/A | 50 020 | 0 | 2,002 | 0 | 53 190 |
| 1963 | N/A | 57 810 | 0 | 2,209 | 0 | 60 440 |
| 1964 | N/A | 66 440 | Õ | 3 034 | 0 | 69 474 |
| 1965 | N/A | 64.550 | Õ | 2.871 | 0 | 67.421 |
| 1966 | N/A | 85.820 | Õ | 3,720 | 0 | 89.540 |
| 1967 | N/A | 89,390 | 0 0 | 3,909 | 0 | 93,299 |
| 1968 | N/A | 95,020 | Ő | 4,222 | Õ | 99,242 |
| 1969 | Ń/A | 99,550 | 0 | 4,533 | 0 | 104,083 |
| 1970 | Ń/A | 112,130 | 0 | 5,064 | 0 | 117,194 |
| 1971 | N/A | 109,360 | 0 | 5,019 | 0 | 114,379 |
| 1972 | N/A | 121,120 | 0 | 5,631 | 0 | 126,751 |
| 1973 | N/A | 129,850 | 0 | 5,807 | 0 | 135,657 |
| 1974 | N/A | 145,026 | 0 | 6,303 | 0 | 151,329 |
| 1975 | N/A | 111,567 | 0 | 4,876 | 0 | 116,443 |
| 1976 | N/A | 112,619 | 0 | 4,902 | 0 | 117,521 |
| 1977 | N/A | 96,772 | 0 | 4,449 | 0 | 101,221 |
| 1978 | N/A | 104,529 | 0 | 4,656 | 0 | 109,185 |
| 1979 | N/A | 98,410 | 0 | 4,265 | 0 | 102,675 |
| 1980 | N/A | 99,759 | 0 | 4,224 | 0 | 103,983 |
| 1981 | N/A | /8,//6 | 0 | 3,464 | 0 | 82,240 |
| 1982 | N/A | 72,670 | 0 | 3,164 | 0 | 75,834 |
| 1983 | N/A | 74,400 | 0 | 3,294 | 0 | //,/54 02 7E0 |
| 1904 | N/A | 71 710 | 0 | 3,340 | 0 | 75 077 |
| 1985 | N/A N/A | 66 237 | 0 | 3,550 | 0 | 69 435 |
| 1987 | N/A | 63 542 | 0 | 3 178 | 0 | 66 720 |
| 1988 | N/A | 63.244 | Õ | 3,259 | 0 | 66.503 |
| 1989 | N/A | 59.679 | 0 0 | 3.163 | 0 | 62,842 |
| 1990 | Ń/A | 58,241 | 0 | 3,095 | 0 | 61,336 |
| 1991 | 55,461 | [′] 34 | 4,846 | 3,329 | 16 | 63,686 |
| 1992 | 46,404 | 67 | 7,719 | 3,303 | 32 | 57,525 |
| 1993 | 37,346 | 26 | 9,438 | 2,993 | 49 | 49,852 |
| 1994 | 46,056 | 452 | 13,430 | 3,511 | 65 | 63,514 |
| 1995 | 57,112 | 194 | 16,496 | 4,198 | 81 | 78,081 |
| 1996 | 71,786 | 3 | 21,010 | 5,045 | 97 | 97,941 |
| 1997 | 86,123 | 2 | 21,890 | 6,287 | 114 | 114,416 |
| 1998 | 78,109 | 0 | 20,358 | 5,665 | 130 | 104,261 |
| 1999 | /8,147 | 0 | 17,899 | 5,584 | 146 | 101,777 |
| 2000 | 80,329 | 0 | 17,286 | 6,090 | 162 | 103,867 |
| 2001 | 70,930 | ک ۱۰ | 10,0/1 | 5,6/3 | 1/9 | 98,856 |
| 2002 | 70,0UZ | 14 | 17,040 12,040 | 5,08/ 5 /01 | 195 211 | 33,344 00 100 |
| 2003 | 71,009 87 706 | 0 40 | 12,909 13 037 | 6.015 | 211 227 | 90,190 102 515 |
| 2007 | 93 088 | טד 1 | 13,957 | 7 280 | 227 | 114 414 |
| 2005 | 82 760 | 0 | 13,017 | 7 054 | 220 | 103 052 |
| 2007 | 89,366 | õ | 13,586 | 7,243 | 228 | 110,423 |

| in pure or | | | | | _ | |
|------------|-----------------------------|---------|----------|-------|------------|--------|
| Year | ICES landing | Adjust- | Un- | Dis- | Re- | Total |
| | statistics | ments | reported | cards | creational | |
| 1950 | N/A | 14,440 | 0 | 527 | 0 | 14,967 |
| 1951 | N/A | 18,700 | 0 | 683 | 0 | 19,383 |
| 1952 | N/A | 17,480 | 0 | 638 | 0 | 18,118 |
| 1953 | N/A | 10,400 | 0 | 380 | 0 | 10,780 |
| 1954 | N/A | 17,980 | 0 | 656 | 0 | 18,636 |
| 1955 | N/A | 17,190 | 0 | 627 | 0 | 17,817 |
| 1956 | N/A | 27,330 | 0 | 998 | 0 | 28,328 |
| 1957 | N/A | 24,900 | 0 | 909 | 0 | 25,809 |
| 1958 | N/A | 16.820 | 0 | 614 | 0 | 17.434 |
| 1959 | N/A | 16.750 | Õ | 611 | Õ | 17.361 |
| 1960 | N/A | 15.640 | 0 | 571 | 0 | 16.211 |
| 1961 | N/A | 11 280 | 0 | 412 | 0 | 11 692 |
| 1962 | N/A | 14 420 | Ő | 526 | Õ | 14 946 |
| 1963 | N/A | 11 830 | 0 | 432 | Õ | 12 262 |
| 1964 | N/A | 9 830 | 0 | 350 | 0 | 10 189 |
| 1065 | N/A | 12 800 | 0 | 470 | 0 | 13 360 |
| 1905 | N/A | 27 660 | 0 | 1 010 | 0 | 13,300 |
| 1900 | IN/A | 27,000 | 0 | 1,010 | 0 | 20,070 |
| 1907 | IN/A | 24,010 | 0 | 090 | 0 | 25,500 |
| 1968 | IN/A | 24,940 | 0 | 910 | 0 | 25,850 |
| 1909 | IN/A | 18,890 | 0 | 689 | 0 | 19,579 |
| 1970 | N/A | 16,780 | 0 | 612 | 0 | 17,392 |
| 19/1 | N/A | 12,560 | 0 | 458 | 0 | 13,018 |
| 1972 | N/A | 17,290 | 0 | 631 | 0 | 17,921 |
| 19/3 | N/A | 14,670 | 0 | 535 | 0 | 15,205 |
| 1974 | N/A | 25,217 | 0 | 920 | 0 | 26,137 |
| 1975 | N/A | 28,632 | 0 | 1,045 | 0 | 29,677 |
| 1976 | N/A | 34,258 | 0 | 1,250 | 0 | 35,508 |
| 1977 | N/A | 14,601 | 0 | 533 | 0 | 15,134 |
| 1978 | N/A | 25,077 | 0 | 915 | 0 | 25,992 |
| 1979 | N/A | 38,465 | 0 | 1,404 | 0 | 39,869 |
| 1980 | N/A | 52,099 | 0 | 1,902 | 0 | 54,001 |
| 1981 | N/A | 34,927 | 0 | 1,275 | 0 | 36,202 |
| 1982 | N/A | 36,135 | 0 | 1,319 | 0 | 37,454 |
| 1983 | N/A | 35,956 | 0 | 1,312 | 0 | 37,268 |
| 1984 | N/A | 40,291 | 0 | 1,471 | 0 | 41,762 |
| 1985 | N/A | 26,511 | 0 | 968 | 0 | 27,479 |
| 1986 | N/A | 20,172 | 0 | 736 | 0 | 20,908 |
| 1987 | N/A | 13,308 | 0 | 486 | 0 | 13,794 |
| 1988 | N/A | 10,665 | 0 | 389 | 0 | 11,054 |
| 1989 | N/A | 6.128 | 0 | 224 | 0 | 6.352 |
| 1990 | N/A | 5.381 | 0 | 196 | 0 | 5.577 |
| 1991 | 2 627 | 0 | 657 | 136 | 1 | 3 420 |
| 1992 | 1 250 | 0 0 | 625 | 87 | 2 | 1 963 |
| 1993 | 1 333 | õ | 1 000 | 119 | 3 | 2 454 |
| 1994 | 2 379 | 452 | 2 123 | 186 | 3 | 5 143 |
| 1005 | 6 471 | 182 | 4 000 | 207 | 4 | 12 044 |
| 1006 | 0, 1 /1 8 7/1 | 102 | 6 5 5 6 | 442 | 5 | 15 744 |
| 1007 | 6 1 9 7 | 0 | 0,550 | 602 | 5 | 11/25 |
| 1000 | 0,107 7 770 | 0 | 5 821 | 602 | 7 | 14 210 |
| 1000 | /,//0 | 0 | 5,034 | 09Z | / | 17,310 |
| 7999 | 0,914 6 200 | U | J,100 | 80C | Ŏ O | 11,020 |
| 2000 | 0,200 | U | 4,/10 | 932 | 9 | 11,930 |
| 2001 | 0,298 | U | 4,/24 | 53/ | 9 | 11,508 |
| 2002 | 4,86/ | U | 3,650 | 335 | 10 | 8,862 |
| 2003 | 4,634 | 0 | 3,4/6 | 392 | 11 | 8,513 |
| 2004 | 5,027 | 29 | 3,/92 | 335 | 12 | 9,195 |
| 2005 | 3,996 | -/ | 2,992 | 456 | 12 | 7,449 |
| 2006 | 4,566 | 0 | 3,425 | 1,136 | 12 | 9,139 |

957

12

8,440

2007

4,269

0

3,202

Appendix Table A2. ICES landing statistics, adjustments to ICES landing statistics, unreported landings, discards, recreational catch, and reconstructed total for cod (*Gadus morhua*) for Latvia (t). N/A: part of ICES category 'former USSR'.

| Year | ICES landing | Adjust- | Un- | Dis- | Re- | Total |
|------|-----------------|---------|----------|-------|------------|---------|
| | statistics | ments | reported | cards | creational | |
| 1950 | N/A | 6,970 | 0 | 349 | 0 | 7,319 |
| 1951 | N/A | 11,580 | 0 | 579 | 0 | 12,159 |
| 1952 | N/A | 17,960 | 0 | 898 | 0 | 18,858 |
| 1953 | N/A | 22,840 | 0 | 1,142 | 0 | 23,982 |
| 1954 | N/A | 28,500 | 0 | 1,425 | 0 | 29,925 |
| 1955 | N/A | 24,980 | 0 | 1,249 | 0 | 26,229 |
| 1956 | N/A | 21,820 | 0 | 1,091 | 0 | 22,911 |
| 1957 | N/A | 23,210 | 0 | 1,161 | 0 | 24,371 |
| 1958 | N/A | 22,990 | 0 | 1,150 | 0 | 24,140 |
| 1959 | N/A | 25,490 | 0 | 1,275 | 0 | 26,765 |
| 1960 | N/A | 21,360 | 0 | 1,068 | 0 | 22,428 |
| 1961 | N/A | 21,640 | 0 | 1,082 | 0 | 22,722 |
| 1962 | N/A | 25,180 | 0 | 1,259 | 0 | 26,439 |
| 1963 | N/A | 32,600 | 0 | 1,630 | 0 | 34,230 |
| 1964 | N/A | 37,190 | 0 | 1,860 | 0 | 39,050 |
| 1965 | N/A | 30,550 | 0 | 1,528 | 0 | 32,078 |
| 1966 | N/A | 35,870 | 0 | 1,794 | 0 | 37,664 |
| 1967 | N/A | 43,580 | 0 | 2,179 | 0 | 45,759 |
| 1968 | N/A | 42,300 | 0 | 2,115 | 0 | 44,415 |
| 1969 | N/A | 40,/30 | 0 | 2,037 | 0 | 42,767 |
| 1970 | N/A | 38,480 | 0 | 1,924 | 0 | 40,404 |
| 19/1 | N/A | 42,180 | 0 | 2,109 | 0 | 44,289 |
| 1972 | N/A | 44,790 | 0 | 2,240 | 0 | 47,030 |
| 1973 | N/A | 46,400 | 0 | 2,320 | 0 | 48,720 |
| 1974 | N/A | 37,104 | 0 | 1,855 | 0 | 38,959 |
| 1975 | N/A | 36,149 | 0 | 1,807 | 0 | 37,956 |
| 1976 | IN/A | 43,342 | 0 | 2,107 | 0 | 45,509 |
| 1977 | IN/A | 32,/34 | 0 | 1,038 | 0 | 34,392 |
| 1976 | IN/A | 37,301 | 0 | 1,000 | 0 | 39,229 |
| 1979 | N/A | 26,200 | 0 | 1,910 | 0 | 40,110 |
| 1081 | N/A | 36 1/12 | 0 | 1,052 | 0 | 37 02/ |
| 1082 | N/A | 28 016 | 0 | 1,050 | 0 | 30 / 11 |
| 1083 | N/A | 20,910 | 0 | 1,726 | 0 | 34 609 |
| 1984 | N/A | 31 629 | 0 | 1,720 | 0 | 33 315 |
| 1985 | N/A | 35 087 | Õ | 1 902 | 0 | 36 989 |
| 1986 | N/A | 35 081 | Õ | 1 929 | 0 | 37 010 |
| 1987 | N/A | 34,760 | Õ | 1,940 | Ő | 36,700 |
| 1988 | N/A | 39.671 | 0 0 | 2,249 | 0 0 | 41.920 |
| 1989 | N/A | 38.679 | 0 | 2.224 | 0 | 40,903 |
| 1990 | N/A | 34,318 | 0 | 2,001 | 0 | 36,319 |
| 1991 | 33,270 | 0 | 2,820 | 2,064 | 0 | 38,154 |
| 1992 | 25,965 | 0 | 4,401 | 1,852 | 0 | 32,219 |
| 1993 | 21,949 | 0 | 5,580 | 1,704 | 1 | 29,234 |
| 1994 | 22,676 | 0 | 5,668 | 1,774 | 1 | 30,119 |
| 1995 | 24,972 | 0 | 4,991 | 1,918 | 1 | 31,882 |
| 1996 | 27,523 | 0 | 5,934 | 2,168 | 1 | 35,625 |
| 1997 | 29,330 | 0 | 5,866 | 2,316 | 1 | 37,513 |
| 1998 | 24,417 | 0 | 4,883 | 1,948 | 1 | 31,250 |
| 1999 | 27,163 | 0 | 4,075 | 2,105 | 1 | 33,345 |
| 2000 | 26,768 | 0 | 4,016 | 2,109 | 2 | 32,894 |
| 2001 | 26,652 | 0 | 3,998 | 2,213 | 2 | 32,864 |
| 2002 | 25,284 | 0 | 3,792 | 2,207 | 2 | 31,285 |
| 2003 | 24,187 | 0 | 3,628 | 2,214 | 2 | 30,031 |
| 2004 | 23,559 | 0 | 3,534 | 2,249 | 2 | 29,344 |
| 2005 | 22,202 | 0 | 3,330 | 2,229 | 2 | 27,763 |
| 2006 | 21,762 | 0 | 3,264 | 2,170 | 2 | 27,198 |

3,264

3,361

2,236

2

28,003

0

2006 2007

22,404

Appendix Table A3. ICES landing statistics, adjustments to ICES landing statistics, unreported landings, discards, recreational catch, and reconstructed total for herring (*Clupea harengus*) for Latvia (1

| | ICFS | | ICES | | | | | | | |
|------|------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|----------------|--|--|--|--|
| Year | landing | Adjust- ments | Un- reported | Dis- cards | Re- creational | Total | | | | |
| 1050 | STATISTICS | 2 100 | | 100 | 0 | 2 200 | | | | |
| 1021 | N/A N/A | 2,180 3 110 | 0 | 109 | 0 | 2,209 3 266 | | | | |
| 1951 | N/A | 2 170 | 0 | 109 | 0 | 2 279 | | | | |
| 1953 | N/A | 1 170 | 0 | 59 | 0 | 1 229 | | | | |
| 1954 | N/A | 1 100 | 0 | 55 | 0 | 1 155 | | | | |
| 1955 | N/A | 920 | 0 | 46 | 0 | 966 | | | | |
| 1956 | N/A | 620 | 0 0 | 31 | Õ | 651 | | | | |
| 1957 | N/A | 2.540 | 0 | 127 | 0 | 2.667 | | | | |
| 1958 | N/A | 2,780 | 0 | 139 | 0 | 2.919 | | | | |
| 1959 | N/A | 2.320 | 0 | 116 | 0 | 2,436 | | | | |
| 1960 | Ń/A | 2,610 | 0 | 131 | 0 | 2,741 | | | | |
| 1961 | Ń/A | 3,310 | 0 | 166 | 0 | 3,476 | | | | |
| 1962 | N/A | 5,190 | 0 | 260 | 0 | 5,450 | | | | |
| 1963 | N/A | 5,890 | 0 | 295 | 0 | 6,185 | | | | |
| 1964 | N/A | 7,950 | 0 | 398 | 0 | 8,348 | | | | |
| 1965 | N/A | 7,620 | 0 | 381 | 0 | 8,001 | | | | |
| 1966 | N/A | 7,620 | 0 | 381 | 0 | 8,001 | | | | |
| 1967 | N/A | 4,310 | 0 | 216 | 0 | 4,526 | | | | |
| 1968 | N/A | 13,530 | 0 | 677 | 0 | 14,207 | | | | |
| 1969 | N/A | 25,920 | 0 | 1,296 | 0 | 27,216 | | | | |
| 1970 | N/A | 33,470 | 0 | 1,674 | 0 | 35,144 | | | | |
| 1971 | N/A | 33,950 | 0 | 1,698 | 0 | 35,648 | | | | |
| 1972 | N/A | 44,880 | 0 | 2,244 | 0 | 47,124 | | | | |
| 1973 | N/A | 32,690 | 0 | 1,635 | 0 | 34,325 | | | | |
| 1974 | N/A | 37,680 | 0 | 1,884 | 0 | 39,564 | | | | |
| 1975 | N/A | 23,399 | 0 | 1,170 | 0 | 24,569 | | | | |
| 1976 | N/A | 15,281 | 0 | 764 | 0 | 16,045 | | | | |
| 1977 | N/A | 35,163 | 0 | 1,758 | 0 | 36,921 | | | | |
| 1978 | N/A | 24,887 | 0 | 1,244 | 0 | 26,131 | | | | |
| 19/9 | N/A | 11,656 | 0 | 583 | 0 | 12,239 | | | | |
| 1980 | N/A | 6,5/1 | 0 | 329 | 0 | 6,900 | | | | |
| 1981 | N/A | 5,331 | 0 | 267 | 0 | 5,598 | | | | |
| 1982 | N/A | 5,349 | 0 | 267 | 0 | 5,616 | | | | |
| 1983 | N/A | 3,095 | 0 | 105 | 0 | 3,880 | | | | |
| 1984 | N/A | 0,025 | 0 | 331 441 | 0 | 0,950 | | | | |
| 1905 | N/A | 0,027 | 0 | 441 | 0 | 9,200 | | | | |
| 1900 | N/A | 12 000 | 0 | 407 605 | 0 | 10,224 | | | | |
| 1907 | N/A | 11 030 | 0 | 552 | 0 | 11 501 | | | | |
| 1980 | N/A N/A | 12 789 | 0 | 639 | 0 | 13 428 | | | | |
| 1990 | N/A | 16 412 | 0 | 821 | 0 | 17 233 | | | | |
| 1991 | 17 996 | 10,112 | 1 260 | 963 | Õ | 20 219 | | | | |
| 1992 | 17 388 | Ő | 2 434 | 991 | Õ | 20,213 | | | | |
| 1993 | 12,553 | õ | 2,548 | 755 | õ | 15.856 | | | | |
| 1994 | 20.132 | 0 | 5.416 | 1.277 | 0 | 26.825 | | | | |
| 1995 | 24.383 | 0 | 6,193 | 1.529 | 0 | 32.105 | | | | |
| 1996 | 34.211 | 0 | 8.211 | 2,121 | 0 | 44.543 | | | | |
| 1997 | 49,314 | 0 | 11,096 | 3,020 | 0 | 63,430 | | | | |
| 1998 | 44,858 | 0 | 9,420 | 2,714 | 0 | 56,992 | | | | |
| 1999 | 42,834 | 0 | 8,395 | 2,561 | 0 | 53,791 | | | | |
| 2000 | 46,186 | 0 | 8,360 | 2,727 | 0 | 57,273 | | | | |
| 2001 | 42,769 | 0 | 7,142 | 2,496 | 0 | 52,407 | | | | |
| 2002 | 47,540 | 0 | 7,226 | 2,738 | 0 | 57,504 | | | | |
| 2003 | 41,743 | 0 | 5,719 | 2,373 | 0 | 49,835 | | | | |
| 2004 | 52,399 | 0 | 6,445 | 2,942 | 0 | 61,786 | | | | |
| 2005 | 64,647 | 0 | 7,240 | 3,594 | 0 | 75,482 | | | | |
| 2006 | 54,638 | 0 | 6,119 | 3,038 | 0 | 63,795 | | | | |
| 2007 | 60,454 | 0 | 6,771 | 3,361 | 0 | 70,586 | | | | |

Appendix Table A4. ICES landing statistics, adjustments to ICES landing statistics, unreported landings, discards, recreational catch, and reconstructed total for sprat (*Sprattus sprattus*) for Latvia (t). N/A: part of ICES category 'former USSR'.

| ICES category | 'former USSR'. | | | | | |
|---------------|-------------------------------|------------------|-----------------|----------------------|-------------------|------------------------|
| Year | ICES landing statistics | Adjust- ments | Un- reported | Dis- cards | Re- creational | Total |
| 1950 | N/A | 120 | 16 | 5 | 0 | 141 |
| 1951 | N/A | 40 | 0 | 1 | Ō | 41 |
| 1952 | Ń/A | 100 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 104 |
| 1953 | N/A | 60 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 62 |
| 1954 | N/A | 60 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 62 |
| 1955 | N/A | 70 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 73 |
| 1956 | N/A | 50 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 52 |
| 1957 | N/A | 40 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 41 |
| 1958 | N/A | 50 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 52 |
| 1959 | N/A | 70 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 73 |
| 1960 | N/A | 80 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 83 |
| 1961 | N/A | 120 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 124 |
| 1962 | N/A | 160 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 166 |
| 1963 | N/A | 170 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 176 |
| 1964 | N/A | 1/0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 1/6 |
| 1965 | N/A | 190 | 0 | / | 0 | 197 |
| 1966 | N/A | 160 | U | 6 | U | 114 |
| 1967 | IN/A | 110 | U | 4 F | U | 114 |
| 1968 | IN/A | 130 | U | 5 | U | 135 124 |
| 1909 | IN/A | 120 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 124 |
| 1970 | IN/A | 80 80 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 60 70 |
| 1971 | IN/A | 00 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 03 |
| 1972 | N/A | 100 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 104 |
| 1973 | N/A | 100 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 183 |
| 1975 | N/A | 219 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 227 |
| 1976 | N/A | 210 | Õ | 8 | 0 | 218 |
| 1977 | N/A | 164 | Õ | 6 | 0 | 170 |
| 1978 | N/A | 136 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 141 |
| 1979 | N/A | 177 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 183 |
| 1980 | N/A | 245 | 0 | 9 | Ō | 254 |
| 1981 | N/A | 184 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 191 |
| 1982 | N/A | 174 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 180 |
| 1983 | N/A | 286 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 296 |
| 1984 | N/A | 372 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 386 |
| 1985 | N/A | 333 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 345 |
| 1986 | N/A | 416 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 431 |
| 1987 | N/A | 395 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 409 |
| 1988 | N/A | 349 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 362 |
| 1989 | N/A | 533 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 552 |
| 1990 | N/A | 607 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 629 |
| 1991 | 481 | 0 | 31 | 39 | 0 | 552 |
| 1992 | 2/8 | 0 | 36 | 3/ | 1 | 351 |
| 1993 | 243 | 13 | 50 | 48 | 1 | 355 |
| 1994 | 130 | U | 24 | 22 | 1 | 1/8 |
| 1995 | 139 | U | 2/ | 26 | | 193 |
| 1996 | 151 | U 1 | 31 25 | 1C 24 | 2 | 214 241 |
| 1000 | 105 | 1 | 33 75 | 5 4 ⊃∕ | 2 | 241 176 |
| 1000 | 125 | 0 | 27 | 2 4 22 | 2 | 775 |
| 7323 | 150 | 0 | 24 20 | ככ רר | 2 | 202 |
| 2000 | 130 | U 2 | 30 20 | 22 27 | ט ר | 20 1 195 |
| 2001 | 110 | 2 0 | 20 | 27 | 2 | 150 |
| 2002 | 40 | 0 | 10 | 10 | 2 | 72 |
| 2003 | 31 | 1 | 7 | 7 | 4 | 49 |
| 2005 | 20 | 3 | 5 | , 5 | 4 | 36 |
| 2006 | 16 | Ő | 4 | 4 | 4 | 27 |
| 2007 | 20 | Õ | 4 | 4 | 4 | 32 |

Appendix table A5. ICES landing statistics, adjustments to ICES landing statistics, unreported landings, discards, recreational catch, and reconstructed total for salmon (*Salmo salar*) for Latvia (t). N/A: part of ICES category 'former USSR'.

Appendix table A6. ICES landing statistics, adjustments to ICES landing statistics, unreported landings, discards, recreational catch, and reconstructed total for the category 'flatfish' for Latvia (t). N/A: part of ICES category 'former USSR'

| | ICES | Adiust- | Un- | Dis- | Re- | |
|------|-----------------------|---------|----------|-------|------------|-------|
| Year | Landing statistics | ments | reported | cards | creational | Total |
| 1950 | N/A | 960 | 0 | 35 | 0 | 995 |
| 1951 | N/A | 1,460 | 0 | 53 | 0 | 1,513 |
| 1952 | N/A | 1,670 | 0 | 61 | 0 | 1,731 |
| 1953 | N/A | 1,230 | 0 | 45 | 0 | 1,275 |
| 1954 | N/A | 1,010 | 0 | 37 | 0 | 1,047 |
| 1955 | N/A | 1,510 | 0 | 55 | 0 | 1,565 |
| 1956 | N/A | 1,290 | 0 | 47 | 0 | 1,337 |
| 1957 | N/A | 1,410 | 0 | 51 | 0 | 1,461 |
| 1958 | N/A | 950 | 0 | 35 | 0 | 985 |
| 1959 | N/A | 1,090 | 0 | 40 | 0 | 1,130 |
| 1960 | N/A | 750 | 0 | 27 | 0 | 777 |
| 1961 | N/A | 1,670 | 0 | 61 | 0 | 1,731 |
| 1962 | N/A | 1,380 | 0 | 50 | 0 | 1,430 |
| 1963 | N/A | 1,520 | 0 | 55 | 0 | 1,575 |
| 1964 | N/A | 3,640 | 0 | 133 | 0 | 3,773 |
| 1965 | N/A | 4,530 | 0 | 165 | 0 | 4,695 |
| 1966 | N/A | 3,980 | 0 | 145 | 0 | 4,125 |
| 1967 | N/A | 2,430 | 0 | 89 | 0 | 2,519 |
| 1968 | N/A | 1,560 | 0 | 57 | 0 | 1,617 |
| 1969 | N/A | 1,550 | 0 | 57 | 0 | 1,607 |
| 1970 | N/A | 1,690 | 0 | 62 | 0 | 1,752 |
| 1971 | N/A | 2,150 | 0 | 78 | 0 | 2,228 |
| 1972 | N/A | 1,830 | 0 | 67 | 0 | 1,897 |
| 1973 | N/A | 1,780 | 0 | 65 | 0 | 1,845 |
| 1974 | N/A | 1,645 | 0 | 60 | 0 | 1,705 |
| 1975 | N/A | 1,903 | 0 | 69 | 0 | 1,972 |
| 1976 | N/A | 1,817 | 0 | 66 | 0 | 1,883 |
| 1977 | N/A | 907 | 0 | 33 | 0 | 940 |
| 1978 | N/A | 1,001 | 0 | 37 | 0 | 1,038 |
| 1979 | N/A | 746 | 0 | 27 | 0 | 773 |
| 1980 | N/A | 417 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 432 |
| 1981 | N/A | 311 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 322 |
| 1982 | N/A | 509 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 528 |
| 1983 | N/A | 376 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 390 |
| 1984 | N/A | 159 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 165 |
| 1985 | N/A | 169 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 175 |
| 1986 | N/A | 139 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 144 |
| 1987 | N/A | 320 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 332 |
| 1988 | N/A | 252 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 261 |
| 1989 | N/A | 513 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 532 |
| 1990 | N/A | 530 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 549 |
| 1991 | 445 | 1 | 31 | 91 | 6 | 574 |
| 1992 | 624 | 66 | 97 | 270 | 13 | 1,069 |
| 1993 | 475 | 13 | 99 | 292 | 19 | 898 |
| 1994 | 337 | 0 | 91 | 211 | 25 | 664 |
| 1995 | 411 | 12 | 107 | 256 | 32 | 818 |
| 1996 | 336 | 3 | 81 | 203 | 38 | 662 |
| 1997 | 413 | 1 | 93 | 246 | 44 | 798 |
| 1998 | 400 | 0 | 84 | 236 | 50 | 771 |
| 1999 | 563 | 0 | 110 | 328 | 57 | 1,058 |
| 2000 | 434 | 0 | 79 | 253 | 63 | 828 |
| 2001 | 619 | 0 | 103 | 358 | 69 | 1,150 |
| 2002 | 608 | 1 | 93 | 347 | 76 | 1,124 |
| 2003 | 682 | 0 | 93 | 384 | 82 | 1,241 |
| 2004 | 777 | 10 | 97 | 438 | 88 | 1,410 |
| 2005 | 1,720 | 5 | 193 | 952 | 88 | 2,958 |
| 2006 | 1,169 | 0 | 131 | 645 | 88 | 2,033 |
| 2007 | 1,056 | 0 | 118 | 583 | 88 | 1,846 |

Appendix Table A7. ICES landing statistics, adjustments to ICES landing statistics, unreported landings, discards, recreational catch, and reconstructed total for the category 'others' for Latvia (t). N/A: part of ICES category 'former USSR'.

1,163

1,517