

Fish feces reduce ocean CO2 levels: study

Last Updated: Thursday, January 15, 2009 | 4:06 PM ET [Comments](#)[Recommend](#)[9](#)

The Canadian Press

The world's oceans are getting help controlling harmful acid levels from an unseemly source, according to a new study that found fish feces play a key role in neutralizing carbon dioxide in the marine environment.

Canadian scientists discovered that when fish drink seawater they excrete calcium as calcium carbonate — a chalky substance that can make seawater more alkaline and diminish the carbon dioxide in the water.

The finding is helping researchers understand the marine carbon cycle and how nature works to reduce CO2 levels that can raise sea temperatures and harm sea life.

"It's going to be critical that we understand how much carbon dioxide the oceans can absorb," said Pat Walsh, a University of Ottawa marine biologist who co-wrote the study that appears in the journal *Science* on Friday.

"What we've done is taken another step forward in understanding another piece of that oceanographic puzzle and how the oceans can absorb CO2."

The teams of researchers from Ontario, British Columbia, the United States and the U.K. discovered that the bulk of the world's fish species, excluding sharks and rays, produced the carbonate to counter the salt they ingested in seawater.

The carbonate binds to the salt and is expelled as pellets, which dissolve in the ocean.

Walsh said they knew before that something in the water was producing carbonate, but believed it came from other sources, such as microscopic marine plankton near the bottom of the food chain.

But they didn't understand why they were seeing so much of the carbonate at shallower depths.

Helpful discovery

Walsh said the discovery helps explain that phenomenon and has given them a clearer idea as to how much carbonate fish are producing.

"Our most conservative estimates suggest three to 15 per cent of the oceans' carbonates come from fish, but this range could be up to three times higher," said lead author Rod Wilson of the University of Exeter.

That could mean that fish are playing a much bigger role in combatting the effects of rising CO2, which can acidify the ocean and imperil corals, plankton and other sea life after it is absorbed from the atmosphere.

The researchers, some of whom spent three years working on the project, also came up with the first estimate of the total number of bony fish, which comprise about 90 per cent of the marine species.

Villy Christensen, a fisheries professor at the University of British Columbia, said they used two models to determine that there are between 812 million and 2,050 million tonnes of fish in the oceans. From that they found that the fish produce 110 million tonnes of carbonate a year.

Christensen said the implications for climate change and the warming of the world's oceans could be far-reaching if more fish are caught and their watery environs are stripped of their ability to help maintain the delicate acid balance.

"It's really important that we keep fish in the ocean," he said. "If we have more fish it's going to buffer climate change, and if we have more fish it's going to give us more food in the long run."

© The Canadian Press, 2009

THE CANADIAN PRESS 

- [Post a comment](#)
4Comments have been posted
- [Recommend this story](#)
9People have recommended this story

Story comments (4)

Sort: [Most recent](#) | [First to last](#) | [Most recommended](#)

[KevinMcKinney](#) wrote:Posted 2009/01/16

at 11:36 AM ETWell, technically it would be fish poop, not fish farts. This (rather fun) story is part of an issue that could be a big deal in time. Ocean acidification is discussed here:

<http://en.allexperts.com/q/Chemistry-including-Biochemistry-1355/Absorption-CO2-Ocean-effects.htm>

According to WRI, human CO2 emissions in 2004 (the most recent year for which they provided figures) amounted to 27,251,186,000 tonnes. (I.e., roughly 27 billion.) About 30% is estimated to be absorbed by the oceans, so that would be about 8 billion tonnes of CO2 going into the oceans in 2004, or about 80 times the estimated mass of the fish poop.

I don't know how the relative mass amounts jibe with the chemical reactions in question, but it seems possible that this is one rare instance in which there is actually too little poop!

(For WRI, see here: http://earthtrends.wri.org/searchable_db/index.php?step=countries&ccID%5B%5D=0&theme=3&variable_ID=460&action=select_years)

[3Peoplerecommendedthis comment3Recommend this comment Report abuse](#)

[Tax Me...I'm Canadian](#) wrote:Posted 2009/01/16

at 9:04 AM ETAwesome!

[2Peoplerecommendedthis comment2Recommend this comment Report abuse](#)

[oldoweyes](#) wrote:Posted 2009/01/15

at 11:05 PM ETAt the rate this species is fishing, that 110 million tonnes more than than it will be in about 10 years.

[7Peoplerecommendedthis comment7Recommend this comment Report abuse](#)

[meh, whatever](#) wrote:Posted 2009/01/15

at 7:39 PM ETFish farts save the world!!!

[4Peoplerecommendedthis comment4Recommend this comment Report abuse](#)

- [Previous](#)
- [1](#)
- [Next](#)

Post your comment

Note: The CBC does not necessarily endorse any of the views posted. By submitting your comments, you acknowledge that CBC has the right to reproduce, broadcast and publicize those comments or any part thereof in any manner whatsoever. Please note that comments are pre-moderated/reviewed and published