Latest Posts Archives

About RSS Contact

The Shifting Baselines Blog



Jennifer Jacquet is a Ph.D. candidate with the <u>Sea Around</u> <u>Us Project</u> at the UBC Fisheries Centre. She works closely with <u>Dr. Daniel Pauly</u>, who coined the term <u>Shifting Baselines</u>, the syndrome on which this blog focuses.



Josh Donlan is a conservation scientist and a <u>Visting Fellow at</u> <u>Cornell University</u>. He often hides out in the backcountry of the Teton Mountains, pondering bygone giant beavers and ground sloths. He also is also the founder and Director of <u>Advanced Conservation</u> <u>Strategies</u> and has a habit of restoring remote islands.



Scientist turned filmmaker <u>Randy Olson</u>, founder of the <u>Shifting Baselines Ocean Media</u> <u>Project</u> is also a blog contributor.

Search this blog

Search

New Projects & Publications

« Millionth Comment Meet-up in Vancouver | Main | Average Is Beautiful. But in a Leader? »

Funding Priorities: Big Barriers to Small-scale Fisheries Category: New Research • Ocean Politics • Seafood • Solutions Posted on: September 13, 2008 10:57 AM, by Jennifer L. Jacquet

Focusing on subsidies rather than consumers likely to be better for fish and for small-scale fishermen

A couple weeks ago, Daniel Pauly and I got the paper <u>Funding Priorities: Big Barriers to</u> <u>Small-scale Fisheries</u> published in the journal *Conservation Biology*. In our analysis, we try to demonstrate that conservationists attempts to encourage sustainable fisheries at the market level should place at least equal emphasis on eliminating harmful fisheries subsidies as on consumer-based approaches (e.g., wallet cards that advise on which fish to eat).

More emphasis on eliminating subsidies might also bring small-scale fisheries, arguably our best hope at sustainable fishing, to the market.

FISHERY BENEFITS		SMALL SCALE
Subsidies	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ 25-27 billion	\$ 5-7 billion
Number of fishers employed	about 1/2 million	over 12 million
Annual catch for human consumption	about 30 million t	same: about 30 million t
Annual catch reduced to fishmeal and oils	35 million t	Almost none
Annual fuel oil consumption	about 37 million t	about 5 million t
Catch per tonne of fuel consumed	1-2 t	<b>1</b> = <b>4 4 4 4</b>
Fish and other sealife discarded at sea	<b>61 61 61 61 61 61 61</b> <b>61 61 61 61 61 61</b> 8–20 million tonnes	Very little

Small-scale fisheries use much less fuel than industrial fisheries. They also discard fewer fish, convert almost none of their catch into fishmeal (to feed farmed fish, pigs, and chicken), and favor the use of labor over capital. Despite these more 'sustainable' traits, small-scale fisheries are disadvantaged by subsidies that go to industrial fishing fleets and keep big boats out on the water. This bias occurs because, as Daniel Pauly says, "small-scale fishers don't golf." So industrial fishers have access and power at the federal level (for which evidence can be seen in European fishers recent demands for further increases in fuel subsidies).

<u>ScienceDaily</u> and several other news sources covered our findings. And <u>Mongabay.com just</u> <u>published an interview with me</u> about this research and more. And, if you still haven't gotten enough, watch this short YouTube clip with a couple more of my thoughts on the topic: Last 24 Hours Syndication Feeds (RSS)

Email Subscriptions

The SB Weekly Recap



- 1. Living the Scientific Life (Scientist,
- Interrupted): The Bi | Polar Puzzle
  Living the Scientific Life (Scientist,
  Interrupted): Are Mad Cow Disease an
- Interrupted): Are Mad Cow Disease and Creutzfeldt-Jakob Disease Identical? 3. Greg Laden's Blog : Major Blunder in
- Science Reporting will Fuel Creationist Claims
- 4. erv : Elite Suppressors
- 5. <u>Greg Laden's Blog : "Flee Ike or Face</u> <u>Certain Death" (What you need to</u> <u>know about Hurricane Ike)</u>

1 of 5

