Pauly, D. and J.L. Munro. 1984. Once more on growth comparison in fish and invertebrates. *Fishbyte, Newsletter of the Network of Tropical Fisheries Scientists* 2(1): 21.

ONCE MORE ON THE COMPARISON OF GROWTH IN FISH AND INVERTEBRATES^{a)}

bу

D. Pauly and J.L. Munro ICLARM, P.O. Box 1501, Makati, Metro Manila, Philippines

We suggested in Fishbyte $\underline{1}(1)(p, 5-6)^{b}$ that the parameter \emptyset in the following equation can be used to compare the growth performance of fish and invertebrates (when their growth is of the von Bertalanffy type):

where K is a growth constant and W is the asymptotic weight and β has a species- specific value. An additional feature which we should also mention, is that equation (1) can also be formulated to accomodate growth in length, when it can be assumed that W = a L oo oo

$$\emptyset = \log_{10} K + 2/3\log_{10} a + 2\log_{10} c_{00}$$

or,

 $\vec{B}' = \log K + 2 \log L \dots 3$

in which

 $\vec{p}' = \vec{p} - 2/3 \log a \dots 4$

a) ICLARM Contribution No. 195

Thus, Ø' will have values different from Ø and is an index for comparing the growth performance of fish in terms of length growth. Table 1 illustrates a case where the use of Ø' values allowed the identification of a biased growth parameter estimate in the mackerel Rastrelliger brachysoma. It must be realized however, that Ø' can be used only to compare the growth performance of fish with similar shapes; in this, Ø' differs from Ø which, being based on weight, can be used to compare the growth performance of fish of different shapes.

Table 1. Values of ϕ' in Southeast Asian stocks of *Rastrelliger brachysoma*.^a

Area	۲œ	к	ϕ'
Inner Gulf of Thailand	20.9	3.38	3.17
Inner Gulf of Thailand	20.9	4.20	3.26
Gulf of Thailand			
(10 [°] N, 100 [°] E)	20.0	3.53	3.15
Gulf of Thailand			
(10 [°] N, 100 [°] E)	19.6	4.14	3.20
Indonesia (Tajung Satai)	22.9	2.28	3.08
Burma coast, uncorrected ^C	27.0	0.965	2.84
Burma coast, corrected ^d	27.0	1.60	3.07

^aFrom Pauly and Sann Aung (MS) Population Dynamics of Marine Fishes of Burma, 61 p.

^bAll growth parameter estimates based on length-frequency data, with growth curves fitted by eye by various authors, except in the case of data from Burma, which were fitted with the ELEFAN method.

^CRaw length-frequency data, growth parameter estimated with ELEFAN I.

^dLength-frequency data corrected for gear selection, then growth parameters estimated with ELEFAN I.

b) Erratum:

Note that in this paper, we illustrated the use of Ø for estimating K with an example that contained a computational error and thus erroneous conclusions. Instead of the sentence which began with "For example, if we assume that the normal range of Ø for tropical scombrids...", we should have written the following: "For example, applying equation (1) to tropical scombrids. which have an overall β range of 2 to 3, the median value of $\beta = 2.5$ in conjunction with equation (1) will provide a value of K = 1.08 for an asymptotic weight of 5,000 g and of K = 0.233 for an asymptotic weight of 50,000 g."

We thank Network Member J. McManus for pointing out to us the error which we have corrected here.

April 1984

